## EXHIBIT G

```
1
             IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
               LAW DIVISION - ATLANTIC COUNTY
 2
                  DOCKET NO. ATL-L-6951-10
 3
 4
     PAMELA WICKER and WILLIAM
    WICKER,
 5
               Plaintiffs,
                                      Master Case No.
 6
                                      L-6341-10-CT
       vs.
 7
    ETHICON, INC., et al,
 8
               Defendants.
 9
10
                  Videotaped Deposition of
11
                 Nicolette S. Horbach, M.D.
12
13
                       Washington, D.C.
14
                  Friday, November 22, 2013
15
                           9:48 a.m.
16
17
18
19
20
    Reported by: Laurie Bangart, RPR, CRR
21
22
23
                  Golkow Technologies, Inc.
               877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672 fax
24
                        www.golkow.com
25
```

```
1
                 Videotaped Deposition of
 2
                 NICOLETTE S. HORBACH, M.D.
 3
    Held at the offices of:
 4
               O'Melveny & Myers, LLP
 5
               1625 Eye Street, NW
 6
 7
               Washington, D.C. 20006
 8
               (202)383-5300
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
                     Taken pursuant to notice, before
          Laurie Bangart, Registered Professional
19
20
          Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and
21
          Notary public in and for the District of
22
          Columbia.
23
24
25
```

```
1
                    APPEARANCES
 2
    ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS:
               Mazie, Slater, Katz & Freeman, LLC
 3
 4
               103 Eisenhower Parkway, 2nd Floor
 5
               Roseland, New Jersey 07068
               (973)228-9898
 6
 7
               By: Adam Slater, Esq.
 8
                    aslater@mskf.net
 9
    ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS:
10
               Thomas, Combs & Spann, PLLC
11
               300 Summers Street, Suite 1380
12
               Charleston, West Virginia 25301
13
               (304)414-1800
               By: Philip J. Combs, Esq.
14
15
                    pcombs@tcspllc.com
16
    ALSO PRESENT:
               Ken Nuzzi, Videographer
17
18
               Stephanie Gardner, Esq.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		EXAMINATION INDEX
2		PAGE
3	EXAMINATION B	SY MR. SLATER 9, 291, 309
4	EXAMINATION B	SY MR. COMBS
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		EXHIBITS
11	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION PAGE
12	Exhibit 1	Curriculum vitae 11
13	Exhibit 2	Dr. Horbach's Expert Report 11
14	Exhibit 3	Report and Independent Medical
15		Exam of Pamela Wicker 12
16	Exhibit 4	List of materials reviewed 12
17	Exhibit 5	Dr. Horbach's Supplemental
18		Expert Report
19	Exhibit 6	Handwritten notes 151
20	Exhibit 7	Handwritten notes 151
21	Exhibit 8	Handwritten notes 151
22	Exhibit 9	Handwritten notes 151
23	Exhibit 10	Handwritten notes 151
24	Exhibit 11	Handwritten notes 151
25	Exhibit 12	Handwritten notes 151

1	(Exhibits con	tinued)
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION PAGE
3	Exhibit 13	Pam Wicker's medical records
4		from Yale University
5		Urogynecology Practice 151
6	Exhibit 14	Pam Wicker's medical records
7		from Shoreline Medical 160
8	Exhibit 15	Pam Wicker's medical records
9		from UCLA Health System 173
10	Exhibit 16	Pam Wicker's medical records
11		from UCLA Medical Center 200
12	Exhibit 17	Pam Wicker's medical records
13		from UCLA Health System 202
14	Exhibit 18	Pam Wicker's medical records
15		from UCLA Health System 208
16	Exhibit 19	Pam Wicker's medical records
17		from UCLA Health System 214
18	Exhibit 20	Pam Wicker's medical records
19		from UCLA Health System 214
20	Exhibit 21	ACOG Practice Bulletin 282
21	Exhibit 22	Patient Consent Form from Yale
22		New Haven Hospital 308
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on
3	the record. My name is Ken Nuzzi. I'm a
4	videographer with Golkow Technologies.
5	Today's date is November 22, 2013. Our
6	starting time is 9:48 a.m. This deposition
7	is being held in Washington, D.C. in the
8	matter of Pamela Wicker and William Wicker
9	versus Ethicon, Inc.; Ethicon Women's
10	Health & Urology, a division of Ethicon,
11	Inc.; Gynecare; Johnson & Johnson; and John
12	Does 1 through 20.
13	Our deponent today this is being
14	heard in the Superior Court of New Jersey.
15	This docket number is ATL-L-6951. Our
16	witness today is Dr. Nicolette S. Horbach,
17	M.D.
18	Will counsel please identify
19	themselves and who they represent.
20	MR. SLATER: Adam Slater for the
21	plaintiffs.
22	MR. COMBS: Phil Combs on behalf of
23	the defendants.
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Our court
25	reporter is Laurie Bangart, also with Golkow

```
1
         Technologies. Ms. Bangart will now swear in
 2.
         our witness, please.
 3
                    (Witness duly sworn.)
 4
                    MR. COMBS: All right.
                                            Before,
 5
         before we get started today, I'm just going
 6
         to put a very brief objection on the record.
 7
                    As far as I know, there isn't a
 8
         notice for the deposition. That's fine.
 9
         We've agreed to produce Dr. Horbach today,
10
         but I want to object to any video portion of
11
         that deposition being played at trial for the
12
         same reasons that Mr. Slater objected to the
13
         videotaping of Pam Wicker's deposition on
14
         Monday.
15
                    Again, I'm not going to throw a
16
         fit, say that the camera has got to be turned
17
         off, anything like that, but I want to
18
         reserve that right for that to be -- to
19
         object to that being played at trial.
20
                    MR. SLATER:
                                 Really? When did you
21
         make the decision that you were going to make
22
         that objection?
23
                    MR. COMBS:
                                Today, Adam.
24
                    MR. SLATER: Really? Pam Wicker
25
         was deposed on Monday. It's now Friday.
```

```
1
                    MR. COMBS: Yeah.
 2.
                    MR. SLATER: You didn't think you
 3
         should let me know in advance?
 4
                    MR. COMBS: Listen --
 5
                    MR. SLATER: I told Kelly Crawford
 6
         unequivocally, and the protocol has been the
 7
         plaintiffs are deposing every witness by
 8
         video in this entire litigation. Every
 9
         witness has been videotaped. The defense is
10
         on notice of that, that we are videotaping
11
         every single witness that we depose, and you
12
         walk in here and you make that objection now?
13
         I hope you won't raise it at trial.
                                               I'll ask
14
         for sanctions.
15
                    Now let's proceed.
16
                    MR. COMBS: You feel free to seek
17
         whatever relief you think is appropriate.
18
         have made my objection, and the objection --
19
                    MR. SLATER: Okay. Why do you
20
         carry that on? You said what you needed to
21
                I responded. Now we're going to
         say.
22
         proceed --
23
                    MR. COMBS:
                                No, I'm going to say --
24
                    MR. SLATER: -- back in New
25
         Jersey --
```

```
1
                    MR. COMBS: Hey.
 2.
                    MR. SLATER: -- we're going to
 3
         proceed now.
 4
                    MR. COMBS: You're not going to
 5
          tell me not to talk. When I have an
 6
          objection to make on the record, I'll make
 7
          it.
 8
                    Now --
 9
                    MR. SLATER: Okay.
10
                    MR. COMBS: -- go ahead and proceed
11
         with your deposition.
12
                    MR. SLATER: I plan to. Welcome
13
         back to the United States from Germany.
14
         We're all happy to have you back. We're now
15
         going to proceed.
16
                 NICOLETTE S. HORBACH, M.D.,
17
         having been first duly sworn, testified
18
         upon her oath as follows:
19
            EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
20
    BY MR. SLATER:
21
               Dr. Horbach, good morning.
         Q
22
               Good morning.
         Α
23
               You understand you're under oath and
24
    that if you don't tell the truth in response to
25
    one of my questions, you can be criminally
```

```
1
    prosecuted for perjury?
 2.
          Α
               I do.
 3
                    MR. COMBS: Object to the form.
 4
    BY MR. SLATER:
 5
               Now, we've marked several exhibits.
          Q
                                                      The
 6
    first exhibit -- well, actually, before I go
 7
    through that, let me just make one thing clear.
 8
               If I ask you a question you don't
 9
    understand for some reason, tell me, please, and
10
    I'll rephrase it. Okay?
11
          Α
               Okay.
12
               If I ask you a question that you're not
13
    sure you can answer truthfully and accurately and
14
    completely for any reason, tell me that before you
15
    answer the question.
                           Okay?
16
          Α
               Okay.
17
               If counsel objects, let him place his
18
    objection on the record, but if there's any
19
    discussion by counsel of any substance, he'll have
20
    to ask you to leave the room. He's not allowed to
21
    signal to you what he wants you to say or how to
22
    answer a question with an objection.
23
               Do you understand that?
24
         Α
               Okay.
```

25

```
1
                     (Exhibit 1 was marked for
 2.
                    identification.)
 3
    BY MR. SLATER:
               We've marked as Exhibit 1 a document
 4
 5
    entitled "Curriculum Vitae," for you.
 6
               Is that your current CV?
 7
               It's the most current printed.
         Α
    one change on the CV that's additional that is not
 9
    on there.
10
               What is that?
11
               That I am now a board-certified
         Α
12
    subspecialist in female pelvic medicine and
13
    reconstructive surgery.
14
                     (Exhibit 2 was marked for
                    identification.)
15
16
                     (Discussion was held off the
17
                    record.)
18
    BY MR. SLATER:
19
               Let's go to Exhibit 2. Please tell me
20
    what Exhibit 2 is.
21
               Exhibit 2 represents an expert report
         Α
22
    that I compiled regarding prolapse, per se, as
23
    well as Prolift in particular.
               My understanding, this is your general
24
25
    report in this case.
```

```
1
               Is that correct?
 2.
         Α
               Yes.
 3
                     (Exhibit 3 was marked for
 4
                    identification.)
 5
    BY MR. SLATER:
 6
          0
               Now can we look at Exhibit 3, please.
 7
               What is Exhibit 3?
 8
         Α
               Exhibit 3 is the report of my
 9
    independent medical examination of Pamela Wicker
10
    and my opinions regarding that.
11
               It also includes your -- what records
         0
12
    you reviewed and what your opinions were based on
13
    the records you found to be significant to you?
14
         Α
                     It's based on my discussions with
15
    Pamela regarding historical information she
16
    provided, as well as records, medical records that
17
    I used in asking those questions of Ms. Wicker.
18
                     (Exhibits 4 and 5 were marked for
19
                    identification.)
20
    BY MR. SLATER:
21
               Let's look at Exhibit 4.
          0
22
               I don't know which one is 4.
         Α
23
                     I'm sorry. Okay. Number 4.
               Yes.
24
               Okay.
          0
25
               It's my understanding that Exhibit 4 is
```

- the materials you had reviewed at the time that
- you authored the reports that we marked as
- 3 Exhibits 2 and 3.
- 4 Is that correct?
- 5 A This is the material that I was
- 6 provided. Not all of the material has been
- <sup>7</sup> specifically reviewed by me or read by me in its
- 8 entirety.
- 9 Q Okay. Let me rephrase my question then.
- Am I correct that Exhibit 4 is the list
- of materials that had been provided to you at the
- time that you were preparing your reports that we
- marked as Exhibits 2 and 3?
- 14 A Yes, although I would have to
- double-check whether anything was added subsequent
- to the time of my report. There is a supplemental
- 17 list that I think is also included in this whole
- thing. Oh, that's number 5. Sorry.
- So I believe that these are records that
- were available to me at the time that I was
- writing my report, yes.
- Q Let me just be clear, because this --
- well, rephrase. Let me be clear.
- This list of materials on Exhibit 4, did
- you have all those materials at the time that you

- 1 signed your reports, finalized your reports that
- we marked as Exhibits 2 and 3, your general and
- 3 case-specific reports in this case?
- 4 A To the best of my knowledge, although I
- 5 don't recall whether, in looking at the
- 6 transcripts here, whether -- I don't think -- I'm
- 7 not sure whether Dr. Raz's transcript was
- 8 available to me at that time. Don't recall when
- 9 he was deposed relative to when the report was
- written.
- 11 Q Am I correct that you did not read all
- the materials on this list?
- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 Q As you sit here now looking at the
- transcripts, would you be able to tell me what you
- 16 read?
- A Most likely, yes.
- MR. COMBS: Well, wait, wait for
- the question.
- MR. SLATER: I just asked the
- question.
- MR. COMBS: Well, was the -- do you
- want her to do that? I wasn't sure, Adam, if
- the question was can you tell me --
- MR. SLATER: Oh, I'm sorry. I

```
didn't know that I was -- I'm, I'm very
 1
 2.
          sorry. Sometimes I -- I thought that that
 3
         was clear. It obviously wasn't.
 4
                    MR. COMBS: I'm, I'm not
 5
          complaining.
    BY MR. SLATER:
 6
 7
         0
               Doctor --
 8
                    MR. COMBS: If, if, if that's what
 9
         you want her to do, she will do it. I'm, I'm
10
         not trying to interrupt you or complain about
11
          it.
12
                    MR. SLATER: Can I just continue?
13
                    MR. COMBS: Yeah.
14
                    MR. SLATER: Okay. I'm going to
15
         continue.
16
    BY MR. SLATER:
17
               Doctor, are you able to tell me, as you
18
    sit here now, which of those transcripts you
19
    actually read at the time you wrote your reports?
20
         Α
               I believe I can provide you that
21
    information.
22
               Going down the list --
23
         Q
               Okay.
24
               Do you want me just to go down the list?
         Α
25
         Q
               Yes, tell me what you read at the time
```

- 1 you had written the reports, which of the
- <sup>2</sup> transcripts.
- A Amy Wicker, Katherine Wicker, David
- 4 Weinstein, Dena Harris. I don't think this. Jane
- 5 Wallace was for the supplemental report. Pamela
- 6 Wicker times two, Polly Pinkham Herring, Richard
- 7 Bercik, Robert Baldwin, Shlomo Raz, Ayoub, and
- 8 bits and pieces of Lucente.
- 9 I don't recall -- although it may have
- been sent to me, I don't recall seeing William
- 11 Wicker's transcript in the information that I had,
- so occasionally either I've misplaced it or it
- didn't get quite into the package.
- Q With regard to the balance of the
- transcripts that you didn't just list for me, did
- you read those subsequent to writing your reports,
- or no?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Let's go to the expert reports.
- Tell me which of those you read at the
- time you wrote your reports.
- 22 A That would be harder for me to
- 23 specifically say, because the report was written a
- year ago, but to the best of my recollection, I
- had read Margolis'. I read Ann Weber's.

- I had read -- there -- I don't recall
- who the individual is, but she's had, she had an
- 3 IME psychiatric evaluation from one of the
- 4 physicians. Was that Dr. Payne perhaps? Whoever
- was the psychiatric IME, her report I also read.
- I don't recall whether I had read
- 7 Klinge's or Klinge, however you want to pronounce
- 8 that. And I'm trying -- for some reason Susan
- 9 Shott sounds like familiar, but I can't recall
- 10 specifically whether I had read her report.
- 11 Q Do you know what Dr. Shott's opinions
- were in the case?
- 13 A I can't --
- 14 Q Or what her opinions are?
- A No. I mean I can't recall well enough
- 16 at this point a year past to be able to give that
- 17 information.
- 18 Q So you don't know what, what Susan
- 19 Shott's expert report addressed or what her
- 20 testimony addressed; correct?
- 21 A I do not know at this moment, a year
- 22 after I wrote the report.
- Q Did you ever read any of the other
- 24 expert reports after you wrote your reports?
- 25 A There were -- no, I did not. The ones

- like pathology, et cetera, I did not.
- Q Have you ever read Dr. Klinge's general
- 3 expert report?
- 4 A The comment I made earlier was that I
- 5 believe that I read it around the time of my
- 6 expert report. I don't recall whether it was
- before or after, and I can't recall specifically
- 8 for you right now, because again, it would have
- 9 been a year ago, and I've read a lot of documents
- in the meantime.
- 11 Q Let's go to the section titled "Medical
- 12 Records."
- Did you read all of those medical
- 14 records when you wrote your reports?
- 15 A I am quite sure that I read every single
- 16 medical record that's on this list that was
- 17 provided to me.
- Q Let's go to the section titled
- 19 "Literature."
- Have you read every one of those
- 21 articles that are listed?
- 22 A At some point either prior to the report
- or in the past as part of other educational
- endeavors.
- Q If you found one of those articles

- 1 listed in the literature section to be of
- 2 significance to you, did you cite to it or refer
- 3 to it in your expert report?
- 4 A No, I did not.
- 5 Q Did you rely on all of these articles in
- 6 writing your expert report, or were they simply
- 7 put on the list just to be over inclusive?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I, I have read all of
- these in creating my expert report in
- addition to my, you know, clinical expertise.
- This is part and parcel of my clinical
- expertise.
- 14 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Are all of these articles listed in the
- literature section significant to you as a basis
- for the opinions you hold in this case?
- 18 A I would say yes in that either the
- 19 article may substantiate my opinion, the article
- 20 may be contra to my opinion, although I may
- 21 disagree with parts of the article, but these were
- 22 all part of what I have read and reviewed prior to
- the time of writing my general report.
- So they -- I can't tell you, you know --
- 25 I'll just say prior to writing the report, these

- 1 have all been reviewed, so they all are a basis
- <sup>2</sup> for my opinion.
- Q Let's look, if you go to the Ks, because
- 4 I guess it's in alphabetical order. About midway
- 5 down the page, there's four articles by Klinge --
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q -- the, the name of the author you
- 8 listed.
- 9 Let's go to the first one. It's titled
- 10 "Foreign Body Reaction to Meshes Used for the
- 11 Repair of Abdominal Wall Hernias, " published in
- 12 1999 in the OP Journal of Surgery.
- Do you see that?
- 14 A Yes.
- O Okay.
- What is significant about that article,
- in your opinion?
- 18 A It discusses prior experiences with the
- body's reaction to mesh placed in other locations.
- Q Was there anything in particular in the
- 21 article that was of significance to you?
- 22 A The -- I mean it's the entire
- information and how the body reacts and
- discussions regarding some of the microscopic
- evaluation of how the body reacts to meshes in the

- 1 abdominal wall, which may, may or may not be how
- the body reacts to meshes in the vaginal area.
- 3 It's a background of --
- Q Does the body react -- I'm sorry.
- 5 Does the body react the same way the
- 6 meshes -- rephrase.
- 7 Is the body's reaction to meshes in the
- 8 abdominal wall the same as the body reactions to
- 9 meshes in the female pelvis?
- 10 A Not necessarily.
- 11 Q What do you mean by that?
- 12 A It may or may not. There are different
- parts of the body, and sometimes even in the
- 14 abdominal wall, use of meshes for hernias, there's
- going to be differences in how a body will react
- 16 from one patient to another, similar to what may
- happen in the vagina.
- Q As a general proposition, what are the
- differences in the body's reaction to the mesh
- 20 material used in the Prolift when it's in the
- 21 abdominal wall as opposed to when it's in the
- 22 female pelvis?
- Can you give me some general differences
- about how the body will react to that mesh
- material? Do you have an opinion on that?

- 1 A I can give you information about how the
- body can react to it. I don't know that I can
- 3 predict that it will react in that way.
- 4 Q Well, I want to know if you have an
- opinion to a reasonable degree of medical
- 6 probability where you can say the body will react
- 7 differently to Gynemesh PS mesh material when it's
- 8 in the abdominal wall versus when it's in the
- 9 female pelvis, and tell me what those differences
- 10 are.
- A Again, you're asking me to say a will as
- 12 a definitive statement of future, and I can't. I
- will tell you what the body -- how the body may
- 14 react to the mesh in the vagina versus in the
- abdomen and the differences that you may see in
- those patients, but I can't say that it's a will
- 17 as an absolute.
- 18 Q Well, reasonable degree of medical
- 19 probability means more likely than not.
- Can you give me an opinion to a
- reasonable degree of medical probability on that
- 22 question?
- A About how it may react in the vagina?
- Q Is there an understanding that the body
- will react differently to Gynemesh PS mesh when

- 1 it's placed in the abdominal wall versus when it's
- placed in the female pelvis? Is there a generally
- 3 accepted understanding that it will react
- 4 differently in any way in those two different
- 5 parts of the body?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: There's some
- information that there may be a different
- 9 reaction in those different parts of the
- body, yes.
- 11 BY MR. SLATER:
- 12 Q And what is that?
- 13 A Well, part of the difficulty for the
- 14 vaginal area as opposed to the abdominal wall is
- the nature of the environment, whether you're
- dealing with a clean operative field versus
- technically a clean contaminated field, although
- that raises some of the controversial issues of
- whether or not you can see infections or chronic
- infections in the vagina relative to mesh, which I
- don't think has been totally resolved one way or
- the other.
- You also have differences relative to
- the depth of tissue layers. In the abdominal
- wall, the mesh has the advantage of having blood

- 1 flow that can come from either above or below, so
- the abdominal skin isn't necessarily -- or the
- 3 abdominal subcutaneous tissue isn't necessarily
- 4 totally dependent on blood flow from below and
- 5 through the mesh to be able to survive, whereas in
- 6 the vaginal area, the blood flow has to be able to
- 7 either come partially through the mesh or it has
- 8 to come laterally from the mesh to be able to
- 9 continue to provide blood flow to the vaginal
- 10 wall.
- The issue about the degree of potential
- scarring and contracture that occurs in the two
- locations, there have been, you know, studies that
- 14 have said significant contracture in the abdominal
- area, some studies saying less. Some studies say
- 16 significant contraction in the vaginal area. Some
- 17 studies say more.
- 18 So the difficulty is there's not an
- 19 absolute agreement on how everyone will -- how
- 20 each woman will react to the mesh placed in the
- vaginal area.
- Q Any other differences?
- 23 A I think that probably -- I'm trying to
- 24 remember the issues relative to the sort of
- scarring and microscopic sort of scar plating, et

- 1 cetera. I -- it's been a year or so since I had
- 2 specifically read that article, so I would have to
- pull it again to be able to specifically address
- 4 that with you.
- Would you like me to do that?
- 6 Q Well, I wasn't, I wasn't just asking
- 7 about the article. I'm asking you about, in
- general, your understanding of the differences in
- 9 how the body will react to the mesh, whether in
- the abdomen or the female pelvis.
- 11 You understood that; right?
- 12 A Okay. That was not clear to me in the
- question. I thought you were saying -- referring
- specifically to this article of how it reacts in
- the abdomen versus other information about how it
- 16 reacts in the vagina.
- Q Well, the article that I cited to you
- doesn't talk about the reaction of the mesh in the
- 19 female pelvis or vagina at all.
- It's not even addressed in that article;
- 21 correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q So what you were telling me about was
- your general understanding of the different
- reactions of the human body to the mesh, whether

- it's in the abdomen or the female pelvis; correct?
- 2 A Yes, based on some of the information in
- 3 this article that was specifically cited versus
- 4 how it reacts in the abdominal area. My
- 5 statements about the vaginal area reactions were
- 6 based on other articles and/or experience.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 Well, based on all of your knowledge as
- 9 you sit here right now, are there any other
- differences in how the body will react to Gynemesh
- 11 PS mesh, whether it's in the abdomen versus in the
- 12 female pelvis?
- 13 A Well, yes. There, there certainly is
- 14 going to be a, a risk in the female pelvis or when
- it's placed in the vagina, of -- erosions of the
- mesh is going to be higher than what you would
- typically see in the abdominal wall.
- That really reflects the information
- 19 that I had just discussed relative to blood flow,
- that, you know, if you don't have blood flow to
- those -- to the tissue in your optimal way, then
- you may have that tissue die, and you may
- 23 experience a subsequent erosion or extrusion of
- 24 the material.
- There can be neurologic irritation or

- 1 neurologic symptoms post placement of a mesh
- inside of the abdominal wall for hernia. It's
- difficult to determine whether or not that is
- 4 related to the mesh itself creating an issue or if
- 5 it's specifically more related to the surgery, and
- 6 so the similar situation would, would be present
- 7 in the vaginal area, that a mesh in and of itself
- 8 is not going to create a neuropathy or an injury
- 9 or a problem with the primary nerves to the
- 10 pelvis.
- 11 Q Do you have an understanding of what
- 12 Ethicon Medical Affairs believes with regard to
- whether or not when a woman has contraction of
- 14 mesh in her pelvis, and she complains of pain, as
- to whether or not nerves are being involved in
- 16 that process?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I didn't know -- I do
- not know what Ethicon Medical believes.
- 20 BY MR. SLATER:
- 21 Q You agree with me that if there is
- 22 contracting mesh in the female pelvis and it's --
- and the woman is complaining of pain, that the
- pain is, is due to nerves being impacted by the
- 25 contracting mesh; correct?

```
1
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 2.
                    THE WITNESS: I disagree with that
 3
         statement. Well, actually, I don't agree
 4
         with that statement as an absolute causative
 5
         situation.
 6
    BY MR. SLATER:
 7
               It's more likely than not that if a
 8
    woman has a foreign body reaction and contraction
    of the mesh, that -- and that caused pain to the
 9
10
    woman, it's more likely than not that nerves are
11
    involved in that process; correct?
12
         Α
               Well, the way you've asked the question,
13
    yes, nerves are involved, because nerves end up
14
    conducting the sensation of pain, but that the
    mesh is the causative situation in that in a
15
16
    particular patient, you know, that's not something
17
    that you can say to a complete degree of medical
18
    certainty, no.
19
               I'm going to take a hypothetical.
20
               A woman has Prolift mesh in her pelvis.
21
    There is a foreign body reaction to the mesh.
22
    causes an inflammatory response, leading to the
23
    creation of fibrotic tissue. That fibrotic tissue
24
    interacts with the mesh and causes the mesh to be
25
    contracted down by the, by the fibrotic tissue.
```

1 The woman feels and experiences pain. 2. In that scenario, it's more likely than 3 not that nerves are being impacted by this 4 process, leading to the sensation of pain; 5 correct? 6 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 7 THE WITNESS: I agree that nerves 8 are being impacted, causing pain, but I won't agree to within a medical degree of certainty 9 10 that the mesh is the underlying reason that 11 the patient is experiencing the nerves 12 sensation of pain. BY MR. SLATER: 13 14 In my hypothetical, why do you say that? 15 Α Because you have to postulate, you know, 16 what you're talking about. Well, first of all, you have to postulate that the patient's ability 17 18 to sense pain and recognize pain is appropriately 19 mapped, essentially, in her brain, that then ends 20 up being associated with the area that's involved, 21 because there -- oftentimes the neurologic 22 sensation, especially in the pelvis, and where the 23 patient perceives their sensation of pain isn't 24 necessarily related to a pathology in that

specific location.

25

```
1
         0
               All right.
 2.
               Well, in my hypothetical, what's the
 3
    alternative likely cause of the pain other than
 4
    nerves being impacted by this process I described
 5
    in my hypothetical?
               I can't make that decision without
 6
         Α
 7
    having examined the patient to do a pain
 8
    evaluation.
 9
               You would agree with me that my
          0
10
    hypothetical, as I phrased it, that it would be
11
    reasonable if somebody were to say, well, that
12
    process is leading to the pain that the woman is
13
    experiencing?
14
               That would be a reasonable diagnosis;
15
    correct?
16
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
17
                                   I think it's a
                    THE WITNESS:
18
         possible diagnosis, but I don't think it's
19
          the only diagnosis that's present. You have
20
          to look for other causes of why the patient
21
         may experience pain.
22
    BY MR. SLATER:
23
          O
               What would be the other potential
24
    alternative causes in my hypothetical?
25
         Α
               Well, it depends a little bit on where
```

- the mesh is, which part of the body the mesh is
- placing that you're saying has the reaction, if
- you're saying it's anterior wall versus, you know,
- 4 lateral wall versus posterior.
- 5 Q I don't understand what you're --
- 6 honestly, with all due respect, I don't even
- 7 understand what that means.
- 8 A That means you're telling me she had
- 9 mesh placed and that she's having a reaction, so
- 10 the question --
- 11 Q I said Prolift.
- 12 A Yeah.
- 13 Q I said Prolift.
- 14 A Fine. A Prolift placed.
- The question is where in the vagina is
- the area that you're talking about that the
- patient is having discomfort and sensitivity.
- 18 O Okay.
- Let's say it's anterior Prolift, and
- she's complaining of the pain and the sensitivity
- in the anterior wall or anterior portion of her
- vagina and anterior part of the pelvis near the
- <sup>23</sup> anterior wall.
- 24 A Okay.
- So in that case, you're going to have to

- 1 rule out that there is any underlying urinary
- 2 pathology going on, whether it's urethral or
- 3 bladder. You also have to rule out any -- you
- 4 know, depending on if the patient has gynecologic
- organs, you have to rule out the gynecologic
- 6 organs, per se.
- 7 Alternatively, you also need to rule out
- 8 aspects of the overall pelvis and the pelvic --
- 9 the, the muscular situation, the ligaments that,
- that could also be contributing to the pain.
- Probably -- I mean although it would be
- difficult for a patient to have a gastrointestinal
- issue that's going to refer specifically to
- 14 pinpoint in the vagina anteriorly, that would be
- pretty unusual. I think it would have to be more
- 16 from a bladder standpoint, but there are other
- reasons that a patient can experience pain.
- 18 Q There may be other reasons, but my
- 19 scenario as refined by defining a Prolift anterior
- system and the complaints of pain in the anterior
- 21 portion of the vagina, in that scenario, the
- likely cause of the pain would be the
- 23 contracted -- the contraction of the mesh and this
- inflammatory reaction; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.

```
1
                    THE WITNESS: I can't say that, no.
 2
    BY MR. SLATER:
 3
         Q
               Okay.
 4
               When a woman has scarring and
 5
    contraction of a Prolift in her pelvis, that can
 6
    lead to clinically significant pain for the woman;
 7
    correct?
 8
         Α
               I can, I can answer that question in
 9
    such a way that if a patient experiences pain
10
    after having had a Prolift placed, there is a
    possibility that the Prolift is involved with the
11
12
    pain issue.
13
               If a woman has a Prolift put in her
14
    body, and the mesh contracts and also the mesh
15
    erodes, leading to multiple operations, and the
16
    woman is complaining of pain and discomfort from
17
    the point the Prolift is in her body going forward
18
    through this contraction of the mesh and the
19
    erosions that are being treated, it's likely that
20
    the Prolift is certainly a cause, if not the only
21
    cause, since there may be other factors, but
22
    certainly it is a cause of that pain most likely;
23
    correct?
24
                               Object to form.
                    MR. COMBS:
25
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I think it depends on
```

1 the time period in which the -- you know, 2. you're talking about the evaluation of the 3 patient with pain. 4 If you're saying she's had multiple 5 surgeries and she's had erosion and she's had 6 her -- she's had mesh removed, then if you're 7 palpating in an area of the vagina that does 8 not have mesh, then at that particular time 9 the mesh is not causing the patient's symptom 10 of pain. 11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 Are you aware of the fact that a woman 0 13 may have either contracted mesh or eroding mesh 14 that contributed to an inflammatory reaction that led to scar tissue formation in that area, but the 15 16 mesh can be removed, but the remaining scar tissue 17 can continue to cause pain and discomfort for the 18 woman? 19 You'd agree with that statement; 20 correct? 21 Α I think that that's an accurate 22 statement that, yes, that you can still have pain 23 from the scarring, yes. 24 Let's look now again at your materials 25 reviewed. Let's go to the second Klinge article,

- 1 "Functional and Morphological Evaluation of a Low
- Weight Monofilament Polypropylene Mesh for Hernia
- 3 Repair."
- What was the significance of that
- 5 article to you?
- A Again, it goes into the issue of what
- 7 the microscopic changes are in meshes relative to
- 8 pore size. Different --
- 9 Q What's your understanding --
- 10 A Different pore sizes --
- 11 Q Sorry, sorry.
- 12 A Different pore sizes of mesh will
- typically induce a different reaction in a
- 14 biologic system.
- Q And what's your understanding as to the
- significance of a one millimeter pore size in all
- directions? Do you have an understanding of the
- 18 significance to that figure?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Is it versus
- something else, or -- I mean it usually is --
- 22 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Does that have any meaning to -- I'll
- 24 ask it differently.
- If I say to you there is a significance

- to whether or not the pores of a mesh used to
- treat pelvic organ prolapse maintain a one
- 3 millimeter pore size in all directions under
- 4 strain, once in the body, does that statement have
- 5 any significance to you? Does that make sense to
- 6 you?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q You agree with that?
- 9 A Can you make the statement again so I
- 10 can determine whether or not I agree with it.
- 11 Q Let's ask the court reporter to read it
- 12 back to you, slowly.
- 13 (Whereupon, reporter reads
- requested material.)
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Again, the statement
- "under strain," I'm not quite sure. You
- know, I understand that part of it, but I
- think that if the -- that maintaining optimal
- pore size would ideally have an impact on how
- the mesh may respond in a patient.
- 22 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q And what you mean by that is if you've
- maintained an optimal, optimal pore size of one
- millimeter in all directions, that is understood

```
to reduce the risk of scar plating and bridging
 1
 2
    fibrosis and negative clinical impacts; correct?
 3
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 4
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   In the -- I mean in
 5
          the hypothetical and in certain situations,
 6
          yes, but it may not make a difference in
 7
          other situations. So I think that that's,
          that's a difficult statement to make as a
 8
 9
         global statement.
10
    BY MR. SLATER:
11
          0
               Well, as a general statement in regard
12
    to the Prolift, you would agree that maintaining
13
    pore size of one millimeter in all directions when
14
    the mesh is actually in the body would reduce the
15
    risk of scar plating and bridging fibrosis and
16
    negative clinical impacts related to those --
17
               It could --
         Α
18
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
19
    BY MR. SLATER:
20
               -- phenomenons; correct?
          O
21
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
22
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  It could reduce the
23
          risk.
                 I'm not saying -- I don't think you
24
          could say it would absolutely reduce the
25
          risk.
```

```
1
    BY MR. SLATER:
               Meaning it can still occur even if you
 2.
          0
    maintain the one millimeter pore size, but if you
 3
 4
    maintain that pore size, there's less risk of it
 5
    occurring?
 6
               Do I understand you?
 7
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
                    THE WITNESS: I think in the
 8
 9
         hypothetical case I would probably agree with
10
                I mean the problem is in, in humans we
11
          can't really run those kind of experimental
12
          studies and make those types of comparisons.
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
14
               Are you aware of studies of explanted
15
    mesh that have been performed by Dr. Klinge and
16
    Dr. Klosterhoff, where they have actually measured
17
    the pore sizes --
18
         Α
               Yes.
19
          Q
               -- upon explant from the human body?
20
         Α
               Yes.
21
               And you're, and you're familiar with
          Q
22
    their theory that -- well, rephrase.
23
               And you're -- are you familiar with
24
    their findings that when they found -- well,
25
    rephrase.
```

1 And you're familiar with their findings 2 that confirmed that it's very important to 3 maintain at least a one millimeter pore size in 4 actual use in the body to try to reduce the risk 5 of scar plating, bridging fibrosis, resulting 6 contraction and erosion; correct? 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't think that 9 they can make that conclusion based on 10 removal of explants from symptomatic 11 patients. You have to be able to compare 12 that to explants removed from patients who 13 may not be symptomatic or who may or may not 14 have scar tissue, to be able to make a 15 comparison how the body is going to respond 16 if the pore sizes stay at one millimeter 17 versus how the body is going to respond if 18 they don't at, you know, less than a 19 millimeter. 20 You know, you can't run a controlled evaluation in the individual 21 22 patient. You have -- would have to, to be 23 able to also look at, you know, other 24 patients who are either not symptomatic, 25 don't have any scarring, don't have that so

```
1
          that you can make a comparison.
 2.
                    Otherwise you're just saying in
 3
          this particular patient and in this
          particular situation, there is an association
 4
          that this particular patient has scarring and
 5
 6
          a narrower pore size.
 7
                    But to be able to say, you know,
 8
          that that won't happen or will happen
 9
          depending upon what the mesh does pore size
10
          in a different patient, I don't think you can
11
         make that statement.
12
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
               Based on the scientific literature that
14
    you've read, you would agree as a general
15
    proposition that for the Prolift, if it can
16
    maintain a one millimeter pore size in actual use
17
    in the body, that that would reduce the risk of
18
    bridging fibrosis, scar plating, contraction and
19
    erosion?
20
               As a general proposition, that is the
21
    understanding in the literature that you've
    reviewed; correct?
22
23
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
24
                                  That is what is
                    THE WITNESS:
25
          proposed in the literature by some
```

```
1
          individuals. You know, whether they have,
 2.
          again, you know, sufficient information to
 3
         make that assumption or make that conclusion
 4
          I think is questionable.
 5
    BY MR. SLATER:
               That's what the considered -- well,
 6
          0
 7
    rephrase.
 8
               That is what the, the thought is in the
 9
    literature you've reviewed now, at this point?
10
               That's what the thinking is; correct?
11
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
12
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  That is what some
13
         people have thought in -- that have written
14
          their conclusions, but, you know, any of
15
          these different individuals can publish an
16
          article, publish their findings, and they can
17
         make a conclusion that doesn't necessarily
18
         mean that that conclusion is valid and can be
19
          extrapolated across the board.
20
    BY MR. SLATER:
21
               Do you, do you know whether or not
22
    Medical Affairs at Ethicon believes that what I
23
    just said to you is accurate?
24
               I do not know what Ethicon Medical
         Α
25
    Affairs believes.
```

```
1
               Do you agree with me that Dr. Klinge and
 2.
    Professor Klosterhoff are probably the two most
 3
    preeminent experts in the world with regard to
 4
    this subject of pore size and what impact that can
 5
    have clinically for a patient, in the world?
 6
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 7
                                  I think that they are
                    THE WITNESS:
 8
          individuals that are -- have done a
 9
          tremendous amount of research and have a,
10
         have a strong knowledge base on it, but I
11
          don't know that they -- you know, if they're
12
          only evaluating meshes that are explanted
13
          from symptomatic individuals, you can't draw
14
          that conclusion that the findings that they
15
          see are, you know, absolutely a causative
16
          reason for why the patient -- why the
17
          scarring is there.
18
    BY MR. SLATER:
19
               Move to strike.
          0
20
               Would you agree with me that Dr. Klinge
21
    and Professor Klosterhoff are probably considered
22
    to be the two most preeminent scientists in the
23
    world with regard to the question of the
24
    significance of pore size in meshes used within
25
    the human body?
```

- 1 And limit it to that question.
- Would you agree with that statement?
- A I think they're one of the major
- 4 authorities. I don't know if I would say the most
- <sup>5</sup> authority.
- 6 Q Can you name anybody else?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do you know whether or not Dr. Klinge
- 9 and Professor Klosterhoff told Ethicon that they
- believed that the pores need to be at least one
- 11 millimeter in all directions in actual use in the
- body to be safe?
- 13 A I don't know whether they told Ethicon
- 14 that or not.
- Q Let's go to the fourth Klinge article,
- 16 "PVDF as a New Polymer for the Construction of
- 17 Surgical Meshes."
- Do you know what PVDF is?
- 19 A I did at one point. I'm trying to
- 20 remember at this point now.
- Q Are you pulling the article out?
- 22 A I'm looking to see if I have it, yes.
- Q What PVDF is?
- 24 A I'm looking to see whether I have that
- 25 article in my folder here.

- 1 Q Doctor, Doctor, my question is: As you
- 2 sit here now without looking at the article, do
- you know what PVDF is?
- 4 A I can't say that right this minute, no.
- Q Okay.
- 6 That was my question.
- 7 A I had previously answered that.
- 8 Q You listed articles here by Neilson,
- 9 several articles regarding the TVT.
- Why were those listed?
- 11 A Oh, I'm sorry.
- The -- some of the original information
- 13 regarding how mesh responds in the body or in a
- tunnel of the body can be potentially looked at
- when you look at a TVT type procedure where
- similar strips or arms of material have been
- 17 placed in the body.
- 18 Q Do you know whether or not these
- 19 articles by Neilson actually deal with the TVT
- that was marketed by Ethicon?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I can't recall that.
- 23 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you feel that there can be
- significance to -- well, rephrase.

- Even though the TVT is a different
- device than the Prolift, do you feel that
- information as to how the TVT reacts with tissue
- 4 can be significant to you with regard to how the
- 5 Prolift will react in the body?
- 6 A Yes. In my clinical experience, yes.
- 7 Q Look at the cytotoxicity testing for the
- 8 TVT?
- 9 A Did I look at that?
- 10 O Yes.
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Do you, do you know what cytotoxicity
- 13 testing is?
- 14 A I don't know the specific testing that
- would be done for it, but I'm basing this based on
- my clinical experience with dealing with these
- types of materials and meshes placed in the body
- under the epithelium and in the, in the tissues of
- 19 the pelvis.
- Q Move to strike after, I think the answer
- was something to the effect of "I don't know what
- 22 cytotoxicity testing is," and then there was --
- the second part of the answer is about the
- doctor's own experience. I'm moving to strike
- that second part of the answer.

- Did anybody ever tell you that the
- 2 cytotoxicity testing for the TVT showed that it
- 3 had moderate to severe cytotoxicity, which was an
- 4 indicator that there were the potential issues
- with biocompatibility of that mesh in the human
- 6 body?
- Were you ever aware of that?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: No.
- 10 BY MR. SLATER:
- 11 Q Are you aware of what Ethicon told the
- 12 FDA with regard to whether or not comparison of
- the Prolift to the TVT was appropriate in
- 14 determining the safety and effectiveness for the
- 15 Prolift?
- 16 A No, I don't know what Ethicon told the
- 17 FDA regarding that.
- 18 Q You have a long list of articles here,
- and I certainly don't want to ask you about every
- single one of them.
- 21 If you were to be walking up to the
- witness stand right now, and you wanted to tell
- the jury what you thought were the ten most
- important articles to support your opinions on
- this literature list, which ones would you point

- 1 to?
- 2 A It would totally depend upon what
- questions I was asked regarding my opinions.
- 4 Q Obviously I don't want to be in a
- 5 guessing game, so let me take a step back.
- 6 Your report does not specifically refer
- 7 to the articles on this literature list; correct?
- 8 A It does not reference them specifically
- 9 during the report, no.
- Q So if I look at your report, that will
- 11 not tell me which of those articles you believe to
- be most significant to you, because they're not
- 13 identified?
- 14 A I'm not sure that question to be the,
- the reason -- or "because" I don't think is, is a
- 16 valid comment. I have --
- 17 Q Let me tell you what I'm trying to get
- 18 at. You gave me the answer. That's fine.
- Here's what I'm asking you.
- You are now on the witness stand in
- 21 front of the jury in New Jersey, and you get asked
- the following question by counsel for
- Johnson & Johnson: "Doctor, you have a long list
- of literature here in the materials you reviewed.
- 25 Can you tell me your top ten list of the most

```
1
    important ten articles that support your opinions
 2
    in this case?"
 3
               Can you point those out to me, please.
 4
                    MR. COMBS: Object to the form.
 5
                                   I mean I can't -- I
                    THE WITNESS:
 6
          can potentially tell you articles that I
 7
          think are important relative to my expert
 8
          report, but since I am not, you know, able to
 9
         know exactly what opinions are going to be
10
          asked of me at -- in the future on the stand
11
         by either counsel for defense or by counsel
12
          for the plaintiff, I can't sit there and say
13
          these are the ten most important articles.
14
    BY MR. SLATER:
15
               So you can't answer that, answer that
16
    question for me as you sit here now?
17
         Α
               Correct. I don't think that I would
18
    be -- it would be an accurate answer if I tried.
19
         0
               Let me ask you this.
20
               Your reports, which we -- well, all
21
            Let me, let me just -- we'll come back to
    right.
22
    that.
23
               In your list of materials reviewed,
    there's a section titled "Other."
24
25
               Am I correct you have not read all of
```

- 1 those materials on that list?
- 2 A There are -- one that I see sort of
- 3 right off, off the bat is that I have not reviewed
- 4 or read the plaintiff's specific complaints or her
- 5 I guess plaintiff's answers to uniform whatever,
- 6 the first two things listed. I don't recall
- <sup>7</sup> reading that.
- 8 Q Are you able to tell me which of these
- 9 materials you actually reviewed and are relying on
- 10 for your opinions?
- 11 A It will be all of the material that I
- don't tell -- sort of it's the opposite. I will
- tell you which ones I didn't do, because all the
- 14 rest of it would be in there.
- Q Okay.
- A So the first two I had told you.
- 17 Q That's fine.
- 18 A I don't recall having read the memo to
- 19 customer from Sean O'Brien.
- 20 Q So you're on the next page now?
- 21 A Yes.
- On the final page there are two articles
- 23 about the tissue reaction or biocompatibility.
- I'm quite sure that I read the first one on the
- tissue reaction in the rat. Don't recall at this

- 1 point whether or not I read the second one. I may
- 2 have. I just don't recall now a year later.
- 3 Q The second one is the Ethicon
- 4 biocompatibility risk --
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q -- assessment for the --
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q -- Prolift?
- 9 A I may or may not have. Again, I'm
- trying to remember what I read a year ago.
- 11 Q As you sit here now, is that document of
- 12 any significance to you?
- 13 A The, the biocompatibility risk document?
- 14 Is that what you're asking?
- 15 O Yes.
- A Well, if I don't remember whether I read
- it or not, I'm not sure I can tell you whether it
- is of any significance to me.
- 19 Q Is the 91-day tissue reaction study with
- the rat of significance to you?
- A Again, it depends on what you mean by
- 22 significant. It is a -- it is one of the studies
- that was done to look at tissue reactivity in an
- 24 animal model for a, you know, similar type of
- mesh, but -- and I know that there were some

- different reactivity issues but that there was
- 2 not, at least at this point, a specific -- the
- 3 reaction was not as pronounced as -- I'll just,
- 4 I'll just stop there. Sorry.
- 5 Q Anything about the 91-day rat study as
- 6 cited right here, that as you sit here now you can
- 7 say the result of that study is important in
- 8 supporting my opinions for this reason? Can you
- 9 tell me that?
- 10 A The rat study I quess I would say is
- important because it is an animal model evaluation
- 12 to look for tissue reactivity from the
- polypropylene mesh that was done prior to the
- 14 launch of Prolift.
- Q And what, if anything, about the results
- is significant to you in supporting your opinions?
- A Well, first of all, that the study was
- done in and of itself, and secondly, in the
- 19 particular study there was variations between the
- tissue reaction of the individual rats, so it
- wasn't as if all of the animals responded in
- 22 exactly the same way.
- So it does indicate that there is
- variability to the biologic response to a mesh
- 25 material.

- 1 Q You would agree with me that with regard
- to the Prolift, there is variability with how
- different women will react to having the Prolift
- 4 in their pelvis; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q And that can have a -- rephrase.
- 9 And that can be significant in terms of
- whether or not the outcome will be positive or
- 11 negative; correct?
- 12 A That can be a factor. The issue of it
- being significant, I mean I -- again, your
- definition of significant, my definition of
- significant may be different, but it can be a
- 16 factor in how the -- of the outcome, yes.
- 17 Q I define "significant" to not be
- 18 trivial. Okay?
- In that definition, you would agree that
- the variability in women's responses to having a
- 21 Prolift in their pelvis can be significant in the
- 22 context of whether or not they will have a good or
- bad outcome; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know that I

- can say that based on your definition of
- significance. It will be a factor. In some,
- in some patients it will be more of a factor
- 4 than it will be in other patients.
- 5 BY MR. SLATER:
- 6 Q For some women, their response to the
- 7 Prolift can be a significant factor in leading to
- 8 a poor outcome with a Prolift; correct?
- 9 A Poor outcome in terms of what?
- 10 Q In terms of complications in their
- 11 clinical course.
- 12 A I would say that's probably the case,
- that if a patient has an excess reaction to the
- 14 material, that they could have an increased risk
- of complications after the surgery, just like that
- would be true in any surgery that we do that
- 17 involves a material.
- 18 Q Move to strike from "just like" forward.
- 19 I'm going to limit my questions to the Prolift
- here.
- Did you ever see any warning to
- 22 physicians or patients that Ethicon was aware that
- 23 some women could have a more heightened reaction
- to the Prolift that could lead to a higher risk
- 25 for complications?

```
1
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 2.
                    THE WITNESS: I don't recall seeing
 3
         a statement to that degree of specificity,
 4
         no.
 5
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me one
 6
          second.
                   I'm going to change the tape.
 7
                    MR. SLATER:
                                 Okay.
 8
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going to
 9
          take a necessary break for about a couple
10
         minutes.
11
                    Going off record at 10:49.
12
                    (Whereupon, a short recess was
13
                    taken.)
14
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: At 10:56 we're
15
         on record, and let the record reflect at
16
          10:49 we went off record, ending tape 1.
17
          10:56 now, beginning tape 2 in our continuing
18
         deposition of Dr. Horbach.
19
    BY MR. SLATER:
20
               Dr. Horbach, could you look at the prior
21
    page, please, looking at your list of other
22
    materials.
23
               Right in the middle of the page, it says
24
    Exhibit 15, letter to Brian Lisa from Mark
25
    Melkerson, and it goes on to a listing of a bunch
```

- of different documents.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q Can you tell me what, if any,
- 5 significance there is to, to the documents listed
- 6 there.
- 7 A To my, the best of my recollection,
- 8 there was a discussion among individuals that were
- 9 at Ethicon regarding whether or not to proceed
- with evaluation and launching of a variation of
- 11 the Prolift, and they, and they called this the --
- 12 you know, Project Lightning was that particular
- decision whether or not to go forward or not with
- 14 it, and there were some discussions and cost data
- going back and forth regarding timing of the steps
- or the process for this project and/or cost issues
- that were involved, and they were trying to
- determine whether or not to proceed with it.
- 19 Q And was that significant to you in
- forming your opinions in this case?
- 21 A It was part of the information that I
- reviewed. I can't recall if I made a statement in
- 23 my report that was specifically -- would reference
- 24 that.
- Q As you sit here now, is there anything

- within those materials that is of significance to
- you in supporting your opinions in this case?
- 3 Anything you can point to right now?
- 4 A No, I don't think so from that
- 5 particular memo.
- 6 Q Do you know whether Ethicon was
- 7 concerned with the rates and consequences of
- 8 contraction and erosion of the Prolift?
- 9 A I don't know what they discussed about
- that or what they knew about it or their feelings
- 11 about it.
- 12 Q Would, would you agree with me that
- based on what you know, that Ethicon should have
- been concerned about contraction and erosion with
- 15 the Prolift?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q You ultimately stopped using the Prolift
- in about 2011; correct?
- 21 A Actually, I went back in my data in my
- office, the best we could retrieve it, as we're
- doing a practice separation, and it looks like it
- was more 2009 that I transferred to the lap --
- more of a laparoscopic type of procedure.

```
1
               So am I correct that you basically
 2
    stopped using the Prolift in 2009 and transitioned
    to laparoscopic abdominal sacral colpopexy?
 3
 4
               That is what I transitioned as my
 5
    primary treatment for apical prolapse.
 6
          0
               And that was based on your assessment of
 7
    the risk/benefit profile of the Prolift as
 8
    compared to laparoscopic abdominal
 9
    sacral colpopexy?
10
                    (Discussion was held off the
11
                    record.)
12
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
               In 2009, you transitioned from the
14
    Prolift -- well, rephrase.
15
               Am I correct that in 2009 you basically
16
    stopped using the Prolift and transitioned to the
17
    laparoscopic abdominal sacral colpopexy based on
18
    your assessment of the risk/benefit profiles for
19
    the alternative procedures?
20
         Α
               Yes.
21
               Risk/benefit profile for the Prolift --
          0
22
               I'm sorry. You, you cut out again at
          Α
23
    the beginning of your statement.
24
               Oh, that's not good.
          0
               In assessing the risk/benefit profile
25
```

- 1 for the Prolift, was one of your concerns on the
- 2 risk side the contraction that could occur with
- 3 the Prolift?
- 4 A That was not a factor in my clinical
- 5 decision-making regarding the risk/benefits of the
- 6 two procedures.
- 7 Q In assessing the risk/benefit profile
- 8 for the Prolift, was one of your concerns on the
- 9 risk side erosion?
- 10 A That was a hypothetical risk, although
- we were not really seeing any difference in
- erosion rates between sacrocolpopexies and between
- 13 Prolift. So for us clinically, that was not a
- 14 relevant part of the, of the decision-making.
- Q What were -- rephrase.
- When you stopped using the Prolift in
- 17 2009, what was the reason you stopped using it?
- 18 A The -- one of the, one of the balances
- of Prolift or different surgical procedures,
- whether it's Prolift, sacral colpopexy, other
- vaginal procedures without mesh is going to be
- what is the specific defect that I need to try to
- correct at the time of surgery, and which
- 24 procedure is going to be ideally most effective in
- 25 simply just the anatomic correction of the

- 1 problem, followed by the risks associated for the
- 2 procedure itself in terms of duration of surgery,
- in terms of, you know, interoperative and
- 4 post-operative complications, individual medical
- 5 history, individual bone structure.
- There's a whole host of different pieces
- of information I use in making a determination of
- 8 which procedure I choose to do.
- 9 Q You were using the same analysis before
- you stopped using the Prolift; correct?
- 11 A Yes, I was.
- 12 Q So what was it that occurred in 2009 to
- where your analysis led you to say, okay, I'm not
- 14 going to use the Prolift anymore?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 16 BY MR. SLATER:
- 17 Q What changed?
- 18 A One of the biggest changes was that we
- were able to transition from doing the sacral
- colpopexy as an open procedure to being able to do
- 21 it as a laparoscopic procedure.
- When the sacral colpopexy was something
- we performed as an open procedure, it had a then
- higher risk of potential complications and
- problems that then placed it perhaps at a

- disadvantage to the Prolift, whereas in our hands,
- when we were able to do the laparoscopic
- procedure, we were able to reduce some of the
- 4 problems that we had seen associated with the open
- 5 sacral colpopexy, so that the procedure is now
- 6 between sacral colpopexy and Prolift, would, at
- 7 least in the majority of my patients, end up going
- 8 towards the sacral colpopexy if I was looking to
- 9 do an apical support procedure.
- 10 Q So if I understand correctly, one factor
- in why you stopped using the Prolift in 2009 was
- because you felt that the laparoscopic sacral
- 13 colpopexy alleviated some of the morbidity that
- was associated with the open procedure, and all
- things being equal at that point, you felt the
- laparoscopic procedure was a better procedure for
- your patients than the Prolift.
- Do I understand that correctly?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: The first part is
- correct. The second part is too much of a
- generalization. My comment was that I felt
- in the balance that a laparoscopic sacral
- colpopexy provided a better option for my
- patients with an apical prolapse.

```
1
                    We're not discussing, you know,
 2.
         midline, anterior walls, posterior walls, et
 3
          cetera. We're simply talking about apical
 4
         prolapse.
 5
    BY MR. SLATER:
 6
          0
               You told me you stopped using the
 7
    Prolift in 2009; correct?
 8
         Α
               Correct.
 9
               You stopped using it for all defects,
          0
10
    whether it was apical, anterior, posterior.
11
               You stopped using it completely;
12
    correct?
13
         Α
               Yes.
14
               So if a woman came into your office and
          0
15
    she had an anterior vaginal wall defect like what
16
    Pam Wicker had, from 2009, when you stopped using
17
    the Prolift, forward, you were not recommending
18
    the Prolift to that patient, you were recommending
19
    an alternative procedure; correct?
20
               Yes, but it wasn't -- she didn't -- her
         Α
21
    anterior vaginal wall prolapse was not a,
22
    specifically a midline defect. She had an apical
23
    defect as well, so it would have been that her
24
    apex needed to be supported, and that would have
25
    most likely corrected her anterior vaginal wall
```

- 1 relaxation that was coming out the hymen.
- So I would have recommended a procedure
- 3 that would provide apical support, and at that
- 4 particular time I felt that the risk/benefits of
- 5 laparoscopic sacral colpopexy versus Prolift for
- 6 apical support problem would probably be in favor
- <sup>7</sup> of the sacral colpopexy.
- 8 Q When you had patients come to you in
- 9 2009, from the point when you stopped using the
- 10 Prolift, with a defect that was not apical in any
- 11 way, you were not recommending the Prolift to
- them, you were recommending an alternative
- procedure; correct?
- 14 A So if their apex was fine and it was a
- midline anterior/posterior procedure thing, no, I
- wasn't recommending the Prolift, but I probably
- wouldn't have been recommending the Prolift to
- those patients prior to 2009 either.
- It's not something that I -- I would
- have used it more in patients with a combined
- defect rather than a single isolated midline
- defect.
- Q From your perspective, you felt the
- Prolift was not indicated for your patients unless
- there was an apical defect?

- A Again, it's not that black and white.
- 2 It is -- if there was an apical defect that was
- 3 manifesting potentially with anterior vaginal
- 4 relaxation, or there was an apical defect
- 5 manifesting with posterior, you know, prolapse,
- 6 and certain other criteria were met, then I would
- 7 recommend a Prolift in that particular patient.
- 8 If there were different criteria with the same
- 9 anatomy, I still may have recommended a, you know,
- 10 a different procedure than a Prolift.
- I mean that's the hard part is that
- 12 anatomy of the pelvis, and when you're trying to
- do a prolapse operation, you are rarely presented
- with a single isolated defect of support. It's
- usually a global issue, and so you have to
- determine what is the best approach to dealing
- with the global components of that patient's
- prolapse.
- 19 Q From -- well, let me ask you this
- question.
- Where you had patients that did not have
- 22 apical defects, what procedure were you -- or
- procedures were you recommending?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It would depend to

- some extent on the patient's prior history as
- well as, you know, other factors that were
- played -- other factors that came into
- 4 account. I would recommend for some patients
- 5 potentially a colpectomy or colpocleisis.
- I would recommend for other patients perhaps
- a native tissue repair. I would recommend
- for other patients perhaps a Prolift.
- 9 BY MR. SLATER:
- 10 Q Did you perform Prolifts on women
- 11 without apical defects?
- 12 A Probably. Again, I'd have to go back,
- 13 but probably.
- 14 Q If you did, it was very, very few;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A I'm not going to say very, very few. I
- can't give you the specific numbers.
- 18 Q Do you remember any patient that did not
- 19 have an apical defect where you performed a
- 20 Prolift on that patient?
- 21 A An individual patient off the top of my
- head, no, but I only remember a few of the
- 23 patients specifically that I did Prolifts in,
- 24 regardless.
- Q Well, whether you can remember the name

- of the patient or not, do you remember any
- 2 particular patient or any specific instance where
- you performed a Prolift on a patient who did not
- 4 have an apical defect?
- 5 A Yes, I have done that.
- 6 Q How many times? How many times?
- 7 A I can't tell you that number.
- Q It would be very few; correct?
- 9 A I can't tell you that number.
- 10 Q It would probably be less than ten
- 11 patients; correct?
- 12 A I can't answer that question.
- Q You still feel that native tissue repair
- is a reasonable procedure; correct?
- A For which part?
- Q Women with prolapse.
- 17 A Depends on what part of their pelvis is
- 18 prolapsing.
- 19 Q As a general proposition, you would
- agree with me that native tissue repair is a
- reasonable alternative; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It is an alternative.
- In some patients it's more reasonable than
- others.

```
1
    BY MR. SLATER:
 2.
          0
               Okay.
 3
               After you stopped using the Prolift in
 4
    2009, if a woman did not have an apical defect,
 5
    what were the options that you were offering?
 6
               Just want to know the list of procedures
 7
    you would offer to that patient.
 8
         Α
               Without an apical defect?
 9
         0
               Yes.
10
               So it's midline only.
         Α
11
               I would -- most likely it would be
12
    either a -- if it was a nonsexually active
13
    patient, it might be a colpectomy, especially if
14
    the anterior wall was a large prolapse or there
15
    was significant dilation of the introitus or the
16
    patient had failed prior surgery. Alternatively,
17
    I would probably use a native tissue repair.
18
    don't think I was using biologic grafts at that
19
    particular time period.
20
               Have you since begun to use biologic
21
    grafts?
22
               I tend not to, since my experience with
         Α
23
    using them, and I can't remember whether it was
24
    pre-2009 or post, was that the biologic grafts
25
    really don't provide any additional benefit for
```

- 1 prolapse repairs, and in the posterior vaginal
- wall, they actually can worsen the outcome of the
- 3 prolapse repair.
- Q So after 2009, if you had a patient with
- 5 no apical defect, you would either offer the
- 6 patient native tissue repair, or if the woman was
- 7 not sexually active or had some other very extreme
- 8 medical history, you would offer a colpectomy; is
- 9 that a good understanding?
- 10 A Yes, and actually I would, I would put
- 11 Prolift on the options that I would offer her. It
- doesn't -- they may not have chosen Prolift, but I
- 13 certainly would have still counseled them
- 14 regarding that being an option.
- Q Well, I thought you stopped using it
- 16 completely.
- A My decision to stop using it isn't
- necessarily solely going to be my decision. If I
- 19 counsel a patient regarding treatment options and
- the pros and cons of treatment options, and the
- patient chooses not to have that procedure, then
- I'm not going to have a record that I did the
- procedure, but that doesn't mean that I didn't
- offer it to the patient.
- Q Well, after the point -- well, let me

- 1 ask you this.
- When in 2009 did you perform your last
- 3 Prolift?
- 4 A I don't remember exactly. We tried to
- 5 print it out, and I couldn't -- I don't remember
- 6 exactly.
- 7 Q But it's on -- it's documented in your
- 8 office?
- 9 A The hard part is we don't know that that
- is the complete documentation because of the split
- we're doing in our practice and the ability to go
- back into the computer system and try to retrieve
- all of the information. There's -- the
- information is in several different sites, and
- some of it is more retrievable than others.
- 16 Q There came a point in 2009 where you
- performed your last Prolift procedure.
- You don't know the exact date, but it
- occurred in 2009; correct?
- 20 A Correct. I could not find any record
- 21 for having performed a Prolift in 2010. Again, it
- might have happened. I just couldn't find any
- <sup>23</sup> documented record.
- Q Based on your recollection -- well,
- rephrase.

- 1 Is your recollection consistent with
- your review of the documentation in your office
- 3 that the last Prolift you performed was in 2009
- 4 sometime?
- 5 A Well, actually my recollection probably
- 6 wasn't consistent with that documentation, because
- 7 in my previous deposition I said that I thought I
- 8 had continued to perform Prolifts up until 2011.
- 9 So obviously my recollection was that I continued
- to perform them for a longer period of time, but I
- could not find documentation for 2010 and 2011
- with the system that I have available.
- Q After the, the date, whatever that was,
- in 2009 when you performed your last Prolift
- procedure, did you continue to offer the Prolift
- 16 as an option to patients?
- 17 A Yes.
- Q Did any of those patients say, okay, I
- want a Prolift?
- 20 A Obviously not, since I didn't do it past
- that point.
- Q If a patient had said yes, I want a
- Prolift, would you have performed it, or would
- 24 it -- would you have referred them to a different
- 25 surgeon?

- 1 A It depends on whether I think the
- 2 Prolift would be an appropriate procedure for
- 3 them.
- I do recall one specific patient where
- 5 the family, the daughter wanted the patient to
- 6 have a Prolift, and I did not think that it was an
- 7 appropriate choice for that individual patient, so
- 8 I, I said that I would not do that, and if they
- 9 wanted a Prolift, they could go elsewhere.
- 10 Q What happened?
- 11 A They went elsewhere but then turned
- 12 around and came back to me, because they were told
- by everybody else that I was the best one to do
- their surgery, and I did not do her surgery.
- Q What was the consent discussion -- well,
- 16 rephrase.
- When you were consenting patients in
- 18 2009 before you stopped using the Prolift, what
- were the risks that you were telling the patients
- 20 about the Prolift?
- 21 A I'm going to isolate this to more
- specifically the choice of, let's say, Prolift as
- 23 a material versus sutures versus sacrocolpopexy,
- et cetera, because the typical risks of, you know,
- injury to the bladder, injury to the rectum, you

- 1 know, all the kind of normal surgical things and
- stuff, we're going to assume that that's already
- 3 part of the factor.
- 4 Is that okay?
- 5 O That's fine.
- 6 The general surgical risks that would be
- 7 consistent from procedure to procedure, just
- because you're operating, I don't need you to go
- 9 through those, because we understand those to be
- the same regardless of what procedure.
- 11 A Okay.
- So if I was counseling a patient
- 13 regarding a choice of a surgical procedure and
- whether Prolift was appropriate for her or not, I
- would first start by discussing with her what her
- 16 anatomic defect is, and therefore, which --
- 17 Q I don't mean to interrupt you, Doctor.
- 18 I -- this -- my question is very simple.
- I just want to know the list of risks
- you were counseling patients about with regard to
- the Prolift in 2009, aside from those general
- 22 surgical risks that would apply regardless of what
- type of surgery you're doing just because they're
- 24 surgical risks in general.
- I just want to know the risks, the list

```
1
    of risks.
 2.
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 3
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   I would say that
 4
          failure of the procedure; reaction to the
 5
         material, including erosion into the vagina,
 6
         bladder, rectum that could require future
 7
          surgery; pain; scarring; risk of stress
 8
         urinary incontinence post-operatively; risk
 9
          of irritating voiding, symptoms of urgency,
10
          frequency.
11
                    When we talk about post-operative
12
         pain issues, you know, we talk about
13
          post-operative pain, whether it's a surgical
14
         pain, you know, around the time of surgery,
15
         whether it's long-term pain from scarring or
16
          whether it is dyspareunia, depending on
17
          whether or not the patient is sexually
18
          active.
19
                    I'm trying to remember if there was
20
          anything else on the list that I would
21
          specifically say. To the best of my -- I
22
         mean I may remember another one, but to the
23
         best of my recollection, that was the bulk of
24
          it.
25
```

```
1
    BY MR. SLATER:
 2.
               In 2009, if a woman was under the age of
         0
 3
    60 and sexually active, were you cautious about
 4
    whether or not to recommend a Prolift?
 5
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 6
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I would still, I
 7
         would still have Prolift as a possible option
 8
          on the, on the list of choices.
 9
                    I think what you may need to
10
         understand also is that I don't tell a
11
         patient what surgery she should have.
12
          isn't my role. My role is to present her
13
          with the potential options for correcting her
14
         problem, and the pros and cons of each of
15
          those options, and depending upon the
16
          individual goal that the patient has for her
17
          surgery, she may choose one option over
18
          another, even if it wasn't maybe my first
          choice for her.
19
20
                    If I feel that the option is
21
          absolutely not a good choice for her, as in
22
          this one particular patient, I won't
23
          recommend it or I wouldn't put it on the
24
          table or I wouldn't agree to do it, but I
25
          will at times do a procedure in a patient
```

1 where it's not necessarily my first choice 2. for that patient, but because -- based on my 3 totally objective position as a physician, 4 but because the patient has different goals 5 perhaps than what I might have, they are 6 willing to take more or less of a risk, and 7 they choose a different alternative. 8 BY MR. SLATER: 9 When you counsel a patient, one thing 0 10 you do is you say -- you'll tell the patient what 11 you think are the reasonable options for her 12 prolapse condition; correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 It's common for the patient to say, 15 okay, those are the options, but Doctor, which one 16 do you recommend is the option that you think is 17 best for me, and can you explain to me why? 18 That, that's common; right? They want 19 the recommendation; right? 20 Α I'm asked that question. I rarely give 21 them an answer that is where I say this is what I 22 would do. I rarely say that to a patient. 23 Q Okay. 24 Let's take a woman who is under the age

of 60, she's sexually active, you offer the

25

- 1 Prolift as one of the options.
- Were you telling a patient with that
- profile, however, you need to be cautious because
- 4 of the risks to a sexually active woman with a
- 5 Prolift? Was that something that you would
- 6 include in your discussion in 2009?
- 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I would do that
- 9 whether she was under 60 or over 60.
- 10 BY MR. SLATER:
- 11 Q Okay.
- When you stopped using the Prolift in
- 13 2009, did your partners in your medical practice
- 14 also stop using it?
- 15 A I don't recall when they converted to
- doing laparoscopic as their primary method. I do
- think that one in particular did continue using
- the Prolift for an additional period of time,
- 19 because he was not experienced with doing
- 20 laparoscopic surgery, so he did continue using
- transvaginal mesh type procedures for a longer
- 22 period of time.
- Q You actually published an article
- regarding laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy in 2012;
- 25 correct?

- 1 A Yes, that was published. One of our
- fellows had gone through some of the information
- <sup>3</sup> from our practice, yes.
- 4 Q One of the things it says in the article
- is, "Our suture extrusions were of minimal
- 6 clinical significance, as most were asymptomatic
- 7 and none required reoperation."
- 8 That was, that was one of the things
- 9 that was stated in that article; correct?
- 10 A I assume that you're reading it, yes. I
- mean I don't have it in front of me.
- 12 Q It's on page 116 of the article, just
- 13 for the record.
- 14 A Do you have the -- do you have that as
- 15 an exhibit?
- 16 Q No, I don't. I'm just going to ask you
- <sup>17</sup> a quick question.
- The reason -- well, rephrase.
- In your experience, a suture extrusion
- is usually of minimal clinical significance;
- 21 correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q In balance, if there's an extrusion of a

- 1 suture as compared to an extrusion of Prolift
- 2 mesh, it's more likely that the Prolift mesh
- 3 extrusion is going to be more clinically
- 4 significant; correct?
- 5 A Depends on the size of the mesh
- 6 extrusion. Some, some mesh extrusions may be
- 7 totally asymptomatic, and others may be
- 8 symptomatic.
- 9 Q General proposition, you would agree
- that an extrusion of Prolift mesh will be more
- 11 clinically significant than an extrusion of a
- 12 suture; correct?
- A Again, it depends upon if you're talking
- 14 about the same size of mesh that's -- the same --
- a suture is going to be a certain size. If you're
- talking about a mesh erosion that's the same size,
- then they are both going to be probably fairly
- 18 similar in their clinical manifestation, but if
- 19 you're talking about a suture versus a larger mesh
- erosion, then the larger mesh erosion will
- typically be more clinically significant.
- Q Okay.
- In your list of materials, the "Other"
- section, on the page where we -- I had just been
- asking you about, fourth from the top is a

- 1 clinical expert report regarding the Prolift,
- <sup>2</sup> dated July 2, 2010.
- Was that document of significance to you
- 4 in forming your opinions in this case?
- 5 A I would have to pull the document before
- 6 I could say specifically what the significance of
- 7 that document was. It was part of what I looked
- 8 at in forming my opinion.
- 9 Q As you sit here now, is there anything
- 10 about that document that you can say, you know,
- this was significant for this reason, I'm relying
- on it for this reason for one of my opinions?
- 13 Anything like that you can tell me as you sit here
- 14 now?
- A Without pulling the article, the
- document, I cannot say that.
- 17 Q I saw no references to that document in
- your report.
- 19 Is that accurate, based on your
- 20 knowledge of your report?
- 21 A Not necessarily, because I didn't really
- reference any of the -- I referenced very few of
- the articles specifically by author, name, et
- cetera.
- Q Do you know what a clinical expert

- 1 report is?
- 2 A In this particular patient or this
- 3 situation? I'm trying to remember the specifics,
- 4 but I believe I recall it. I think perhaps --
- 5 Q What is a clinical expert report?
- 6 A Just like what I've -- you know, a
- 7 report -- like what -- how can I explain it other
- 8 than it's a person who is an expert that's giving
- 9 a report on a particular product?
- Let me see if I can pull that particular
- 11 article so that I can talk more --
- 12 Q I'm not asking you to pull it. Please
- don't. Doctor, I'm asking you not to pull it out,
- 14 please.
- 15 A Then I can't discuss it with you.
- Q Okay, and that may be so.
- Do you know what the purpose of a
- 18 clinical expert report is within Ethicon?
- 19 A No, I don't know what Ethicon uses that
- 20 for.
- Q And as you sit here now, there's nothing
- you can tell me about the July 2, 2010 clinical
- expert report for the Prolift? There's nothing
- you can tell me about it at all as you sit here
- now; correct?

- 1 A I told you that I can't answer that
- question without retrieving the document.
- Q Did you prepare for this deposition
- 4 today?
- 5 A I did, yes.
- 6 Q How much time did you spend preparing
- 7 overall for this deposition?
- 8 A In the --
- 9 Q Not just today, but total.
- 10 A Well, it depends on what -- again, it
- depends on what you mean.
- 12 If you're talking about preparing the
- 13 actual reports for the deposition that were done a
- 14 year ago, that is one bulk of time. If you're
- talking about how much time I spent to prepare in
- the recent past, you know, recently for this
- particular deposition, that's going to be a
- 18 different amount of time.
- 19 Q Why don't you tell me both.
- 20 A So the amount of time that I spent prior
- to, in drafting, in reviewing this information and
- drafting the reports, probably is going to be
- close to 80 to 100 hours. I don't recall right
- off the top of my head.
- The amount of time that I have spent

- 1 more recently within the last couple weeks
- 2 preparing for this deposition probably approaches
- 3 around 50 hours.
- 4 Q As part of that preparation, did you
- 5 review the documents listed in this list of
- 6 materials?
- 7 A Did I go back and reread them? Not --
- 8 Q Any of them.
- 9 A Not -- I did not reread all of the --
- 10 yes, I did read some of them again, but I didn't
- 11 read all of them again.
- 12 It was primarily based -- I read
- primarily clinical information, such as the
- 14 medical record reports, transcripts of
- depositions. I reread those rather than, per se,
- 16 the articles.
- Q Do you know whether the IFU for the
- 18 Prolift was changed over the course of time to add
- 19 risks --
- 20 A Yes.
- Q -- or warnings?
- 22 A Yes, it was.
- Q Do you know why that happened?
- A I can only make an assumption.
- Q From your review of the materials that

- 1 you looked at, do you know why it occurred
- 2 specifically, not an assumption, but factually why
- 3 the changes were made?
- 4 A I don't have an internal Ethicon
- 5 document that says we are making these changes in
- 6 the IFU because of this, no. I have not seen a
- 7 document to that effect.
- 8 Q Are you aware of whether or not the
- 9 discussion of risks and benefits in the patient
- brochure for the Prolift was changed over the
- 11 course of time?
- 12 A Yes, it was.
- 13 Q Do you know why?
- 14 A Again, I would be making an assumption
- of why they made the change.
- Q Based on a review of any documents or
- deposition testimony as to why those changes were
- 18 made?
- 19 A I told you that I didn't review the
- depositions of the Ethicon employees, so I can't
- certainly do it based on that, and I don't recall
- having seen a specific written document, email, et
- cetera, about why they specifically made the
- 24 changes in the patient brochure information.
- 25 Q The depositions of Ethicon witnesses

- 1 that are listed on your list of materials, you did
- 2 not review those; correct?
- 3 A Yes. That's what -- we said that at the
- 4 very beginning. I did not.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 Did anybody tell you why those materials
- 7 were being listed on -- well, let me ask you this.
- Did you prepare this list, or did
- 9 counsel prepare this list?
- 10 A This was a list that was, that was put
- 11 together based on both counsel and myself.
- Q When you saw the depositions of Ethicon
- witnesses listed on here that you had not read,
- 14 did you question that or suggest to take their
- names off since you hadn't reviewed those
- materials and since you hadn't looked at them?
- 17 A No, I did not suggest that.
- 18 Q Up until right now, have you ever made
- an effort on your own part to review internal
- 20 Medical Affairs or other documents from Ethicon to
- try to get an understanding of what their
- 22 knowledge was with regard to the Prolift?
- 23 A I guess the simple answer would be no, I
- have not contacted them and asked them for
- 25 specific documents that were internal documents.

- 1 Q You understood that if you wanted to,
- you could have asked counsel to give you internal
- 3 Ethicon documents so you could have an
- 4 understanding of what Ethicon's internal
- 5 information was?
- 6 You knew you could have asked for that
- <sup>7</sup> if you wanted to; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 10 BY MR. SLATER:
- 11 Q You chose not to; correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Let's look at Exhibit 5, if we could.
- This is a document that we were provided
- about two days ago titled "Supplemental Expert
- 16 Report General and Specific to Pamela Wicker."
- What is this document?
- A I was provided additional medical
- 19 records and deposition testimony on very short
- 20 notice that I used in confirming my opinions or
- 21 adding to my opinions that I had previously had in
- this particular case. The documents also provided
- me an update regarding her medical treatment,
- 24 medical visits since the time that I had done the
- 25 IME.

- So I was asked by counsel to provide a
- 2 brief additional statement based on the additional
- information that I reviewed that was sort of --
- 4 some of it which was sort of hot off the press,
- 5 including Ms. Wicker's recent deposition.
- 6 So that's what --
- 7 Q When were these materials, when were
- 8 these materials provided to you that you based
- 9 this supplemental report on?
- 10 A Many of them within the last -- less
- 11 than a week.
- 12 Q What materials did you actually review
- to write this supplemental report?
- 14 A Do you want to start with -- well, let's
- see. Where shall we start with? I can just start
- with medical records, I assume, I guess.
- Some of this information is redundant
- 18 from the other list, but the medical records that
- 19 I reviewed was her updated medical records, the
- issues of having been seen for the headache
- evaluation, the more recent information from
- 22 Dr. Raz.
- Q Let me ask you this.
- Are you able to -- well, rephrase.
- 25 Attached to your one-page supplemental

- 1 report is a list of materials from pages 2 through
- 2 6.
- What I would like to know is which
- 4 materials listed on pages 2 through 6 did you
- 5 actually read as support for this supplemental
- 6 report.
- 7 A The --
- Q If we go to page 2, let's start on page
- 9 2 on the literature list.
- Did you read any of those materials in
- order to write this supplemental report?
- 12 A These were -- well, some -- most of the
- materials were read prior to even the supplemental
- 14 report. There are a couple things that, you
- know -- the Lee article, which was recent, I read
- just recently, within the last couple days.
- Q Are you saying you've read every bit of
- the literature on page 2?
- 19 A I believe so. At one point or the
- other, yes.
- Q Well, let me ask you this.
- You wrote a supplemental report where
- you gave I guess clarification and, and -- on your
- opinions.
- A Mm-hmm.

- 1 Q You don't cite any of this medical
- literature in that report; correct?
- A No. I was given very short amount of
- 4 time to try to provide this, and I have an
- 5 extremely busy clinical practice, so the ability
- on the short notice that I was given as well as
- 7 the short notice of receiving some of the
- 8 documents, i.e., Pam Wicker's deposition from
- 9 Monday, it would have been pretty much impossible
- 10 for me to have been able to write a report and
- 11 cite specifically each thing that was included in
- 12 that report.
- 13 Q Here is what I want to understand.
- You said you were provided materials
- less than a week ago. You reviewed those specific
- materials, wrote this supplemental report, and it
- was served on me a couple days ago.
- That's what occurred; correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q I want to know what are the materials
- you actually read in the last less than a week to
- write this supplemental report, and I'll start you
- 23 off.
- It says you read Dr. Raz's trial
- deposition.

1 Α Yes. 2. 0 So that's something you read? 3 Α Yes. 4 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 5 BY MR. SLATER: 6 0 You've told me that you read Pam 7 Wicker's deposition from earlier this week. 8 So you read that; correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 Did you read both of those documents in 11 their entirety? 12 Α Yes. 13 Have you watched the video of Dr. Raz's 14 trial testimony or have you just read the 15 transcript? 16 I have just read the transcript. 17 Other than reading Dr. Raz's trial 0 18 testimony and reading Mrs. Wicker's testimony from 19 Monday, what else did you read in the last week in 20 preparation for writing this supplemental report? 21 I read Wallace's deposition. I read, to Α 22 the best of my recollection, I'm not sure, the, 23 the three articles that are by Dr. Tu, I quess, T-U, one or a combination of those. 24 25 Q Oh, the three articles by Dr. Tu?

- 1 A Tu, yes, one or the other of those. I
  2 think I briefly looked at Ozel. That -- I mean
- 3 some of these things had been -- you know,
- 4 obviously I had read previously, but I think I
- 5 looked briefly at that article again.
- I looked briefly at the Nygaard article.
- 7 Q That's the "(2013) Long-term
- 8 Outcomes" --
- 9 A Right.
- 10 Q -- "following ASC"?
- 11 A Yep. That's the only one that's listed
- 12 here.
- I looked at the Lee article, as we
- 14 talked about. I'm trying to remember. I looked
- at one of the -- I'm going to kill his name --
- 16 Jacquetin articles. I don't remember if that's,
- if it's specifically this one or not, but I
- 18 remember reading more recently one of his articles
- or her articles, because I can't pronounce the
- 20 name. I think I read the Barber article. The
- 21 Azar article.
- Yeah, those are the best of my
- recollection that I had either reviewed prior to
- 24 this. Only -- I mean the Lee article was one of
- the ones that was, you know, absolutely brand new

- 1 kind of thing.
- 2 Many of these I've had previously and
- 3 sort of looked back at. The Pelvic Pain One
- 4 issues were a part of it. I mean I've even pulled
- 5 articles where information -- you know, last night
- 6 that isn't totally on this list, because I haven't
- 7 had the ability to put it on the list, so . . .
- The medical record -- I'm sorry -- the
- 9 medical record part, the parts of the medical
- 10 record that were new since her -- since I did the
- 11 IME, so that would include the Newman, Nathan
- 12 Newman reports.
- Q Okay.
- 14 A From that stuff. The Mueller more
- 15 recent one. I don't see it, but it may be under a
- different name, but in terms of starting the beta
- blockers. The Raz report from March 2013. There
- were two Mueller visits. The headache clinic
- 19 visits that she had.
- The visits -- the foot -- she had an
- 21 evaluation for some left foot pain in, from March
- of 2013 and April 2013, and she was seen by an
- orthopedist for that. Follow-up headache clinic
- issues. Follow-up headache clinic issues, and
- 25 Soundview Medical in September for her evaluation

- 1 for hypothyroidism.
- Those are the things I've specifically
- 3 listed here.
- 4 Q Now let's go to the list of "Other" on
- 5 page 5 of 6.
- 6 Did you read any of these materials in
- 7 the last week in preparation of this supplemental
- 8 report?
- 9 A Probably not, but let me look through.
- The ACOG practice bulletin March 2004
- and 2011. I think that's probably -- I mean I
- went back and looked, you know, briefly at the
- 13 IFUs and the patient brochure information and some
- of the educational information, but I can't tell
- you which of those umteen things listed there it
- is, because it was just very, you know, flipping
- through them, looking for stuff.
- 18 Again, there may be --
- 19 Q This list -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 20 A There may be additional new medical
- 21 records. There was the one -- the Lawrence Newman
- I think is one of her newer physicians.
- So there may be additional records that
- I looked at from, care from -- whatchamacallit --
- last year after my IME onward, but I just didn't

- 1 necessarily make a notation in my handwritten
- 2 notes, so there may be some additional things on
- 3 this one here.
- 4 Q This supplemental report does not
- 5 reference any medical literature; correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q This supplemental report does not list
- 8 or reference any expert reports; correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q This supplemental report references the
- 11 deposition of -- the trial deposition transcript
- of Dr. Raz and the transcript of Ms. Wicker's --
- 13 Mrs. Wicker's deposition this week; correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q It does not reference any other
- depositions; correct?
- A Well, the reference to Dr. Moldwin
- was -- can -- is partially from deposition and
- 19 partially from medical record.
- Q Okay.
- There's no other references to any
- deposition testimony; correct?
- 23 A There's not a reference to, although I
- 24 did -- I'm just trying to remember which ones I
- 25 have looked at further.

```
1
               There's --
 2.
          0
               Move to strike.
 3
               There's no other reference to any
 4
    deposition transcripts in the supplemental report;
 5
    correct?
 6
          Α
               Correct, although I can add to the
 7
    supplemental list that I did re-review a portion
 8
    of Dr. Harris' deposition.
 9
               I'm trying to remember who else.
                                                  There
10
    may be additional, but I don't recall right now.
11
               You listed certain specific facts from
          0
12
    certain medical records; correct?
13
          Α
               Yes.
14
               The list of other documents on pages 5
          0
15
    and 6, none of those are referenced in your
16
    supplemental report; correct?
17
               They're not specifically referenced in
          Α
18
         They are information that I used.
    it.
19
               Move to strike.
          Q
20
               None of the documents on page 5 or 6
    attached to this supplemental report are
21
22
    referenced in the supplemental report; correct?
23
         Α
               Correct.
               On pages 5 and 6, there were lists of
24
    anatomical videos, professional education slide
25
```

- 1 decks, IFUs, patient brochures.
- None of them are referenced in the
- 3 supplemental report; correct?
- 4 A I already answered that question.
- 5 Correct.
- 6 Q And as you sit here now, you couldn't
- 7 tell me which of these you may have glanced at in
- 8 the last week before writing this report; correct?
- 9 A Are you talking about from page, from
- 10 pages 5 and 6?
- 11 Q Right.
- 12 A From the anatomic videos and the Prolift
- education ones, I can't tell you which of those I
- 14 specifically looked at. I can tell you that the
- 15 ACOG practice --
- 16 Q That wasn't my question. Doctor, I
- didn't ask about anything else. I didn't ask
- 18 about ACOG.
- 19 A You asked me about pages 5 and 6.
- 20 ACOG --
- 21 Q I asked you about the, the videos and
- the professional education slide decks. That's
- 23 all I asked about in that question --
- 24 A I misunderstood --
- Q -- and you answered that.

- 1 A I misunderstood the question.
- O That's fine.
- This list, was this prepared by you or
- 4 by counsel?
- 5 A Both.
- 6 Q The list of "other" materials, that was
- 7 prepared by counsel; correct?
- 8 A Correct. Oh, actually, counsel plus me
- 9 providing them some of the references.
- 10 Q You provided the references for the ACOG
- practice bulletins in March '04 and April 2011?
- 12 A Correct, and there were some additional
- references that I provided them, but they're not
- on the list. I just didn't have time to get them
- on the list.
- Q Did you prepare the list of medical
- 17 records?
- 18 A That list I did not specifically type in
- myself, no. They typed that in.
- Q On page 3, the list of expert reports,
- was that prepared by counsel?
- 22 A They did the typing, yes.
- Q The list of depositions, that was
- 24 prepared by counsel?
- 25 A They did the typing, yes.

- 1 Q And you told me what you did, what you
- did review, which means you did not review the
- Barbolt, Kammerer, Weisberg --
- 4 A Hang on.
- 6 A Can you --
- 7 Q Did I go too fast?
- 8 A So you're talking about depositions and,
- 9 and exhibits?
- 10 Q Yeah, on page 3, am I correct you did
- 11 not read Barbolt, Kammerer, Weisberg, Weber or
- 12 Hinoul June 27, 2013?
- 13 A I did not read them within this last
- week in preparation for this, no. I had
- previously read Weber's.
- 16 Q You have not read the others, Barbolt,
- 17 Kammerer, Weisberg and Hinoul? You haven't read
- those transcripts; correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- Q Were you ever shown the clinical expert
- report that Pete Hinoul prepared in 2012 for the
- 22 Prolift + M?
- 23 A I don't recall.
- Q I don't see it listed anywhere. You
- don't remember seeing it; correct?

- 1 A I said I don't recall one way or the
- other.
- 3 Q I'll come back to this.
- Well, let me ask you about this,
- 5 actually. In the list of literature, there are
- 6 three references with an author whose last name is
- 7 Tu, T-U.
- 8 A Yes, we talked about those before.
- 9 Q Have you -- did you read each of those
- 10 articles?
- 11 A I read the article -- I can't say that I
- 12 read all three of them in their entirety, but I
- 13 read portions of I believe all three.
- Q What was of significance to you, if
- anything, from those articles?
- 16 A The discussion regarding the pelvic pain
- issues and approaches to pelvic pain.
- 18 Q Is that what you mean by that?
- 19 A I'm sorry? I said --
- Q New question.
- When you -- when you say "approaches to
- pelvic pain," do you mean approaches to treatment
- 23 to pelvic pain?
- A Approaches to both evaluation and
- treatment of pelvic pain in a patient that might

1 be then referable -- or not might, but that is 2. referable to some of the issues I think going on in Mrs. Wicker's situation. 3 4 You would agree with me that Pam Wicker has had chronic pelvic pain since the time the 5 6 Prolift was placed in her body; correct? 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: She has reported 9 episodic pelvic pain. At times she has 10 reported not having pain. So if you use the 11 definition of pain that's occurred for 12 greater than a six-month duration, then that 13 would -- her symptoms would fall into that 14 definition. 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me one 16 second, counselor. I have to change tapes. 17 At 11:56, off record, ending disc 1 in our 18 continuing deposition of Dr. Horbach. 19 MR. COMBS: Let's take a break for 20 a couple minutes. 21 (Whereupon, a short recess was 22 taken.) 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Our time now is 24 1:07, and we are on record, beginning disc 2 25 in our continuing deposition of Dr. Horbach.

```
1
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  Disc 3.
 2.
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Well, it's
 3
          actually disc 2, but thank you.
 4
    BY MR. SLATER:
 5
               From the point you received the
         Q
 6
    materials that you relied on for the supplemental
 7
    report to the point when you authored the report,
 8
    how much time did you spend on the review and the
 9
    authoring of the report?
10
               Sorry. I'm just trying to add up.
11
    Probably close to 20-ish hours.
12
               What day was it that you actually
         0
13
    received these materials?
14
         Α
               I received some of the materials on
15
    Monday and I received some of the materials on
16
    Tuesday, and I'm trying to remember whether I had
17
    anything additional come on Wednesday before I was
18
    drafting it. I actually had to cancel half a
19
    day's worth of surgery to be able to draft this
20
    given the time constraints, and so I'm actually
21
    operating tomorrow morning as a result.
22
               So you received the materials that you
23
    relied on to write the supplemental report Monday,
24
    Tuesday, possibly into Wednesday, you drafted the
25
    report, and it was served on me on Wednesday;
```

- 1 correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- And you spent about 20 hours; correct?
- 4 A Reviewing -- again, reviewing the new
- 5 information that came and going back and reviewing
- 6 some of the older information as well in
- 7 preparation for this.
- Q The list of expert reports on page 3, I
- 9 don't remember if I asked you. Did you read
- 10 those?
- 11 A Well, I read mine again, and I don't
- 12 think -- I don't recall that I reread Dr. Weber's.
- 13 The other ones weren't -- I didn't read -- they
- were sort of less relevant. I mean granted with
- more time I might have, but I didn't.
- Q So the only two depositions on that list
- that you've read -- rephrase.
- So the only two expert reports on that
- 19 list on page 3 that you have read are Dr. Weber's
- 20 and yours; correct?
- 21 A I think previously, a year plus ago,
- that I read either all or part of Dr. Murphy's.
- Q Did you make an effort to read all of
- the articles written by the French TVM group with
- 25 regard to the Prolift?

```
1
         Α
               Prior to my expert report a year ago, I
 2
    had made an effort to read most, if not all.
 3
    have not subsequently pulled those specific --
 4
    that specific group of investigators' newer
 5
    literature.
 6
         0
              Here's what I want to understand very
 7
    clearly. As you sit here now, are you able to
 8
    confirm for me whether or not the articles that
 9
    are listed on your two lists, that those that were
10
    written by members of the French TVM group
11
    constitute all the articles written by that group
12
    regarding the Prolift? Do you know whether or not
13
    this covers all the Prolift articles by those
14
    doctors?
15
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
16
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  No.
                                       I'm sure -- I'm
17
         sure it doesn't. I mean there are other ones
18
         that I've seen just in doing, you know, a
19
         literature search or something else, that
20
         I've seen, you know, a particular article
21
         that I know is from that group, and haven't
22
         necessarily pulled that article within the
23
         last week as I was going through for this,
24
         so -- but I know there's additional articles
25
         by the French group.
```

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- 2 Q Let me, let me ask the question very
- 3 simply.
- 4 As you sit here now, you know that there
- 5 are articles written by members of the French TVM
- 6 group with regard to the Prolift that you have not
- 7 read; correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Did you ever make an effort to ensure
- that you would read all of the articles written by
- 11 the French TVM group with regard to the Prolift?
- 12 Did you ever set out to do that? Was that ever
- one of your goals as an expert in this case?
- 14 A It was one of my goals to read those
- 15 articles that were done by the French group prior
- to, you know, the 2008/2009 time period, because
- that was most relevant with what was known in the
- 18 literature at the time.
- 19 Q Why is that important?
- 20 A Because you make a decision to some
- 21 extent, at least from a clinical standpoint, of
- whether you choose to offer a treatment to a
- patient, discuss the types of complications
- associated with the patient, based on the
- information that is available, you know, at the

- time that you're making those decisions and
  counseling the patients, et cetera.
  - You know, we may have data that comes
- 4 out five years later, ten years later that there
- 5 is potentially another issue or new factors.
- 6 That, that information wasn't necessarily
- 7 available at the time. It may change to some
- 8 extent what you choose to do or not do, but it
- 9 isn't necessarily as relevant to the information
- 10 and the decisions that were made at the time when
- the Prolift was placed in this patient.
- 12 Q Do you learn things about the risks of
- the Prolift after October 20, 2008, the day of Pam
- 14 Wicker's surgery, that you felt were of
- significance to you in doing a risk/benefit
- profile for the Prolift?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I learned -- the, the
- information that came out around that time
- period -- and I can't tell you whether it was
- right before that, before that October time
- period in 2008, must have been right around
- there -- was really more the information that
- came out from our article that was published.
- It was published in 2009, but the

```
1
          data was being gathered around that time,
 2.
          sort of late 2000 -- or mid-2008, that that
 3
         manuscript and the abstract was being
 4
         prepared to submit to AUGS.
 5
                    So that information was coming out
 6
          just around the time of Pam Wicker's surgery,
 7
          and the information did affect to some degree
 8
          my counseling of the patients or going back
 9
          and looking and thinking would I approach a
10
          patient differently or not with that
11
          additional information.
12
                    I don't think that there has been
13
          information that's come out really
14
          subsequently that has made, you know,
15
         major -- made -- would make a major change in
16
         my decision about how I choose to do Prolift
17
          or not, because the, the risks that have come
18
          out subsequently were risks that we knew
19
          about at the time Prolift was being used.
20
    BY MR. SLATER:
21
               You told me, if I understand correctly,
          0
22
    that when you were writing and then ultimately
23
    publishing your article -- and you're talking
24
    about the July 2009 article; correct?
25
         Α
               The one that is authored by Matt Aungst?
```

```
1
               Yes.
         0
 2.
               Okay, yeah, I didn't know that it was
         Α
 3
    July, but yes, his article in 2009, yes, that's
 4
    the article I'm referencing.
 5
         Q
               Okay.
 6
               As you were gathering the data and then
 7
    participating in the writing of this article that
 8
    was published in July 2009, you said that there
 9
    were things that you were learning that had an
10
    impact on your understanding of the risks, and
11
    that, I believe you said, entered into your
12
    evaluation of the Prolift; correct?
13
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
14
                                  Correct to some
                    THE WITNESS:
15
                   It was -- I knew those risks were
          extent.
16
         present. You know, I knew these risks that
17
         we talk about in the article were present.
18
          The question is the prevalence or not of the
19
          problems became a little bit clearer when we
20
         had gone back and looked retrospectively at
21
          the data, because it was the combination of
22
          all four of us who were doing the surgery
23
          rather than just my own specific clinical
24
          experience with the patients.
25
```

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- 2 Q And what was it that you learned that
- 3 was of significance to you in connection with this
- 4 article that was published in July 2009 that had
- 5 an impact on your evaluation or your analysis of
- 6 the risk/benefit profile for the Prolift?
- 7 A There were two issues, I think. One was
- 8 that the rate of post-operative stress
- 9 incontinence ran, as the article says, around 25
- or so percent, and that that information was
- 11 actually similar to what was being reported
- through the CARE trial for sacrocolpopexies and
- the likelihood of postoperative stress
- incontinence for sacrocolpopexies.
- So looking at the two procedures, the
- 16 concept that there was somewhat similar risks of
- post-operative stress incontinence in women
- post-op from a sacrocolpopexy versus a Prolift, my
- 19 perception, actually prior to getting all of this
- 20 data together, was that there was actually less
- 21 likelihood of post-operative stress incontinence
- in the Prolift patients versus patients who had
- undergone sacrocolpopexy.
- That was my sort of personal Gestalt
- when I had been doing these surgeries, but the

- data suggest that it's probably relatively
- equivalent. So it would simply mean that, you
- 3 know, I counseled -- I did not change per se how I
- 4 counseled patients regarding the risk of post-op
- 5 stress incontinence, because we talk about that
- 6 with any prolapse surgery that we do.
- 7 The second component was the issue of
- 8 the pain issue, and that there were a group of
- 9 patients who were -- who we had more difficulty
- 10 treating post-operative pain issues. We hadn't
- 11 really looked at that in our sacrocolpopexy
- patients or any of the other kinds of prolapse
- patients we did. We hadn't really gone back and
- 14 looked at specifically the number of patients who
- experienced post-operative pain issues and whether
- there was any kind of consistent pattern that we
- could pick up preoperatively that we could use in
- counseling, predicting, selecting patients, et
- 19 cetera.
- This was sort of the first time we went
- back and looked at those kind of issues, so it
- 22 alerted me to that for Prolift. It also then
- alerted me to looking at that for other prolapse
- 24 patients that were having sacrocolpopexies or
- colpectomies, et cetera. So it altered some of my

- 1 preoperative evaluation of patients regardless of
- which prolapse surgery I offered, and it altered a
- 3 little bit my preoperative management of some of
- 4 those patients.
- A long answer, but hopefully that
- 6 clarifies it.
- 7 Q Were the findings that you just
- 8 described to me factors in your decision to stop
- 9 using the Prolift?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Did you -- in the patients that you
- operated on up until the point when you stopped,
- did you modify your consent discussion in any way
- 14 as a result of what you just told me about, the
- 15 SUI rates and the paint issues and the difficulty
- 16 treating pain?
- 17 A I did not modify the SUI counseling,
- because it was essentially -- you know, that was
- 19 essentially similar rates we were quoting for
- 20 patients from prolapse surgeries of 20 to
- 40 percent based on CARE data and some of the
- other data in the literature. So it didn't really
- change, since the 25 percent fit into that area.
- The issue of counseling the patients
- regarding post-op pain issues, it changed how I

- 1 counseled them based on the changes of my
- 2 preoperative examination.
- So if I had a patient with, you know,
- 4 fibromyalgia, or I had a patient who gave me a
- 5 history of underlying orthopedic issues, back
- 6 problems, hip problems, knee problems, et cetera,
- 7 patients who I felt were then potentially more at
- 8 risk for having, you know, some pelvic muscle
- 9 spasm or pelvic muscle problems even pre-surgery,
- 10 I became much more aggressive in my preoperative
- 11 assessment of that and screening them for
- biomechanical issues, screening them more
- intensively for pelvic muscle spasm preoperatively
- or any symptoms that might suggest that, and then
- counseling them that regardless of what procedure
- we do, Prolift or anything else, you need to be
- pretreated with physical therapy so that we can
- make your post-operative course a little bit
- 19 easier for you.
- If we don't and we just operate on you
- 21 as is, that your post-operative course may be more
- difficult and that you may have more problems with
- pain issues, or you may need physical therapy.
- Even if we did pretreat -- pre-diagnose
- it and pretreat it, we did have conversations with

- 1 patients that we could still see a flare-up or
- 2 some recurrence of these symptoms postoperatively
- 3 that may require additional treatment. Regardless
- 4 of whether we did Prolift or anything else, we
- 5 just had -- by co-coincidence, we picked Prolift
- 6 as our initial procedure to look at.
- 7 Q Well, you had studied the Prolift as
- part of this -- rephrase.
- In this article you studied the Prolift,
- 10 so that was the data you had available to you was
- 11 Prolift; correct?
- 12 A Well, yes, but at the same time as we're
- collecting this data and we're seeing this
- information, we are also then beginning to use
- that sort of across the board when we evaluate
- patients postoperatively for pain issues if they
- have had a sacrocolpopexy, or if they've had a
- 18 colpectomy.
- I mean this particular article for us or
- for me in particular was really sentinel in
- changing how, how aggressively I evaluate and
- manage orthopedic, biomechanical pain conditions
- in the pre- and the post-op patient, regardless of
- the procedure I chose, even for, you know, even if
- 25 I was doing just a TVT.

- 1 Q Your study that you published in
- July 2009 showed that there are some women who
- develop pain following Prolift surgery that do not
- 4 respond to the treatment and could be left with
- 5 chronic pain; correct?
- 6 A They had not responded to the treatment
- 7 to date at the time of the article. I mean some
- 8 of the patients we don't know, because they were
- 9 lost to follow-up.
- 10 Q During the time of the study, there were
- 11 patients who did not respond to treatment, and
- their pain continued until the end of the study;
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- Q Did you change your counseling in any
- way to start to counsel patients that the pain
- that could result from a Prolift procedure,
- despite treatment, could become chronic and
- 19 untreatable?
- 20 A I --
- 21 Q That's a yes-or-no question. I really
- just need to know if that's something you
- 23 counseled your patients about.
- MR. COMBS: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: I had, I had

- 1 previously counseled the patients about that,
- so no, I didn't change my counseling.
- 3 BY MR. SLATER:
- 4 Q Did you always counsel your patients
- 5 about that, or was there a point in time when you
- 6 started to?
- 7 A I always counseled.
- 8 Q You actually pointed out in the article
- 9 that you believed that some of the patients had
- 10 pelvic pain due to mesh bunching and banding.
- I want to ask you about mesh banding.
- 12 That is what Pam Wicker had; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: She had that at one
- point in her care, yes.
- 16 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q And you pointed out in the article that
- the banding can occur either due to the amount of
- tension left on the mesh during the procedure, on
- the actual arms, or it can happen if the mesh is,
- as you describe it, properly placed due to
- 22 contraction with tissue ingrowth.
- It can happen for either reason;
- 24 correct?
- 25 A That is the hypothesis, yes.

- 1 Q And that's your, that's your current
- 2 hypothesis and your current opinion; correct?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q So the mesh banding in the arms of the
- 5 Prolift in Pam Wicker, in your opinion, occurred
- 6 either due to the amount of tension left on the
- 7 arms at the conclusion of the procedure or due to
- 8 mesh contraction with tissue ingrowth following
- 9 the procedure.
- You think those are the two likely
- 11 causes; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: For the -- those are
- the two likely causes for the initial banding
- 15 I think that was noted when Dr. Bercik took
- her back to the operating room on the second
- occasion. I'm not sure --
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q Answer my question. That's all I was
- 20 asking about.
- 21 A But there's also banding in discussions
- 22 potentially that Raz is talking about, and so
- that's a separate issue, but if you're talking
- just Bercik's second operation, then yes, those
- would be the two possibilities.

- 1 Q Move to strike from "but" forward.
- Dr. Raz clinically found what he
- 3 reported as tension bands of mesh around the
- 4 vagina; correct?
- 5 A He reported in his first examination
- 6 that he felt a band, yes.
- 7 Q That would likely be due to contraction
- of the mesh due to tissue ingrowth; correct?
- 9 MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It is possible for
- that, but it's also possible because she's
- had the subsequent intervention from Bercik's
- second surgery, so it's hard to say which one
- of those were the biggest contributing factor
- going into what Raz saw.
- 16 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you believe it was a combination of
- something that happened when Dr. Bercik operated
- in February of '09 and contraction due to tissue
- ingrowth that led to that banding Dr. Raz found?
- 21 A I think that there is, yeah, reasonable
- possibility that both factors were probably
- 23 involved.
- Q What about Dr. Bercik's February 2009
- surgery would have contributed to that mesh

- 1 banding that Dr. Raz found?
- 2 A Well, there was, there was mesh banding
- in association with scarring and vaginal, you
- 4 know, a vaginal band. So it wasn't just an eroded
- 5 mesh band. It was a combination of the two. So
- 6 it is conceivable that what Dr. Raz found was
- 7 either from disruption of the Prolift mesh that
- 8 occurred during Dr. Bercik's second surgery and
- 9 potential retraction from the surgery he did the
- second time, whether there was simply tightening
- or scarring of that area, that vaginal -- that
- vaginal tissue mesh, if you want to use that as a
- combination, that band could have also been
- 14 partially due to scar tissue that forms just from
- having had another procedure done.
- Q And you think more likely than not, it
- was probably a combination of the two?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Plus, plus just contraction due to
- tissue ingrowth as well?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I thought that was
- what you were talking -- can you go back and
- repeat the question. I'm sorry.

```
1 BY MR. SLATER:
```

- Q We're on the same page, so just to be
- 3 clear, it's your opinion that it's more likely
- 4 than not that that banding that Dr. Raz found was
- 5 due to a combination of what occurred during the
- 6 procedure Dr. Raz performed, as you described it,
- 7 and contraction due to tissue ingrowth; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: You said Dr. Raz, so
- I think that that's not quite what the
- 11 question is.
- 12 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Okay.
- 14 A My understanding --
- Q I misstated the question. Let me reask
- it clean, just because otherwise the transcript
- won't be clean.
- And it's your opinion that it's more
- 19 likely than not that the banding Dr. Raz found was
- due to the surgery Dr. Bercik did, as you
- described it a moment ago, in combination with
- contraction due to tissue ingrowth; correct?
- 23 A Yes, with a small caveat.
- That small caveat would be I don't know
- whether or not the, quote, tissue ingrowth or

- 1 contracture, whatever you're talking about,
- whether that was a new phenomenon that occurred
- between Dr. Bercik's second surgery and Dr. Raz's
- 4 first surgery, or was it potentially there even at
- 5 the first surgery to some extent and not
- 6 addressed, or by operating and doing what he did
- 7 at the second surgery, had he created a degree of
- 8 vaginal distortion that then pulls on the area
- 9 differently, and so the result is that combination
- 10 mesh vaginal band.
- So that's the hard part. Once you've
- 12 had the intervention of Dr. Bercik, it becomes
- more difficult to say it's only caused by one
- 14 thing.
- Q Whether it's one of those things or some
- 16 combination of those factors you've listed, they
- would all be causally connected to the Prolift
- being in her body and then the treatment of the
- 19 complication of the contraction and the tension
- 20 band that Dr. Bercik treated in February; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: They would all be
- related to the fact that there's a Prolift
- there, yes.

```
1
    BY MR. SLATER:
 2.
          0
               Okay.
 3
               You would agree the surgery Dr. Bercik
 4
    performed was indicated; correct?
 5
         Α
               Hang on just a second.
 6
               I -- based on his description of what he
 7
    found, it is certainly one option for treating it.
 8
    I probably would have considered a possible more
 9
    conservative option first, with trying to use some
10
    physical therapy and see if you can improve the
11
    pliability of the tissue prior to going in to, you
12
    know, to cut or excise the mesh band.
13
               I think it would be dependent on how
14
    tight it really was of the tightness.
15
    that's a subjective statement, but certainly a
16
    very, very tight one that I didn't think was going
17
    to be amenable to any type of tissue mobilization
18
    or improvement of pliability by manual
19
    manipulation, then I would have potentially
20
    considered going in and reoperating on the
21
    patient.
22
               Ultimately Dr. Bercik was -- rephrase.
          0
23
               Ultimately Dr. Bercik was exercising his
```

medical judgment as a surgeon as to what he

thought was the best way to treat this pain that

24

- 1 Mrs. Wicker was complaining of from the Prolift;
  - 2 correct?
  - MR. COMBS: Object.
  - 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. That appears to
  - be the case. He was exercising his best
  - judgment.
  - 7 BY MR. SLATER:
  - 8 Q In fact, in your July 2009 article you
  - 9 talk about the fact that in two of the patients,
- you actually excised mesh for pain, "and in both
- 11 cases we attempted to excise and release banding
- that was palpable on examination rather than
- 13 remove the entire mesh."
- And that's essentially what Dr. Bercik
- did; correct? He released the banding and removed
- 16 contracted mesh; correct?
- 17 A Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q You talked a few minutes ago about the
- 19 fact that you were more focused on the literature
- from predating Mrs. Wicker's surgery and you
- weren't as concerned with literature post-dating
- it, and we talked about that a few minutes ago.
- Do you remember that?
- 24 A When I was forming some of the opinions
- that were in my report initially about

- decision-making process at that time, I mean
- 2 certainly I'm looking at the literature post that
- 3 to see whether it either confirms some suspicions
- 4 or doesn't confirm suspicions or raises new issues
- or puts, you know, the old issues, you know, out
- 6 of the picture.
- 7 Q If there were important issues with the
- 8 Prolift safety -- rephrase.
- 9 If there were important safety issues
- with the Prolift that were discussed in literature
- 11 after October 2008, but Ethicon internally knew
- those issues prior to the October of 2008 but
- didn't warn about them, you would be critical of
- 14 that; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I mean I think it
- would depend. It would depend on what
- 18 Ethicon knew regarding the safety data or, or
- not. I mean I could say other things, but
- you're just going to say strike it, so I'll
- say it would depend on whether, what Ethicon
- knew about the safety data and what level of
- risk the safety data indicated.
- 24 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you know whether or not Ethicon

- Medical Affairs was learning about risks and adverse events with the Prolift after
  - October 2008, or do you know as an alternative
- 4 whether they claim to have known all the risks and
- 5 adverse events from the very beginning?
- Do you know one way or the other?
- 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know what
- 9 they claim. I have sort of my opinion --
- 10 BY MR. SLATER:
- 11 Q The question, though.
- 12 A Yes. I don't know what they claimed.
- Q Okay.
- 14 If Ethicon knew about a risk that had
- not been described publicly, and that risk, if it
- occurred to a woman, could cause very severe
- injury to her, you would agree that risk would
- need to be disclosed? And I'm talking about
- obviously with regard to the Prolift. You'd agree
- with that; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I think that, yes,
- depending upon the, the frequency of the
- risk. You know, if there's something that
- happens, you know, as one, once or twice, you

- know, incidental report, a death, things like
- that, I mean you -- that's always a
- possibility with surgeries, but I think if it
- 4 was something that was a repetitively present
- 5 problem, that that information needs to be
- 6 communicated whether it's by the company or
- whether it's by us in the literature.
- 8 Sometimes we beat the companies.
- 9 BY MR. SLATER:
- 10 Q You found in your July 2009 study that
- 11 3 percent of the women who had pelvic pain as a
- 12 result of the Prolift, it could not be
- 13 successfully treated through conservative
- 14 measures, and you talked about the fact that this
- could be due to bunching or banding of the mesh,
- and that that was leading to contraction; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q You talked about 3 percent of the women
- 20 having that situation?
- 21 A We talked about 3 percent of the women
- having that situation, as we talked about before,
- 23 by the end of the study.
- Q And again, how long was that?
- 25 A We followed the patients -- I'd have to

- 1 pull out the article to see what the average
- length of follow-up was for the patients and what
- 3 the standard deviation is. I don't remember off
- 4 the top of my head, but it's probably going to be
- 5 in the results.
- Q It says on the front page "mean
- 7 follow-up was eight months." Does that sound
- 8 right?
- 9 A Yeah, that could be right, because it's
- going to be patients that were relatively recently
- 11 treated or patients that were part of the earlier
- group, but it also should give you a standard
- deviation or a range, in parenthesis.
- 14 Q I'm just looking at the abstract. It
- doesn't say it. It just says "mean follow-up was
- 16 eight months."
- 17 A Hang on two seconds. I actually -- I
- did bring it. I just have to find it.
- 19 Q You know, I'm actually not that
- 20 concerned about the time period. My question
- 21 really goes to something else.
- 22 A Okay.
- Q Let me ask you this question.
- If Ethicon knew that there were women
- who were going to have the Prolift put in their

- 1 body, and as much as 3 percent of women were going
- to have pain due to the Prolift that would not be
- able to be resolved with conservative therapy, and
- 4 that that would relate to mesh bunching, mesh
- 5 banding due to mesh contraction, if they knew of
- 6 that, should they have warned about that from the
- 7 point they became aware of it?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 9 THE WITNESS: I think that they
- should warn about the risk of pelvic pain
- after the procedure, whether it's due to mesh
- bunching or banding or whatever the reason.
- I think if there is -- if that is a risk of
- the procedure, then it should be part of what
- is in the warning.
- 16 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you believe that if Ethicon knew that
- the pelvic pain some women would experience due to
- the Prolift would not be able to be safely and
- 20 effectively treated, if the company actually knew
- that, that they should have warned doctors and
- 22 patients as to that fact, that you may not only
- get pelvic pain, but it may not be treatable?
- 24 A The issue of having that as a specific
- statement in the literature, I mean I think that's

- a hard thing to say, because whether you say that
- specifically or whether you say that the surgeon,
- more so maybe than the patient, that the surgeon
- 4 needs to be aware of the risks and problems and be
- 5 familiar with mesh and be familiar with treating
- 6 patients with prolapse.
- 7 I mean a risk of persistent pain after a
- 8 mesh procedure, whether it's a Prolift, a TVT,
- 9 sacrocolpopexy, there is that risk, and that may
- 10 not be resolvable, it may not be reversible in a
- 11 patient, but that's in any of the patients that we
- use a mesh procedure.
- So does -- is Ethicon's role to
- 14 specifically spell that out as a statement versus
- to say physicians need to be aware of the risks
- associated with mesh surgery? I mean, in part,
- the people that are doing the surgery, and if
- indeed they have that experience in whatever,
- 19 already know that that is an issue, that the
- 20 chronic pain could happen after a Prolift and that
- it may not be treatable, I mean that's inherent in
- 22 knowing about doing mesh surgery.
- Q Do you know that the Prolift was
- marketed as a revolutionary new procedure?
- 25 A I'm not sure that the term

```
1
    "revolutionary" per se was used. It may have been
 2
    used, but it was certainly marketed as a new
 3
    procedure and being able to address things in a
 4
    different way than we had done before.
 5
              And therefore, it would be important to
         Q
 6
    make sure that doctors are told the full scope of
 7
    risks, especially those that could be more severe,
 8
    so the doctor would not be misled into thinking,
 9
    well, this is new. This is supposed to be so much
10
    better than everything else, I don't have to worry
11
    about these other issues I may have thought could
12
    exist with mesh, because they're not telling me
13
    it's a risk with this Prolift.
14
               Isn't that a fair analysis?
15
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
16
                    THE WITNESS: No, because that
17
         would assume the physician is an idiot,
18
         because the problem is that there's no
19
         physician who's going to sit there and think,
20
         oh, well, I've been told by Ethicon this is
21
         revolutionary and it involves mesh, and it's
22
         going to eliminate any of the mesh problems
23
         that I know can exist from all these other
24
         procedures where we do mesh.
25
                    I mean you would have to be really
```

```
1
          naive, not thinking medically, you know, to,
 2.
          to make that assumption, and I can't really
 3
          see any experienced surgeon who was doing
 4
          prolapse surgery, who was doing, you know,
 5
         mesh -- with or without mesh, thinking that
 6
          this procedure could, would eliminate the
 7
          possibility of a chronic pain issue and that
 8
          it would eliminate the possibility of
 9
          long-term pain that we can't treat.
10
                    I just can't conceive of any
11
          surgeon thinking that, regardless of what --
12
          even if Ethicon told you that that was going
13
          to be the way it was going to be, I wouldn't
14
         believe it, because the bottom line is we
15
          already know from our other experiences that,
16
          that, that it's not necessarily the case.
17
    BY MR. SLATER:
18
               Well, you're talking about what your
19
    reaction would be. Have you ever studied what
20
    other doctors' reaction would be or how they
21
    interpret warnings or information on labeling for
22
    a medical device? Have you ever studied that
23
    question?
24
                    MR. COMBS:
                               Object to form.
25
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I have not studied
```

- the question.
- 2 BY MR. SLATER:
- 3 Q If Ethicon knew from the very beginning
- 4 that the arms could become contracted and become
- 5 what we've been discussing as tension bands,
- 6 requiring surgery to get into those deep areas of
- 7 the pelvis to remove some of that mesh, but that
- 8 that might not resolve the pain being caused by
- 9 this contracting mesh, should that have
- 10 specifically been warned about, that phenomenon
- 11 specific to the Prolift?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Well, it's not a
- phenomenon specific to the Prolift. So I
- mean it's a phenomenon --
- 16 BY MR. SLATER:
- 17 Q The arms, the arms exist with the
- 18 Prolift -- I'm talking about the Prolift arms.
- 19 A I understand that, but TVT has arms, TOT
- 20 has arms. Those all can -- you know, those are
- 21 all mesh surgeries that have arms going into
- 22 tissue.
- So I'm just trying to --
- Q My question is simple. Here is my
- 25 question.

```
1
               Should that have been warned about if
 2
    Ethicon knew it at launch? Simple question.
 3
    or no?
 4
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 5
                                   Does that particular
                    THE WITNESS:
 6
          statement need to be placed in the warning?
 7
          I would not think that it does, because I
 8
          think it's already covered by the statements
 9
         previously, which you have to have experience
10
          and knowledge base with prolapse surgery and
11
         meshes.
12
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
               You think the warning said you need to
14
    have experience with --
15
               If you --
         Α
16
               -- prolapse surgery and meshes?
          Q
17
         Α
               Yeah.
18
               Sorry, sorry.
19
          Q
               Okay.
20
         Α
               I think that --
21
               -- it says that --
         Q
22
               I think that if you are an experienced
         Α
23
    surgeon use, with the use --
24
               Doctor, there's no question.
          0
25
               -- of materials --
         Α
```

```
1
               You're not answering a question right
         0
 2.
    now.
 3
         Α
               Okay.
               You're not answering a question.
 4
          Q
 5
               It can be difficult, if not impossible,
 6
    to remove contracted mesh from some women
 7
    following Prolift surgery; correct?
 8
               It can be very difficult. I'm not sure
         А
 9
    I could go, you know, go to the extent of saying
10
    impossible, but certainly it could be difficult
11
    and could maybe be conceivably impossible to take
12
    every bit out.
13
               If Ethicon knew that from the beginning,
14
    should that have been warned about; yes or no?
15
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
16
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I don't think it
17
         needs to be a separate specific warning, no.
18
    BY MR. SLATER:
19
               If Ethicon knew from the date of launch
20
    of the Prolift that in some women the surgeon
```

- would not be able to safely and effectively remove
- mesh where necessary to treat complications, if
- that was known, should that have been warned
- 24 about?
- 25 A I don't think it needs to be

specifically stated as such. 1 2. And your -- and that opinion is based on 3 the fact that you just think doctors would just 4 figure that out all by themselves? 5 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 6 THE WITNESS: Doctors who do --7 yes, doctors who do mesh surgery. 8 BY MR. SLATER: 9 Q -- stop you for a second. I'm sorry. You, you cut out. 10 Α 11 0 We're talking --12 Α You cut out. 13 Let me stop you for a second. Q 14 MR. COMBS: Well, wait, wait a 15 We're, we're not -second. 16 MR. SLATER: No, Phil, I'm 17 talking --18 MR. COMBS: No. 19 MR. SLATER: -- so you don't 20 interrupt me. 21 MR. COMBS: Well, no. We're not 22 going to both speak at the same time. 23 MR. SLATER: We're almost at lunch. 24 MR. COMBS: She did not get to 25 finish her answer.

```
1
                    MR. SLATER: Well, this is the
 2.
                    I asked a yes-or-no question, and I
         problem.
 3
         have been very polite and let Dr. Horbach
 4
         talk at length today, but now we're going to
 5
         finish the deposition today. We need to go
 6
         to the part of the deposition where when I
 7
         ask you a yes-or-no question, I get a yes or
 8
         a no or you say you can't answer with a yes
 9
         or no.
                    MR. COMBS: But you're not going
10
11
         to --
12
                    MR. SLATER: And that's the part of
13
         the deposition we -- I'm talking, so please
14
         don't talk over me. There is no way for the
15
         court reporter to record two people at the
16
         same time.
17
    BY MR. SLATER:
18
               So that was a simple yes-or-no question,
         Q
19
    and I know you want to get done today, and so do
20
    I, so I'm going to ask you to just answer the
21
    questions with a simple yes or no. I don't want
22
    explanations unless I ask for them.
23
                    MR. COMBS: Are you finished?
24
         you finished, Mr. Slater?
25
                    MR. SLATER: Yeah, I'm finished,
```

```
1
          Phillip.
 2.
                    MR. COMBS:
                                Now, we're not going to
 3
          interrupt Dr. Horbach in the middle of her
 4
          answer with you berating her for what you
 5
         believe was an inappropriate answer.
 6
                    Now, if you don't like her answer,
 7
          you can object, but you're not going to
 8
          interrupt her, and you're not going to berate
 9
         her about it.
10
                    MR. SLATER: What do you want to
11
         do?
12
                    MR. COMBS: You can ask her
13
          questions and she can answer them, but you're
14
         not going to interrupt her, and you're not
15
         going to be -- you're not going to make
16
          inappropriate comments about it. That's --
17
                    MR. SLATER:
                                 Thank you for your
18
         quidance.
19
    BY MR. SLATER:
20
               Dr. Horbach, is the answer to my
21
    question yes, or is the question [sic] no?
22
                    MR. COMBS:
                                Object.
23
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  Could you read -- or
24
          could the court reporter read the question
25
         back for me?
```

```
1
                    MR. SLATER: Of course.
 2.
                    (Whereupon, reporter reads
 3
                    requested material.)
 4
                    THE WITNESS: Yes.
 5
    BY MR. SLATER:
               -- in terms of what doctors knew --
 6
 7
               I'm sorry. You cut out on the beginning
         Α
 8
    of that.
 9
                      I'll ask again.
         0
               Sure.
10
               Have you ever studied in any way what
11
    doctors knew aside from the warnings that were
12
    given by Ethicon with regard to the particular
13
    risks of not being able to safely or effectively
14
    treat Prolift-related mesh complications? Have
    you ever looked at that?
15
16
               I have not studied it, no.
17
          0
               I want to come back to my question about
18
    the TVM group literature. There are several
19
    articles that I'm familiar with that are not on
20
    your list of materials reviewed. Therefore --
21
    well, let me ask it differently.
22
               Are you familiar with an article that
23
    was authored by Dr. Velimir and Dr. Jackatan
24
    regarding a review of mesh with ultrasound?
25
         Α
               Yes.
```

- 1 Did you see the level of the rates of 2 contraction that they found on that study? 3 Α Yes. 4 Q Those rates were alarming, weren't they? 5 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 6 THE WITNESS: I think that's a 7 subjective statement. There were various 8 rates. They were what they were. BY MR. SLATER: 9 10 You would agree -- you would agree they're very concerning; right? 11 12 Α They were what they were. You have the 13 make the -- the individual has to make the 14 subjective conclusion about it. 15 But you're the individual I'm asking 16 now, and that study showed over 80 percent of the 17 women had moderate to severe retraction of Prolift 18 mesh. 19 That is a concerning finding; correct? 20 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 21 THE WITNESS: It is a concerning 22 finding in the group of patients that they
- 24 BY MR. SLATER:

23

Q You did not look at the ultrasounds

selected.

performed by Dr. Raz, did you? 1 2. Α Yes. 3 You did look at them? 4 Α Yes. 5 I didn't see any opinions in your report Q about those ultrasounds; correct? 6 7 I did not reference it in either report, 8 no, but I mean it's not something -- it is 9 something that I would potentially give an opinion 10 about if asked. 11 You didn't mention it in your report; 12 right? You didn't give any opinions about the 13 ultrasounds at all in your report; right? 14 Α No, I did not state that. 15 Have you ever in your medical practice 0 16 used ultrasounds to locate mesh? 17 Α Yes. 18 In a woman's body? Q 19 Α Yes. 20 How often? How many times? Q 21 Α A couple times. Not a huge number, but 22 several. 23 Less than five? 0 24 Α I don't know. Did you find that to be a useful tool to 25 Q

- 1 help to locate mesh?
- 2 A In one particular patient it was
- 3 helpful, because the mesh was about one millimeter
- 4 by two millimeters, and I'm not even sure it
- 5 really was mesh, but in the other patients I don't
- 6 think it really was specifically helpful, because
- 7 the clinical impression helped me know where I was
- 8 looking for the mesh anyway.
- 9 Q Was there a particular protocol used
- when the ultrasounds were performed on those
- 11 patients that were your patients?
- 12 A A particular protocol in terms of what
- the radiologist did?
- Q In terms of how he -- was there --
- 15 rephrase.
- Was there a particular protocol used in
- terms of how the ultrasounds were performed with
- 18 your patients?
- 19 A It was -- I can't answer that question.
- I don't know, because it was done by radiology.
- Q Okay.
- Did you read that Dr. Raz actually
- 23 interacted with the radiologist at UCLA Medical
- 24 Center, and together they developed a protocol to
- image mesh on ultrasound?

- 1 A I know that he has a protocol that he
- does, and I know that I spoke to my radiologist
- 3 about the same issues in trying to discuss what is
- 4 the best method -- what is the best method to
- 5 answer the question that I'm looking for, and so
- 6 if I talk to a radiologist and I say this is what
- 7 I'm concerned about, this is what I'm looking at,
- 8 ideally they use their expertise to be able to --
- 9 use their expertise to be able to answer the
- 10 question. So I'm not going to tell them per se
- 11 how to do their protocol any more than they'll
- 12 tell me.
- 0 Move to strike.
- I don't -- Doctor, with all due respect,
- I don't know why you're telling me that. I didn't
- 16 ask any questions about that. It was a very
- simple question.
- Here is my question.
- Did you read that Dr. Raz worked
- 20 together with a radiologist at UCLA Medical Center
- to establish a protocol to specifically use
- ultrasound to image mesh?
- 23 A I don't recall reading that he
- specifically worked with the radiologist versus he
- doing it himself.

```
1
              Do you dispute that Dr. Raz imaged mesh
 2
    with the ultrasound as he testified to?
 3
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 4
                    THE WITNESS: I think that the
 5
         photographs that I saw of the ultrasounds do
         indicate mesh.
 6
 7
    BY MR. SLATER:
 8
              Did you actually look at the actual
    ultrasounds themselves, the actual electronic
10
    films?
11
         Α
                    I looked at pictures of it rather
12
    than the film itself.
13
                    MR. SLATER: Why don't we do this.
14
         It's 1:00. We said 45 minutes, so why don't
         we break for lunch until 1:30 and resume.
15
16
         Does that sound good?
17
                    MR. COMBS: Yeah, we'll try. It
         may take a little bit longer than 1:30, but
18
19
         we'll try. We'll definitely try to keep this
20
         break as short as we can.
21
                    MR. SLATER: All right. Let's try
22
         to shoot for 1:30 if you want to get out of
23
         there.
24
                    (Discussion was held off the
25
                    record.)
```

```
1
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record
 2.
          at 12:56.
 3
                    (Whereupon, the lunch recess was
 4
                    taken.)
 5
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Our time now is
 6
          1:43. On record.
 7
    BY MR. SLATER:
 8
          0
               Okay.
 9
               Doctor, we've now gone through and
10
    discussed Exhibits 2 -- and 5, which are the three
11
    reports that you authored in this case; correct?
12
                    (Discussion was held off the
13
                    record.)
14
    BY MR. SLATER:
15
               Doctor, we've now gone through the three
          0
16
    reports you've written in this case, which we've
17
    marked as Exhibits 2, 3 and 5; correct?
18
         Α
               Correct.
19
               And when you wrote those reports you
20
    understood that you needed to express each of the
21
    opinions that you formed in those reports;
22
    correct?
23
         Α
               Yes.
24
               And you did, in fact -- rephrase.
          0
25
               And those reports contain each of the
```

- opinions you formed in this litigation; correct?
  - 2 A Yes.
  - Q When you wrote those reports, did you
- 4 write them carefully in the sense that you picked
- 5 your words carefully and tried to express as
- 6 clearly as possible what you intended to state?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q For example, if you found, in your
- 9 opinion, that something was likely or probable,
- 10 you would say that; correct?
- 11 A I would assume that if -- if it was
- 12 likely or probable by my definition of likely or
- probable, then I would use that word.
- Q I -- in my -- rephrase.
- I deposed you previously in August of
- this year, August of 2013.
- Do you recall that?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q What I'd like to do now is see if I can
- avoid going over old ground, so that's why I'm
- going to ask you the following question.
- In that deposition, when I questioned
- you about your background, when I questioned you
- 24 about your experience, when I questioned you about
- your opinions that were separate and apart from

- 1 specific questions about the specific patient in
- that case, if I were to ask you those same
- questions today, could I expect to receive the
- 4 same answers?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I would expect so. I
- mean I can't say to absolute, because I'd
- have to go back and read each of those
- 9 questions, but I would expect I would say the
- same answers.
- 11 BY MR. SLATER:
- 12 Q Did you review that deposition
- 13 transcript?
- 14 A I read part of the deposition
- transcript, skimmed more the other part of it.
- Q When you say you read part but skimmed
- the other, did you skim the part that had to do
- with that patient that was at issue in that case
- 19 but read the balance?
- 20 A I think the majority of what I did
- reading-wise, I think, was in the earlier part of
- the deposition, and there were a number of
- discussions relative to what I thought about
- 24 Ethicon's knowledge and Ethicon's
- responsibilities, et cetera. That part I did

```
1
    read.
 2.
               And with regard to those parts, was
 3
    there anything you saw where you said, oh, that's
 4
    wrong, or anything that you would say now you'd
 5
    give a different answer?
 6
         Α
               I don't believe so.
 7
          0
               Okay.
 8
               I'm sorry. We had talked about the
         Α
 9
    issue, the one correction about when I stopped
10
    doing TVTs -- or not TVTs. Sorry. Prolifts that
11
    I corrected from instead of being 2011-ish, that
12
    it was 2009, and --
13
               Right.
          O
14
               -- I'm trying to think if there were
15
    anything -- I think that was the major issue.
                                                      Ιt
16
    turns out that I -- oh, the other correction was
17
    you had asked me how long I had spent on looking
18
    at just Pamela's records and stuff. I quess --
19
    well, maybe it was the -- I think I had perhaps
20
    underestimated the number of hours, but I think --
21
    the rest of it I think is pretty -- I didn't, I
22
    didn't mark anything else that was to be
23
    corrected.
24
         0
               Okay.
```

You do not practice orthopedics, do you?

Golkow Technologies, Inc.

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q You do not hold yourself out as an
- 3 expert in the field of orthopedics, do you?
- 4 A No.
- 5 O When Pam Wicker went to Dr. Bercik,
- 6 there were several alternative treatments and
- 7 treatment strategies that would have been
- 8 reasonable; correct?
- 9 A There were other treatment strategies
- 10 besides the surgery, yes.
- 11 Q And the reason -- the reasonable
- 12 alternatives for Pam Wicker would have included,
- for example, doing nothing and just watching and
- waiting and seeing how she does going forward;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Yes, a little bit, with the comment
- about relative to the pain issues she was
- 18 experiencing. From the bulge itself, if you only
- 19 look at the anatomy, yes, she could have gone
- 20 ahead and just observed it.
- 21 Q One option would have been for
- 22 Mrs. Wicker to do pelvic floor strengthening
- exercises, not have surgery and see how she does
- 24 going forward? That would have been one
- reasonable option; correct?

- 1 A I would agree with all of that, other,
- other than perhaps the pelvic floor strengthening
- 3 exercises. That's usually used more for
- 4 incontinence patients than for prolapse patients.
- One option for Pam Wicker would have
- been to have a suture repair without mesh;
- 7 correct?
- 8 A Correct. Transvaginal suture repair
- 9 without mesh, yes.
- 10 Q -- option for Pam Wicker would have been
- to have abdominal sacrocolpopexy, whether open or
- 12 laparoscopic; correct?
- 13 A Yes, with perhaps some vaginal work done
- 14 simultaneously. It would depend upon how the
- anatomy sort of ended up being at the end of the
- 16 apical lift.
- 17 Q And so each of those alternatives we
- just went through would have been reasonable
- 19 alternatives to be selected; correct?
- 20 A They are options that she could have
- 21 considered, yes.
- Q Can you tell me to a reasonable degree
- of medical probability what would have happened if
- 24 Pam Wicker had chosen one of those other options?
- 25 A In terms of what?

```
1
               What happened within her pelvis, in
 2
    terms of whether or not she would have had pain.
 3
    What, if any, complications, whether or not she
 4
    would have felt pain. Are you able to tell me
    what would have happened if she had taken another
 5
 6
    course?
 7
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 8
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I can give you my
 9
         clinical opinion regarding what I think would
10
         happen in her case regarding a number of the
11
         different issues with the different
12
         approaches surgically, based to some extent
13
         on her clinical situation and based on what
14
         the literature says over all of the data,
15
         failures, success, et cetera.
16
    BY MR. SLATER:
17
               Well, I want to ask you in the case of
18
    Pam Wicker, based on her own condition at the time
19
    that she went in to Dr. Bercik on October 20,
20
    2008, if she had had a suture repair or had no
21
    treatment as of that time or one of these other
22
    alternatives, are you able to tell me whether or
23
    not she would have had to have further surgery?
24
    Is there any way to know that?
```

I think that if she had had a

А

25

- 1 transvaginal suture repair without mesh, that
- there is probably -- there is a reasonable
- 3 likelihood she would have had a recurrence of her
- 4 prolapse and would have potentially faced another
- 5 surgery.
- 6 Q What do you base that on?
- A My, my knowledge of the literature as
- 8 well as my experience over 25 years of doing these
- 9 types of surgeries.
- 10 Q Are you saying that there would have
- been a statistical possibility of that occurring?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q But you can't say to a reasonable degree
- of medical probability whether or not it would
- have occurred. You can just say statistically
- it's a possibility; correct?
- 17 A I think that in her case that it may be
- a little bit higher possibility than in other
- 19 people, just based on her level of activity and
- her, the demands that she would be placing on her
- 21 pelvic area and support tissues in the
- post-operative time.
- So I think if I were to say would she be
- on the lower end of the recurrence rate or on the
- higher end of the recurrence rate, I think that

- based on her age and the physical activity, that
- she would probably be on the higher end of the
- 3 recurrence rate.
- 4 Q In terms of it being a statistical
- 5 possibility?
- 6 A In terms of her experiencing a
- 7 recurrence. I'm not sure what you mean about
- 8 "statistical possibility."
- 9 Q All you can say is based on the
- 10 literature and what you're looking at, that on a
- 11 statistical basis there's a possibility that that
- would have needed to be done?
- Rephrase.
- You're saying that statistically it's
- possible she would have had a recurrence. You
- can't say that she would have had one or wouldn't
- have had one, because that's speculation, isn't
- 18 it?
- 19 A It is, it is -- it is a -- it is a, an
- opinion based upon not just the literature and
- numbers, et cetera. It's based on clinically
- 22 practicing and doing those operations for 25 years
- 23 and seeing what the outcome is in patients who
- have those surgeries and watching them
- postoperatively.

- So I think that from, it's not just a
- 2 purely statistical theoretic issue. I think those
- 3 statistics have a basis, and they have a clinical
- 4 basis, and in this particular patient, I think
- 5 there is a clinical basis to say that she would
- 6 have had a reasonable possibility of needing a
- 7 subsequent surgery for prolapse if she had simply
- 8 a transvaginal suture repair.
- 9 Q If Pam Wicker had not had a Prolift put
- in her body and had not had mesh put in, she would
- 11 not have had mesh contraction; correct?
- 12 A Well, assuming she didn't have one of
- the alternative procedures done that involved
- 14 mesh, but if she had only a native tissue
- procedure, then no, she wouldn't have had mesh in
- 16 her body.
- Q Okay. Move to strike.
- 18 If Pam Wicker had not had mesh put into
- 19 her body as part of the procedure, she would not
- have had a risk for mesh contraction; correct?
- 21 A The way you phrased it, I can't answer
- it. That's why I was trying to rephrase it in the
- way I did.
- 24 Q -- if she --
- 25 A I'm sorry. We lost you.

- 1 Q If she did not have mesh put in her
- body, there would be no risk of mesh contraction;
- 3 correct?
- 4 A If she had no mesh put in under any
- 5 circumstances in any type of alternative surgery,
- 6 you're correct, she would not have had the risk of
- 7 mesh contraction.
- 8 Q And if Mrs. Wicker had not had mesh put
- 9 into her body, she would not have had risk of mesh
- 10 erosion; correct?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q If Pam Wicker had not had mesh put into
- her body, she would not have needed operations to
- 14 revise or remove contracted mesh or eroded mesh;
- 15 correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: The eroded mesh, I
- would say yes. Contracted mesh, hard to say.
- 19 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Well, if she had no mesh in her body,
- 21 how could she have surgery to remove her
- 22 contracted mesh?
- 23 A Sorry. I sort of misinterpreted the
- 24 question.
- So if she had no mesh in her body, she

- 1 couldn't have had surgery for contracted mesh.
- 2 You're correct.
- MR. SLATER: What I'd like to do
- 4 now is go to folder 20. If you could,
- 5 Stephanie, I want to mark that as the next
- exhibit. The records in folder 20.
- 7 (Exhibits 6 through 13 were marked
- for identification.)
- 9 BY MR. SLATER:
- 10 Q Doctor, we've marked as Exhibit 13 the
- 11 records that we got from Dr. Bercik.
- Have you seen those records?
- 13 A I'm looking through them to see whether
- 14 I've seen this -- I've seen what I presume are
- 15 these records.
- 16 Q You're confirming you have seen them;
- 17 correct?
- 18 A I'm looking through to confirm that.
- I believe I've seen all these records
- other than the billing statements.
- Q I don't expect to ask you about those,
- so we should be okay.
- Okay.
- First of all, when Pam Wicker came to
- Dr. Bercik, she was 58 years old; correct?

1 Α Correct. 2. 0 At that point she was a 50-year-old --3 rephrase. 4 At that point Pam Wicker was a 5 58-year-old, physically active and sexually active 6 woman leading a fulfilling life; correct? 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: Based on -- you're 9 asking me that based on this record in front 10 of me? 11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 Based on what you know. 0 13 Based on what I know, she was physically Α 14 active and sexually active. I can't make any 15 conclusion about whether she viewed that as a 16 fulfilling life or not. 17 0 Okay. 18 When Pam Wicker came to Dr. Bercik, she 19 was a young woman of 58 years old, she was 20 sexually active and physically active? 21 Α Correct. Very young. 22 Now, it's indicated that she had only 0 23 felt this bulge very recently; right? 24 Α For two weeks. There's an indication of mild to 25 Q

- 1 moderate pelvis pain, from your review of all the
- 2 materials, is it your understanding that there was
- 3 actual pain or that there was more pressure or
- 4 discomfort from the bulge?
- 5 A Pain is referred to in, you know,
- 6 several situations, based on this history, based
- on the deposition of her friend. My -- in my
- questioning of her during my IME, she doesn't
- 9 necessarily describe it as much as pain.
- So there's some saying pain yes and some
- 11 saying no.
- 12 Q Putting all the information you have
- 13 together, am I correct that as opposed to
- describing her sensation as pain, it would
- probably be more accurate to describe it as
- pressure and discomfort from the bulge?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I can't make that
- presumption. Patients can have pressure.
- Patients can have no symptoms. Patients can
- have pain.
- 22 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q So you can't say one way or the other
- 24 here?
- 25 A I think that it's certainly conceivable

- 1 that she had pain as part of her presenting
- 2 complaint. It's also conceivable not.
- Q At the bottom of this front page, it
- 4 states that she's sexually active and that she has
- 5 "dyspareunia."
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A I see that.
- Q If you flip to the next page, actually
- 9 two pages later, in the GYN history at the top of
- page 3 it says that she denies various things,
- including denying pain with intercourse.
- Do you see that?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 15 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q So there's an inconsistency in the
- record as to whether or not Pam told Dr. Bercik
- she had dyspareunia or pain with intercourse at
- 19 that first visit; correct?
- 20 A There's an inconsistency in the record.
- 21 Q And did you see Dr. Bercik's deposition
- testimony where he said he didn't know which was
- 23 correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Did you see Pam Wicker's deposition

- 1 testimony where she said she did not have pain
- with intercourse or dyspareunia at that time?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Based on that information, did you draw
- 5 an assumption one way or the other as to whether
- or not Pam Wicker had pain with intercourse?
- 7 A Initially based on those two pieces of
- 8 information, it was difficult to conclude. Her
- 9 friend in her deposition is quite -- stresses
- quite a bit that she was told by Ms. Wicker that
- she was having significant pain, including pain
- 12 with intercourse.
- Q Did you have an understanding as to
- whether or not her friend Jane Wallace was talking
- about before the Prolift surgery or after?
- 16 A It was before the Prolift surgery.
- 17 Q That was your understanding?
- 18 A Yeah, she said that's part of the reason
- that she had gone ahead and had the surgery.
- 20 Q There's clearly a conflict between the
- testimony of Pam Wicker and her friend Jane
- Wallace as well as right within the record of
- Dr. Bercik as to whether or not Pam had pain with
- intercourse before her Prolift surgery; correct?
- 25 A Yes.

```
1
               Do you feel comfortable drawing an
    assumption as to whether or not she was having
 2
 3
    painful intercourse, or is that something where
 4
    you say I just don't know, there's no way to know?
 5
               If you assume that her comment is that
 6
    she was having mild to moderate pelvic pain as
 7
    part of her presenting symptoms, I would draw the
 8
    conclusion that she also was having dyspareunia.
 9
               And if you draw the conclusion that she
         0
10
    was not feeling pain but was feeling discomfort
11
    and pressure, would that change your assumption?
12
         Α
               It's possible that it would change it.
13
               Whether -- well, rephrase.
          0
14
               If we assume that Pam Wicker was having
15
    either pain or discomfort with sexual relations,
16
    that began two weeks before this visit of July 22,
17
    2008, when she noticed the bulge; correct?
18
         Α
               That appears to be the timing, yes.
19
          Q
               And one of the -- rephrase.
20
               One of the purposes of the Prolift is to
21
    resupport the bladder such that there's no longer
22
    a bulge and presumably no longer discomfort or
23
    pain with intercourse as a result of the bulge;
24
    correct?
25
          Α
               That is one of the goals of the
```

```
1
    procedure.
 2.
         0
               Now, during the exam -- rephrase.
 3
               During the exam, Dr. Bercik performed an
 4
    examination of Mrs. Wicker's vagina both
 5
    externally and internally; correct?
 6
         Α
               Yes.
 7
               He rated her prolapse and did a POP-Q
 8
    exam; correct?
 9
         Α
               Yes.
10
               He did not note finding any spasmodic
11
    muscles within the vagina, did he?
12
         Α
               He didn't note one way or the other, so
13
    it's not a pertinent negative or -- I mean it's
14
    not a, it's not a pertinent negative or a
15
    pertinent positive noted. Can't say one way or
16
    the other.
17
               -- position whether he examined for
18
    signs of pelvic muscle spasm?
19
                    MR. COMBS: Yeah, Adam, you cut
20
         out --
21
                    THE WITNESS: That first part.
22
                    MR. COMBS: -- on half of the
23
         question.
24
                    MR. SLATER: Okay. I'll reask it.
25
```

```
1
    BY MR. SLATER:
 2.
               Was Dr. Bercik asked in his deposition
          0
 3
    whether or not his examination included feeling
 4
     for pelvic floor muscle spasm?
 5
               I don't recall that part of the
 6
    deposition.
 7
               In forming your opinions, did you assume
 8
    that Dr. Bercik did not examine for pelvic floor
 9
    muscle spasm?
10
               I don't think I necessarily used that
11
     fact as a significant part of my opinion.
12
          Q
               Being that Pam Wicker had pelvic
13
     floor --
14
               I'm sorry?
          Α
15
          0
               -- muscle spasm --
16
          Α
               I'm sorry.
17
          Q
               I'm sorry. Sure.
18
               Did you assume one way or the other
19
    whether or not Pam Wicker had pelvic floor myalgia
20
    or muscle spasm at the time that she went to see
21
    Dr. Bercik before she had the Prolift?
22
          Α
               I didn't assume --
23
          Q
               Did you assume one way or the other?
24
          Α
               No.
```

Q

Okay.

25

- Was it of any significance to you in
- forming your opinions whether or not she had
- 3 pelvic floor muscle spasm or myalgia before the
- 4 Prolift surgery?
- 5 A Would it be of significance to me?
- 6 Yeah.
- 7 Q -- your opinions.
- 8 A Yes. I understand, but I -- based on
- 9 the information here, I formed my opinion that
- there was not conclusive evidence of pelvic muscle
- 11 spasm indicated on the exam.
- 12 Certainly it's not addressed per se one
- way or the other, so one would assume that he
- would have said positive if it was positive, but I
- took the approach that he had evaluated it and
- that it was not present on exam, and that is the
- basis for forming my opinion.
- Q So in forming your opinions in this
- 19 case, you assumed that Pam Wicker did not have
- 20 pelvic floor myalgia or muscle spasm before the
- 21 Prolift surgery; correct?
- 22 A There wasn't -- yeah. No. I mean there
- wasn't conclusive evidence that she did. I mean
- there's certainly a possibility she could have,
- but there wasn't conclusive evidence that she did.

- 1 Q You, in drawing your opinions, assumed
- that she did not have pelvic floor myalgia before
- 3 the Prolift surgery? That's the assumption you
- formed and then drew your opinions based on that;
- 5 correct?
- A Yes, I believe that's probably correct.
- 7 Q And just to be complete, it was your
- 8 assumption that -- well, I'm going to withdraw
- 9 that.
- Let's go to folder 5.
- 11 (Exhibit 14 was marked for
- identification.)
- 13 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q What we've marked as Exhibit 14 is the
- preoperative exam before the surgery from
- 16 October 6, 2008.
- Do you see that?
- 18 A Yeah, I'm just looking through.
- The, the handwritten portion of this I
- had not previously seen, the handwritten portion
- of the note. The rest of it, yeah, I think I had
- 22 seen previously.
- Q Okay.
- I want to just draw your attention to
- the beginning of this document from this exam by

```
Dr. Klein where it says "Past Medical History."
 1
 2.
               Do you see that?
 3
         Α
               Yes.
               It indicates "she feels well, was having
 4
 5
    symptoms of vaginal prolapse, better now, no
    urinary symptoms."
 6
 7
               Do you see that?
 8
         Α
               Yes.
 9
               So that's what Pam Wicker reported to
10
    Dr. Klein two weeks before she was going to be
11
    operated on by Dr. Bercik; correct?
12
         Α
               Yes.
13
               Did you see in Pam Wicker's deposition
14
    where she said that before Dr. Bercik operated,
15
    that she was still fully sexually active with her
16
    husband and was not feeling pain with intercourse?
17
               I don't remember that specific
          Α
18
    statement, but . . .
19
          Q
               Okay.
20
               Turn forward a couple pages to the
21
    operative report of October 20, 2008. It's in
22
    Exhibit 13, Dr. Bercik's records.
23
               And do you see there's a preoperative
24
    diagnosis in his operative report?
25
          Α
               Yes.
```

- 1 Q One of the things listed is interstitial
- <sup>2</sup> cystitis.
- Do you see that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Dr. Bercik did not diagnose interstitial
- 6 cystitis. He was just placing that there, because
- 7 that was part of the history given to him by
- 8 Mrs. Wicker; correct?
- 9 A I assume that's why he placed it.
- 10 Q And you saw Dr. Moldwin's deposition in
- 11 his records where he indicated he did not diagnose
- interstitial cystitis; he just documented the past
- diagnosis that had been brought to him by Pam
- 14 Wicker as well.
- Did you see that?
- 16 A Yes.
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q And I read your report and didn't see
- any opinion on this, but I just want to be clear.
- You're not offering an opinion that Pam Wicker was
- 22 suffering from active interstitial cystitis when
- the Prolift surgery was done, are you?
- A No, I'm not offering. In fact, I don't
- think she was when the Prolift surgery was done.

```
-- offer the opinion that she's
 1
    had interstitial cystitis since the Prolift
 2
 3
    surgery; correct?
 4
                    MR. COMBS: You cut out.
 5
                    THE WITNESS: You cut out on that.
 6
    BY MR. SLATER:
 7
               Trailed off again? Okay.
          0
 8
               And I'm correct that you haven't joined
 9
    the opinion that she's had interstitial cystitis
10
    since the Prolift surgery; correct?
11
               I don't have -- I have not drawn that
         Α
12
    conclusion.
                  I don't really have evidence to
13
    suggest that diagnosis. I don't have any strong
14
    evidence to suggest that diagnosis.
15
          O
               Okay.
16
               The preoperative diagnosis that's listed
17
    there does not list dyspareunia; correct?
18
         Α
               Correct.
19
               It does not list vaginal stricture;
20
    correct?
21
         Α
               Correct.
22
               It does not list vaginal pain of any
23
    sort; correct?
24
         Α
               Correct.
25
               Let's turn forward now to the
          O
```

- 1 January 29, 2009 office visit. It's got a 66 in
- the bottom right corner in the Bates numbers.
- Do you have that record?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- On January 29, 2009, Mrs. Wicker went to
- 6 Dr. Bercik and advised him that she was having
- 7 dyspareunia especially on the left side; correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Or. Bercik performed an exam and found a
- band on the left side three centimeters in, and
- then it says "C/W tight mesh arm, tender to
- palpation, vagina is six centimeters depth."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q Do you, do you have an understanding of
- what he means when he writes "C/W" before "the
- tight mesh arm"?
- 18 A "Consistent with" is usually what we use
- 19 that to mean.
- Q Okay, and then just below that he
- writes, "Dyspareunia due to tight band of mesh
- arm," and then he points out "revision of mesh
- 23 arm/release band" is his plan.
- Do you see that?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q At this point Dr. Bercik had found that
- there was a band which would signify contracted
- 3 scar-plated mesh within her pelvis where the mesh
- 4 arm is; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Certainly that
- finding could be consistent with that.
- 8 BY MR. SLATER:
- 9 Q And he confirmed, based on his exam,
- that that was the location where she was feeling
- pain, because he palpated on the hardened mesh;
- 12 correct?
- 13 A He palpated that that area was tender.
- 14 It didn't -- he doesn't indicate whether or not
- that also reproduced the patient's complaints of
- 16 dyspareunia.
- 17 Q Now let's turn to the next two pages
- later, which is the next surgery. I'm now going
- to ask you about the February 20, 2009 operative
- 20 report for a couple minutes.
- Dr. Bercik in that report indicates a
- 22 preoperative diagnosis of dyspareunia and vaginal
- 23 stricture; correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q And the dyspareunia and vaginal

```
stricture was due to the Prolift; correct?

MR. COMBS: Object to form.
```

- THE WITNESS: Was due to the
- 4 surgery for the Prolift.
- 5 BY MR. SLATER:
- 6 O Well, Dr. Bercik found on his exam a
- 7 mesh band, he found a tight mesh arm, tenderness,
- 8 and determined to operate to release and remove
- 9 that mesh; correct?
- 10 A He found, he found a tight band, yeah,
- and that's what he was -- that was tender. That's
- what he was operating on, yes.
- 13 Q The procedure that was performed on Pam
- 14 Wicker was the Prolift procedure. That's the
- procedure she had performed; right?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q And as a result of that procedure, the
- Prolift procedure, she was now suffering from
- dyspareunia and a vaginal stricture, according to
- Dr. Bercik's operative report; correct?
- 21 A I can't say that the vaginal stricture
- was due to the Prolift itself. She's had surgery,
- including with a Prolift, so the mesh portion
- clearly is going to be related to the Prolift. If
- there is scarring or stricturing or scar bands

- without the mesh portion there that's causing any
- type of constriction or whatever he calls it, I
- 3 can't say whether that's specifically from Prolift
- 4 versus just surgery itself.
- 5 Q If you -- you've read through his
- 6 operative report before; right?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Dr. Bercik actually found that the areas
- 9 of stricture corresponded to areas of tight,
- tensioned mesh that was contracted that he
- 11 released and removed; correct?
- 12 A Certainly the -- he talks about that the
- 13 11:00 was related to a band of mesh. The 2:00, he
- 14 says there was a stricture that he ended up
- opening to excise the strictured area. He talks
- about an erosion was identified, but it's unclear.
- I mean he talks about the erosion being from the
- 18 mesh. He doesn't talk about the stricture being
- 19 from the mesh.
- Q Did you read his deposition?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- Q And you read where he said that on both
- 23 sides he cut tension bands of mesh?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I think I recall

```
1
          reading that, yes. I'm just -- I'm doing it
 2.
         based on his operative note that you asked
 3
         me.
 4
    BY MR. SLATER:
 5
               Well, if you take together the operative
 6
    note and Dr. Bercik's testimony explaining what he
 7
    documented, he found that both of the strictures
 8
    were due to tensioned, contracted, scarred mesh;
 9
    correct?
10
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
11
                                  With both of those
                    THE WITNESS:
12
          included, that was his conclusion, or that
13
         was his findings.
14
    BY MR. SLATER:
15
               And both of those were due to the
         0
16
    Prolift being in Pam's body; correct?
17
         Α
               Yes.
18
         0
               He also -- rephrase.
19
               Dr. Bercik also found on February 20
20
    eroded mesh, and he removed that as well; correct?
21
               A 2-millimeter area of erosion he
         Α
22
    removed, yes.
23
          0
               And erosion was due to the Prolift;
24
    correct?
25
         Α
               I quess so. The mesh that was there was
```

- 1 the Prolift mesh, yes.
- 2 Q Let's flip forward to the next office
- yisit, April 2, 2009. Dr. Bercik documented on
- 4 April 2, 2009, that if --
- 5 A Excuse me. Just a second. I'm just
- 6 making sure I'm on the right page.
- 7 Okay. Thank you.
- Q It's got a 71 at the bottom.
- 9 A That's fine.
- 10 Q Okay.
- The first visit documented after
- 12 February 20 is April 2.
- Do you have that in front of you?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And at that point, following the release
- and removal of contracted mesh and eroded mesh,
- 17 Mrs. Wicker indicated she was feeling well and
- that she didn't have pain at that point; correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q That would confirm that it was the mesh
- that was causing her pain, since by removing the
- mesh, the pain went away.
- That makes sense; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: It certainly is one

- cause that she could be having for pain.

  BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Dr. Bercik documents that she has a
- 4 shortened posterior wall at 6 to 7 centimeters.
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q You would agree a vagina that has a
- 8 length of 6 to 7 centimeters is a shortened
- 9 vagina?
- 10 A It is on the -- it is in the -- it's the
- lower limits or lower areas of what we would
- typically think of as vaginal length. I think to
- some extent it would depend on the partner.
- 14 Q Let's turn forward now to the next
- 15 visit. Turn to April 28, 2009.
- On April 28, 2009, Pam returned to
- 17 Dr. Bercik; correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q She now reports that she "has
- 20 dyspareunia and bladder pain after exercise,
- 21 pressure-like pain, discomfort, symptoms of a
- 22 possible yeast infection. Now on Cipro."
- Do you see that under the comments
- 24 section?
- A Yes, yes.

- Q An exam was performed, and Dr. Bercik
- 2 confirmed that the vagina was estrogenized, so she
- was using the estrogen; correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 O Dr. Bercik also confirmed that the
- 6 incision for the surgery was intact; right?
- 7 A Yes.
- Q Dr. Bercik documents that he felt --
- 9 well, rephrase.
- Dr. Bercik says under the physical exam,
- "Mesh felt 2/3 along vaginal wall anterior, apical
- part of vagina with prolapse to minus 3 with
- standing, mesh [is] not tender to palpation."
- Do you see that?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q So he's confirming that he felt mesh
- along the anterior vaginal wall by palpation;
- 18 correct? That's what that means?
- 19 A Again, one would assume that he's
- 20 meaning he feels that under the vaginal wall
- rather than through the vaginal wall, since he
- doesn't say "erosion," but yes, I would assume
- that it's -- he's feeling that there's mesh under
- 24 the vaginal wall.
- Q Where he writes "apical part of vagina"

- 1 with prolapse to minus 3," what does that
- 2 correlate to in terms of stage 1, stage 2, stage
- 3 3?
- 4 A For the apex? I think she would be in
- 5 this case probably a stage 2.
- 6 Q So at this point following the Prolift
- 7 surgery, she's now beginning to reprolapse;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 O The arms of the Prolift have a
- particular purpose in that procedure; correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q One of the purposes of the arms is to
- 14 provide support to prevent a reprolapse of an
- organ; correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- Q By cutting the arms to treat the
- 18 contraction and the tension bands, that is
- weakening the intended support from the Prolift
- and making it more likely to end up with a
- 21 reprolapse; correct?
- 22 A I think there is certainly a possibility
- that the patient could have a reprolapse of the
- 24 apex if you cut the armbands.
- 25 Q In Pam Wicker's case, you would agree

```
with me that certainly the fact that the arms were
 1
 2
    cut and some of the arms were removed was at least
 3
    a contributing factor to her ending up with a
 4
    reprolapse of her bladder; correct?
 5
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
                    THE WITNESS: I think that that's a
 6
 7
          reasonable statement.
 8
    BY MR. SLATER:
 9
               Okay.
          Q
10
               That was the last time that Dr. Bercik
11
    saw Pam Wicker; correct?
12
         Α
               That's correct.
13
                    MR. SLATER: Okay.
14
                    Let's go to number 10, folder 10,
15
          and mark it as the next exhibit, which I
16
          quess puts us at 15.
17
                    (Exhibit 15 was marked for
18
                    identification.)
19
    BY MR. SLATER:
20
               Exhibit 15 is the operative report from
21
    Dr. Raz for his first surgery on Pam, July 9,
```

- Do you see that?
- 24 A It's just in a little different format
- than what I've seen, so give me a second.

22

2009.

```
1
               Okay.
 2.
          0
               The first thing Dr. Raz does in this
 3
    operative report is he describes a preoperative
 4
    diagnosis.
 5
               Do you see that at the top?
 6
         Α
               Yes.
 7
               He indicates at that point that Pam had
 8
     "vaginal shortening post-hysterectomy, severe
 9
    vaginal pain and dyspareunia, and complications of
    anterior mesh surgery for cystocele with prior
10
11
    vaginal erosion."
12
               Do you see that?
13
          Α
               Yes.
14
               And that's a reasonable preoperative
          0
15
    diagnosis based on Pam's clinical course at that
16
    point; correct?
17
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
18
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   It is a diagnosis
19
          based on what he's saying he saw on exam and
20
         based on what she's telling him symptom-wise,
21
          so I think it would be consistent.
22
    BY MR. SLATER:
23
               Let's go now to the indications for the
24
    surgery.
               Dr. Raz first indicates "Mrs. Wicker
25
```

- 1 suffers from significant complications after a
- 2 prior Prolift surgery for cystocele."
- That's a statement you would agree with;
- 4 right? At that point that was accurate?
- 5 A Again, significant? Yeah, I would
- 6 probably say that she's certainly suffering from
- 7 complications from it.
- 8 Q Would you agree that the complications
- 9 at this point are significant where she's now
- 10 facing her second operation, post Prolift?
- 11 A I think from her perspective, they
- 12 probably were significant, yes.
- Q From your perspective as a physician
- 14 familiar with the Prolift procedure, do you look
- at her course, she's now facing her second
- post-Prolift operation, would you agree these are
- significant complications at this point?
- A Again, I mean it, it's certainly -- I
- 19 guess I would say significant. I mean it's, it's
- 20 not the level of significance of other
- complications that we see, but, you know, again,
- "significant" is going to be a relatively
- 23 subjective term.
- Q Dr. Raz points out that "on physical
- examination, the vagina was extremely short, half

of the normal size." 1 2. So there he's talking about the vaginal 3 shortening; correct? 4 Α Correct. 5 Dr. Raz points out, "We can see white Q 6 spots of irregular intraepithelial elevations that 7 are mesh induration in the anterior vaginal wall." 8 So he saw that on his actual physical 9 exam of her vagina; correct? 10 Yes. Α 11 Dr. Raz says, "We found also mesh in the Q 12 periurethral area, with significant scarring of 13 the vagina." 14 That is something he found on his exam; 15 correct? 16 That's what he's reporting. Α 17 He then points out that, "Prior MRI Q 18 shows a cystocele grade 3 to 4, minimal urethral 19 hypermobility, and the ultrasound of the vagina 20 shows folded irregular mesh in the anterior 21 vaginal wall." 22 Do you see where I'm reading from? 23 Α Yes, I see that. 24 Did you look at the MRI? 0

Α

Yes.

25

- 1 Q Did you agree it showed a cystocele
- grade 3 to 4 at that point?
- A I, I think that there is anterior wall
- 4 relaxation and a cystocele. I'm not sure that I
- 5 would call it grade 3 to 4. It depends on the
- 6 grading system you use, and he doesn't specify
- 7 that.
- 8 Q Did you view the actual ultrasound of
- 9 the vagina he's pointing to?
- 10 A With the folded arm or whatever he's
- 11 talking about, yes, I saw the photos.
- 12 Q Was the irregular mesh in the anterior
- vaginal wall part of the ultrasound?
- 14 A I saw the, the picture of the folded
- mesh. It wasn't clear to me that it was
- specifically in the anterior wall versus in the
- tunnels from where the arms were placed.
- 18 O But it was one or the other location?
- 19 A I'm sorry?
- 20 Q You felt it was either the anterior wall
- or in the tunnels where the arms had been placed,
- one or the other?
- 23 A Yeah, that's -- it would have to be one
- or the other.
- Q We could certainly agree that folded

- 1 Prolift mesh is not something that you want within
- 2 a woman's body under these types of circumstances
- or any circumstances; right? You want the mesh to
- 4 lay flat?
- 5 A Yeah, ideally you want the mesh to lay
- 6 flat. It doesn't always, but you want it to.
- 7 Q -- "risk of contraction and formation of
- 8 scar plating and bridging"; correct?
- 9 MR. COMBS: You cut out.
- THE WITNESS: You cut out in the
- beginning.
- 12 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q No problem.
- When the mesh is folded, as described
- here and as shown on the ultrasound, that creates
- an increased risk to end up with scar plating and
- bridging fibrosis, because you have the mesh
- 18 folded and bunched together; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that you
- can make that causative statement.
- 22 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Well as a general proposition you would
- 24 agree with that statement; right?
- A I, I mean I'm not going to say that I

- agree with the fact that there's more scar plating
- 2 and all that kind of stuff. I said with the mesh
- folded -- let's go back. Why don't you ask the
- 4 question again so I make sure I answer it
- 5 correctly, or if you want to read back --
- 6 Q When there's folding -- yeah, I'll ask
- 7 it again. When the, when the Prolift mesh is
- 8 folded like this, it increases the risk to end up
- 9 with plates of scar tissue or bridging fibrosis,
- because the mesh is bunched together and folded
- 11 together; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I don't think
- that you can say that statement, that it
- increases the risk of that and/or that it is
- because of that.
- 17 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you know the viewpoint of Ethicon
- 19 Medical Affairs on that --
- 20 A No.
- Q -- subject of that question?
- A No, I do not.
- 23 Q You know who -- I'm sorry. You know who
- 24 Dr. Raz is; am I correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Have you ever met him?
- 2 A I've worked with him, yes. He was a
- member of the committee I chaired.
- 4 O Which committee was that?
- 5 A For the American Board of Obstetrics and
- 6 Gynecology, the committee that was the fellowship
- 7 accreditation program for female pelvic medicine
- 8 and reconstructive surgery. I was the chair and
- 9 he was a member.
- 10 Q Would you agree with me that, to the
- 11 best of your knowledge, Shlomo Raz has probably
- treated more mesh complications in more patients
- than any doctor in the United States?
- 14 A I can't make that statement.
- 15 Q Is there any other doctor you can
- suggest has treated anywhere close to the number
- of patients he has with mesh complications?
- 18 A There are -- there's one other physician
- group that's in Atlanta that puts itself forward
- as being the premier or prime group for mesh
- 21 complications. I don't know what their numbers
- 22 are compared to Dr. Raz.
- O Dr. Miklos and Moore; correct?
- 24 A Correct.
- Q You read Dr. Raz's testimony that he's

- 1 removed mesh from -- I believe the number was over
- 2 400 women. Correct?
- A I think that's what he stated, yes.
- 4 Q Would you -- rephrase.
- 5 Would you agree with me that Dr. Raz is
- 6 considered within the medical community to be the
- 7 foremost expert in the United States with regard
- 8 to the treatment of mesh complications?
- 9 MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I would not agree to
- that statement at all.
- 12 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you have respect for Dr. Raz's
- 14 knowledge and understanding in the area of
- treating mesh complications?
- 16 A That's -- I would really prefer not to
- answer that question if at all possible on the
- 18 record.
- 19 Q You need to answer.
- 20 A Do I have respect for his ability to do
- 21 that? No.
- Q I think you told me you've treated
- between 10 and 20 patients maybe total in your
- career with mesh complications at the prior
- deposition?

- 1 A It's possible. If I said that at the
- prior deposition, that's certainly possible.
- Q As you sit here now, if I ask you how
- 4 many patients with mesh complications you've
- 5 treated for those complications, would you tell me
- 6 a different number than ten to 20?
- 7 A I think it's probably going to be on the
- 8 higher side of ten to 20, but it may be up even to
- 9 30, but it's not going to be, you know, 400, no.
- 10 Q What's your issue with Dr. Raz? You
- 11 said you don't respect him or words to that effect
- with regard to mesh removal. What is the issue
- 13 you have?
- 14 A Your, your question was slightly
- different phrased -- differently phrased than
- saying I just don't respect him for mesh removal.
- I think that there are a number of
- different issues that I have with Dr. Raz. I
- think that, first of all, when you approach a
- problem, and your main approach is to be a surgeon
- 21 and cut something out, you have this tendency to
- then repetitively go back and cut something out,
- even when there might be other alternatives that
- the patient could be treated with, and so that
- sometimes as surgeons we are a little overly

- 1 zealous about when we decide to operate rather
- than deciding to not operate.
- I think that there are other ways to
- 4 approach some of the mesh complications that would
- 5 potentially be less invasive for a patient, that
- 6 he doesn't really bring into play. If the mesh is
- 7 there, he cuts it out. I think that that can
- 8 sometimes create problems that -- additional
- 9 problems, shall we say, that may have been avoided
- 10 had they not done that.
- In addition, you know, Dr. Raz on one
- side of the equation is talking about the
- 13 horrendous aspects of mesh and the problems and
- 14 it's the worst thing in the world to put in the
- vagina, despite the fact that he has put that in
- the vagina in a fair number of women over the
- years of his career, even within, you know, a
- couple of years prior to the time that Pam Wicker
- 19 had her procedure.
- He typically doesn't report his
- complications or problems with it, so it becomes
- very difficult to then know whether the
- complications are mesh-dependent, the
- 24 complications that are surgeon-dependent, et
- cetera. I think that -- well, I think I'll stop

- 1 at that point.
- I just think that, you know, sometimes,
- 3 similar to Miklos' group, I've seen patients where
- 4 it would have been much better had the aggressive
- 5 surgeon not chosen to operate on the patient, and
- 6 they left the patient in worse shape than if they
- 7 had not operated at all.
- 8 Q There's a difference of opinion among
- 9 certain surgeons out there about whether or not
- it's -- one should remove mesh when a woman is
- 11 suffering from complications that are mesh-related
- 12 as opposed to trying conservative therapy?
- 13 There's a difference of opinion; correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And ultimately it comes down to the
- judgment of the surgeon who is treating the
- patient to determine what's the best course to
- 18 recommend; right?
- 19 A With their own particular bias that they
- 20 have, yes.
- Q Well, every doctor has their own biases
- and experience that enters into their judgment?
- 23 Everybody has that; right?
- A Correct, but again, if you are only
- focused on offering one form of therapy for a

```
1
    patient, you're going to offer that form of
 2
    therapy.
 3
          Q
               Are you under the impression that
 4
    Dr. Raz recommends surgery to every woman that
 5
    goes to him to consult with mesh complications?
 6
         Α
               No.
 7
               So, and in fact, you know Dr. Raz
    doesn't offer surgery to every woman who comes to
 8
 9
    him with complications. Some of them he
10
    recommends conservative treatment; right?
11
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
12
                    THE WITNESS: I understand that
13
          that is the case, yes.
14
    BY MR. SLATER:
15
               In this case --
          0
16
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Two minutes left
17
          on this tape, counsel.
18
                    MR. SLATER: Why don't you change
19
          it then.
20
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                        Thank you very
21
                 At 2:43 off record, ending disc number
         much.
22
          2 in our continuing deposition of
23
         Dr. Horbach.
24
                    (Whereupon, a short recess was
25
                    taken.)
```

```
1
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: At 2:52 on
 2.
          record, now 2:53, beginning disc 3 in our
 3
          continuing deposition of Dr. Horbach.
 4
    BY MR. SLATER:
 5
               Dr. Horbach, looking again at the
 6
    July 9, 2009 operative report, Dr. Raz talks about
 7
    the procedure, and about four lines down in that
 8
    section he starts by saying, "We felt immediately
 9
    the induration and the infiltration of the mesh in
10
    the superficial epithelial layer of the vagina.
11
    All the spotty white areas are actually
12
    intraepithelial infiltration of mesh."
13
               Do you see that?
14
         Α
               Yes.
15
               So he's describing that he clinically
16
    found during the operation that the mesh was
17
    eroding through the vaginal wall?
18
          Α
               I assume that that's what he's stating.
19
    I mean he took pictures showing these little white
20
    spots, and I assume that that's what he's
21
    referring to were these white spots with
22
    infiltration of mesh.
23
          0
               You're not denying that that's what that
24
    is, are you?
25
          Α
               No.
```

- 1 Q He points out in his operative report,
- <sup>2</sup> "The mesh was dissected sharply from the vaginal
- wall, extended laterally toward the obturator
- 4 fascia."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So when he was operating, he's actually
- 8 dissecting into the obturator area on both sides
- 9 ultimately; correct?
- 10 A Not necessarily into. He's dissecting
- 11 towards it.
- 12 Q Okay.
- Dr. Raz dissected towards the obturator
- 14 area on both sides; correct?
- 15 A I don't know that he did both sides,
- because he doesn't necessarily say bilaterally,
- but it says that at least one side he did.
- 18 Q Let me also ask you one question, just
- 19 going back.
- The arms of the anterior Prolift, would
- they have extended into the vicinity of the
- levator ani?
- 23 A It depends on how they were placed.
- Theoretically, if you're talking about the
- pubococcygeus muscle, the posterior -- I mean the

- 1 superior arms of the anterior Prolift should more
- 2 be a little more laterally to the pubococcygeus.
- 3 In the distal arms of the anterior Prolift, those
- 4 may come through part of the insertion of the
- 5 pubococcygeus on pubic symphysis.
- 6 Q So in the operations now performed by
- 7 Dr. Bercik and Dr. Raz, they're operating at least
- 8 in the area of the obturator and the levator ani;
- 9 correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Well, actually, if
- he's, if he's dissecting primarily in the
- anterior wall, he's not going to be out to
- the levators. If he's dissecting the distal
- meshes towards the obturator fascia, he may
- or may not gone -- may or may not have gone
- actually to the insertion of the levators
- there.
- So I mean he's going towards that
- area, yes.
- 21 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q -- talking about distal, you're talking
- about the arms; correct?
- 24 A Yes, distal arms.
- Q So Dr. Bercik we know is operating out

- 1 into the distal region when he was operating to
- treat the contractures of the arms in February?
- 3 A I'm -- I think for some reason I, my --
- 4 the question is whether he was doing the distal or
- 5 he was doing -- one would assume if it's
- 6 3 centimeters above the hymen, he would be doing
- 7 primarily the distal. So that means he would have
- 8 cut those, and Raz is talking about dissecting out
- 9 laterally toward the obturator fascia, but it's
- 10 not really clear if he's talking about arms, if
- 11 he's talking about the proximal distal ones or
- just the edge of the mesh itself.
- Q Would you agree with me that the
- surgeries performed by Dr. Bercik in February '09,
- Dr. Raz in July of 2009, could contribute to
- causing pelvic floor myalgia in the obturator and
- 17 levator ani regions?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Dissection in those
- regions can contribute to levator myalgia,
- obturator internus myalgia, yes.
- 22 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q To the extent that you found or opined
- that Pam Wicker has or has had pelvic floor
- myalgia, would you agree with me that these

- dissections that Dr. Bercik and Dr. Raz did over
- the course of time are likely contributing factors
- 3 to that?
- 4 A They are a contributing. I don't think
- 5 they're the most contributing.
- 6 Q Let's go back to Dr. Raz's operative
- 7 report of July 9. He points out that "the mesh we
- 8 found covered only the distal half of the
- 9 bladder."
- What is he describing there? What does
- 11 that mean?
- 12 A That's a good question, other than I
- would assume what he's meaning is that the portion
- of the anterior wall just above the ureterovesical
- junction, usually that would probably be the
- distal portion of the area for the bladder, was
- where there was mesh, and that the proximal
- portion, sort of the upper part of the anterior
- vaginal wall, he did not identify any mesh there.
- Q -- that is a consequence of the release
- of the arms by Dr. Bercik?
- 22 A I can't necessarily say that, because
- theoretically, if Bercik released the distal arms,
- that doesn't explain why it's not found in the
- 25 proximal portion of the bladder.

- 1 Q Let me ask you this. The Prolift, when
- it's -- well, rephrase.
- The intent is for the Prolift to be
- 4 covering both the distal and proximal part of the
- 5 bladder; correct?
- 6 A Correct.
- 7 Q And for whatever reason, by July of 2009
- 8 Dr. Raz found it was not covering the proximal
- 9 half of the bladder and that this is where she had
- 10 bladder prolapse; correct?
- 11 A Correct. That's what he states in this.
- 12 Q -- reasonable degree of medical
- 13 probability --
- 14 A I'm sorry.
- 15 Q -- as to --
- 16 A You cut out.
- 17 Q That's fine.
- Do you have an opinion to a reasonable
- degree of medical probability as to why the mesh
- was no longer covering the proximal half of the
- 21 bladder at this point?
- 22 A I can give you several reasons about
- why. I don't know that I can give you which of
- those is the most likely cause.
- Q What would you say are the likely

- 1 causes?
- 2 A The -- it is possible that the proximal
- 3 edge of the anterior mesh was not adequately fixed
- 4 to the vaginal cuff. It is possible that it was
- 5 fixed to the cuff but that you can get separation
- 6 a part of the pubocervical fascia from the cuff,
- and it retracts down, and you don't have
- 8 pubocervical fascia in the upper portion of the
- 9 anterior wall, and the prolapse comes around that.
- 10 It is possible that Bercik's surgery caused
- disruption of the position of the original
- prolapse, and as a result, it wasn't covering the
- 13 upper portion of that area.
- I think those are probably the likely
- 15 causes.
- Q Whichever one or a combination of those
- causes it would be, they would all be related in
- some way to the Prolift itself; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: The Prolift itself or
- the manner in which it was placed.
- 22 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q The reprolapse -- well, rephrase.
- The prolapse of Pam Wicker's bladder --
- you didn't hear me again?

- 1 Α I was going to actually stop. 2. Going back to the last question you 3 said, of the different theories that I have given 4 for why that's the case, the not properly fixing 5 the Prolift wouldn't be the Prolift's fault. 6 Reoperating and distorting it -- I mean you're 7 reoperating because of the Prolift, but the 8 distortion isn't necessarily the Prolift's fault. 9 The pulling down of the pubocervical fascia can 10 happen with or without Prolift there. 11 So I mean there can be an association of 12 Prolift with those things, but there also can be 13 those things happening without it being something 14 wrong with the Prolift itself. 15 Does that clarify things? 16 Definitely, now that I understand. Q
- Whichever one of these causes it was,
- 18 ultimately the prolapse of Pam Wicker's bladder
- 19 after the Prolift had been put in was a
- 20 complication related to, for whatever reason, the
- 21 Prolift no longer covering the bladder as
- intended; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That is correct.

25

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Dr. Raz in his July 9 operative report
- 3 talks about "reapproximating" Pam's vagina, trying
- 4 to treat the shortening and performing a vault
- suspension procedure; correct?
- 6 A Yes, I see that.
- 7 Q Dr. Raz determined that he would attempt
- 8 to lengthen the vagina so that it would be
- 9 possible for Pam Wicker to try to have sexual
- relations; correct?
- 11 A That's what he was attempting to do.
- 12 Q And that was a reasonable surgical
- judgment to attempt to do that; correct?
- 14 A It is an option. Another judgment would
- have been not necessarily to do it at the same
- time that you're dealing with a treatment of a
- mesh problem or mesh erosion because of the
- inflammatory component that may be there, and you
- may or may not get your optimal results.
- Q When you're talking about the
- inflammatory component, you're talking about the
- inflammatory component of the Prolift mesh?
- 23 A Just the inflammatory component of the
- tissue you're dealing with that he's saying is
- indurated, et cetera, so it's a combination of

- 1 probably the tissue and the Prolift.
- 2 Part of the difficulty -- part of the
- difficulty is that when you are trying to create a
- 4 vaginal elongation after shortening, you have one
- of a couple options, and so if a vagina is
- 6 contracted and scarred as he is suggesting is the
- 7 case, then trying to lengthen that by stretching a
- 8 somewhat noncompliant tissue is very often not
- 9 going to be effective, because you're trying to
- pull and stretch something that he's saying really
- isn't stretchable, because it's restricted and
- 12 constricted, et cetera.
- 13 If you have a vagina that's nicely
- 14 compliant and has a lot of give to it, then you
- may be able to lengthen the vagina by doing the
- types of suturing he's doing without adding a new
- lining for the vagina, but if you're trying to
- lengthen the vagina in a restricted vagina, you
- often have to place something over that new
- 20 portion of the tunnel; otherwise, it just seals
- 21 back up on its own. So you have to sometimes use
- 22 a graft, whether it's an animal biologic type
- graft, like surgi-sist or like it's the patient's
- own tissues, like a skin graft.
- So he must have made the judgment that

- 1 her vagina had enough give and compliance and give
- and mobility and pliability to it that you could
- 3 take something and stretch it to double its
- 4 length, since he didn't add --
- 5 Q Dr. Raz -- I'm sorry. Dr. Raz describes
- 6 the use of Vicryl sutures.
- 7 Those are absorbable; correct?
- 8 A They are absorbable, yes.
- 9 Q He indicates about halfway down on the
- second page of this operative report, "Interrupted
- 11 sutures of 3-0 Vicryl were interlocked with
- 12 lateral suspension sutures to provide a net of
- 13 Prolene sutures to support the bladder, a total of
- 14 four sutures are applied to the central defect."
- Do you see that?
- 16 A Yes. Let me read back through that
- sentence again. I've read it before, but . . .
- Okay. Yes, I see that.
- 19 Q -- defect, he's talking about the
- location where the bladder is actually prolapsing
- down and bulging into the vagina; correct?
- 22 A It's my -- yes. From what he's
- described, he's attempting to correct the area in
- the proximal portion of the anterior wall.
- Q And he describes that he used a total of

- 1 four sutures, Vicryl and Prolene sutures that he
- <sup>2</sup> used to create this net.
- Is that your understanding?
- 4 A That is difficult to say that it was
- only -- that it was a combination of the, of four
- 6 sutures between the two things or whether it was
- four only of one or the other, based on the way
- 8 he's dictated.
- The pictures from the operation seem to
- suggest that he's either very good about being
- able to conserve sutures or get, you know, a lot
- out with four sutures, or he put more than four
- in, because it looks like I could count more than
- that coming out of the defect.
- Q So it could be that he used Vicryl and
- 16 he used four Prolene sutures as well and didn't
- count the Vicryl? That's possible?
- 18 A It's possible. That I don't know, and
- 19 the four sutures -- again, based on the pictures,
- you can use four sutures with needles, but you can
- 21 cut it in half and you can use it more than just
- four times. That seemed to be the suggestion on
- the photograph when there was the spokes of the
- 24 suture coming out.
- Q With regard to Dr. Raz's surgical skill,

- do you have a high level of respect for his skill
- 2 as a surgeon performing this type of a procedure?
- 3 A I've never operated with him.
- 4 Q By reputation, is he considered to be
- 5 highly skilled with this type of surgery?
- A He presents or he publishes fairly high
- 7 success rates with his surgeries.
- 8 Q This operation, the July 9, 2009
- 9 operation, was performed to treat complications
- that resulted at the base level from the Prolift;
- 11 correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know that you
- can say it is from the Prolift. Again, it is
- a complication of a prolapse surgery. She
- had a recurrence in that area. Whether it
- was specifically Prolift-related or not, I
- can't say.
- 19 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Well, she didn't have another procedure,
- so you can't say that it was related to a
- different procedure, because she had the Prolift
- 23 procedure; correct?
- 24 A Okay.
- Your comment -- I'm referring to Prolift

- 1 as just a mesh. If you're referring to Prolift as
- the entire procedure, then we may be using
- 3 different semantics.
- 4 Are you referring to it as the entire
- 5 procedure?
- 6 Q In this question I am, yes.
- 7 A Then from the entire procedure, yes.
- 8 From it being specifically the mesh-related,
- 9 that's the one I can't say it's absolutely due to
- 10 that.
- 11 Q And you understand, because you've
- performed the procedure and you've read the
- literature that was given to you when you were
- learning the Prolift, that there's a Prolift
- procedure, and the mesh and the instruments are
- provided by Ethicon to perform the Prolift
- 17 procedure; correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q So if you -- rephrase.
- This surgery Dr. Raz performed in July
- of 2009 was to treat complications that resulted
- following from Prolift surgery that was done, and
- then I think you explained earlier some of this
- may have also been a result of the operation
- Dr. Bercik had performed earlier in 2009 to try to

- 1 treat Prolift complications earlier.
- 2 Fair statement?
- 3 A Yes, or it could have been from
- 4 Dr. Bercik not performing the Prolift procedure in
- 5 an optimal way originally, or it could have just
- 6 been because it happens.
- 7 Q Now, the surgical pathology report we
- 8 have marked as -- it's folder 11. Let's mark it
- 9 as the next exhibit.
- 10 (Exhibit 16 was marked for
- identification.)
- 12 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Doctor, Exhibit 16 is the pathology
- 14 report following the July 9, 2009 surgery by
- 15 Dr. Raz.
- Do you have that in front of you?
- 17 A Yes, I do. Can -- just for one second I
- want to check one thing relative to my record.
- Okay. Sorry. I just thought that this
- was slightly different than the report I had
- 21 previously seen, but it's the same one.
- Q This pathology report from the July 9,
- 23 2009 surgery describes "benign fibrovascular
- tissue with foreign body giant cell reaction."
- Do you see that?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And that just means that you have the
- mesh, there's fibrovascular tissue, which is scar
- 4 tissue; correct?
- 5 A Well, scar tissue can be fibrovascular,
- 6 but fibrovascular tissue can also be not scar
- <sup>7</sup> tissue, so . . .
- 8 Q And there's a foreign body giant cell
- 9 reaction that's part of the foreign body reaction
- 10 to the mesh?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q So at this point now in July of 2009,
- about nine months after the Prolift was originally
- 14 put in, the pathology is showing that there is an
- ongoing chronic foreign body reaction; correct?
- 16 A It is showing that there is a foreign
- body reaction. My -- the timing of when those
- giant cells showed up, whether they were early on
- and just persisted or, you know, a more recent
- 20 event sort of implying a more chronic problem, I
- can't recall my pathology well enough to know how
- 22 to separate that.
- 23 Q You would agree with me that, based on
- the records you've seen, that there was a foreign
- body reaction to the mesh that was ongoing in July

```
1
    of 2009 when the surgery was performed; correct?
 2.
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 3
                    THE WITNESS: I would agree that
 4
          there was a foreign body reaction at the time
 5
         of the surgery, yes.
 6
                    MR. SLATER: Let's go to folder 13.
 7
                    (Exhibit 17 was marked for
 8
                    identification.)
 9
    BY MR. SLATER:
10
               Exhibit 17 is Dr. Raz's October 19, 2009
11
    operative report.
12
               Do you see that?
13
               Yes. Let me just sort of go -- yes, I
         Α
14
    do see it.
15
               And at this point Dr. Raz documents his
    preoperative diagnosis, "vaginal pain and vaginal
16
17
    erosion post prior mesh excision and vaginal
18
    reconstruction."
19
               Do you see that?
20
         Α
               Yes.
21
               And you would agree that's a reasonable
22
    preoperative diagnosis here at this point?
23
         Α
               I'm trying to recall the issue of her
24
    pain at that time. I mean he's saying that he has
25
    an erosion, so I would assume that that's the
```

- 1 case. Hang on.
- In my notes from his office visit of
- 3 September, just before this, she was reporting
- 4 dyspareunia, not a consistent vaginal pain but a
- 5 dyspareunia, and so the rest of it would be
- 6 correct.
- 7 Q Dyspareunia would be pain in the vagina
- 8 during sexual intercourse; correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Dr. Raz in his operative report -- I'm
- 11 not going to go through it in detail, talks about
- removing granulating tissue and what he believed
- to be eroding mesh; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That's what it says
- here.
- 17 BY MR. SLATER:
- 18 Q You would agree that this is treatment
- to treat a complication from a Prolift; correct?
- 20 A Based on his description, I can't say
- that that's indeed the case. He -- well, he
- describes dealing with an area where there is
- 23 granulating tissue that's more in the proximal
- region where he was putting some of his sutures.
- He does describe seeing a small

- 1 ulceration of mesh, although it wasn't sent to
- 2 pathology to be able to differentiate that that
- was indeed the case. So it's hard for me to know
- 4 whether that granulating tissue is from the suture
- 5 that he placed or from the previous placement of
- 6 the Prolift.
- 7 Q Dr. Raz actually describes three
- 8 different areas that he removed tissue and
- 9 material from.
- Do you see that?
- 11 A Let me go through it for three.
- 12 Q You'll see first he talks about the
- 13 posterior --
- 14 A Correct.
- Q -- vaginal wall, where he found
- 16 granulating tissue leading to a small
- ulcerating -- ulceration of mesh.
- Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- Q So that's, that's a mesh erosion;
- 21 correct?
- A Again, one would -- the location isn't
- where mesh would be at this point, so it's where a
- suture would be, so it's a little conflicting, and
- we don't have a pathology report to know if that

- 1 area that was removed was a reaction to mesh or a
- <sup>2</sup> reaction to suture.
- Q Dr. Raz calls it a "small ulceration of
- 4 mesh." That's what he described it as; right?
- 5 A That's what he calls it as, yes.
- 6 Q Then just below that a few lines, it
- 7 says, "In the right cuff of the vagina there is a
- 8 one-millimeter area of minimal granulation
- 9 suspicious of the beginning of a vaginal erosion,"
- 10 and he excised that area?
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 O Correct?
- A = Mm hmm.
- O And then below he removed what he
- described as "an area of mesh that appeared a
- 16 combination of mesh and sutures in the anterior
- vaginal wall"; correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q At least in part this operation was to
- treat complications related to the Prolift being
- in Mrs. Wicker's body; correct?
- 22 A It was to treat -- it's difficult for me
- to say specifically. In the last area that he
- removed, it doesn't say that they were
- specifically erosions or whether he chose to

- 1 remove it. In the, in the second area that he
- removed, he removed it because there was
- 3 granulation tissue and suspicion, although he
- 4 doesn't say what material.
- In the first case, he says that he has
- 6 granulation tissue with this small ulceration of
- 7 mesh but in a place where mesh isn't supposed to
- 8 be, based on what he's done in the previous
- 9 surgery. So it's hard for me to say it's
- 10 specifically that mesh was causing this at this
- 11 particular time.
- 12 Q Two questions about that.
- First of all, even if the doctor tries
- 14 to remove the mesh in a certain area, there's no
- way for the doctor to be sure that they've gotten
- all the mesh out of that particular area; right?
- 17 A It depends on where the area is.
- 18 Q Let's talk about --
- 19 A Sorry.
- In the arms I would agree that it is
- difficult, because it's down a tunnel, and you may
- have more difficulty being able to know that
- you've removed it.
- In the anterior/posterior vaginal wall,
- usually, most commonly, you're pretty sure whether

```
or not you've gotten the fibers and the mesh
 1
 2
    removed.
               I mean you can see that from his
    photographs that he took during surgery.
 4
               You can think that -- well, rephrase.
 5
               You can do your best to remove the mesh,
    you can visualize an area and think you've gotten
 6
 7
    the mesh, and it's common for more mesh to appear
 8
    in an area.
                  That happens all the time, doesn't
 9
    it?
10
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
11
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  Not typically in an
12
          area of the anterior wall. Yes, in the more,
13
          you know, tunnel areas, possible, but usually
14
          if you've removed what you perceive as all
15
          the mesh material in that area, and you are
16
          as competent of a surgeon as Dr. Raz is put
17
          forward as, one would expect that you know
18
         whether you've excised all the mesh or not in
19
          that area.
20
                    And to get a --
21
    BY MR. SLATER:
22
               Are you familiar with --
          0
23
               I mean to get granulation tissue of a
24
    centimeter and a half by, by half a centimeter, I
25
    mean that's not a small area of granulation
```

```
1
             You're not going to have that kind of
 2
    granulation tissue caused by a couple mesh fibers.
 3
         Q
               According to Dr. Raz, he visualized a
 4
    small ulceration of mesh in the posterior -- what
 5
    he described actually as the posterior part of the
 6
    anterior part of the vaginal wall; correct?
 7
    That's what he described in his deposition; right?
 8
         Α
               That's what he's describing, yes.
 9
               The sutures that are now in Pam Wicker's
          0
10
    vagina as of this time are sutures that were put
11
    in following surgeries -- were as part of
12
    surgeries that were performed to treat
    complications that followed from the Prolift
13
14
    surgery; correct?
15
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
16
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I'm just trying to
17
          follow your sequence. The sutures, the
18
         permanent sutures he placed were an attempt
19
          to treat a complication/recurrence from the
20
          Prolift surgery, yes.
21
                    MR. SLATER: Let's go the folder
22
          15.
23
                    (Exhibit 18 was marked for
24
                    identification.)
25
                    THE WITNESS: Can you give me just
```

- a second so I can catch up with my notes?

  BY MR. SLATER:
  - Q No problem. We're making good time now.
  - 4 A Okay.
  - 5 Q Exhibit 18 is the May 10, 2010 operative
  - 6 report.
  - You have that in front of you; right?
  - 8 A Yes, I do.
  - 9 Q At this point the preoperative diagnosis
- is "vaginal mesh erosion, post complications of
- 11 mesh reconstruction of the vaginal wall." That's
- number one, and number two is "cystocele."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q That's a reasonable preoperative
- diagnosis for Pam Wicker at that point; correct?
- 17 A The first part is. I'm trying to look
- 18 for my notes about whether he had evidence of a
- 19 recurrent cystocele after his attempts at placing
- the Prolene netting. I'd have to look back at his
- office notes, which I don't have right this
- minute, to know whether the cystocele comment is a
- correct one as well, but the first one is correct.
- Q Let's look at the Indications section.
- Bear with me for one second.

```
1
               Rephrase.
 2.
               In the Indications section, it appears
    that Dr. Raz actually combines the indication and
 3
 4
    the procedure note.
 5
               Do you see that?
 6
         Α
               Yes.
 7
               He starts off and says that "Mrs. Wicker
 8
    is a patient suffering from complications of prior
 9
    mesh surgery."
10
               You would agree with that statement;
11
    correct?
12
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
13
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes.
14
    BY MR. SLATER:
15
               Dr. Raz points out, "This time she
16
    presented with erosion of mesh that extends from
17
    the obturator area in the right, to the left, with
18
    two areas of erosion in the vaginal wall laterally
19
    of an extent of 1.5 centimeters in each side."
20
               See where I'm reading from?
21
         Α
               Yes.
22
               Would those erosions be erosions of mesh
          0
23
    from the area where the arms would be?
24
          Α
               Most likely, yes.
25
          Q
               And that's in the area of the obturator,
```

- as he described? 1 2. Α He doesn't really specify whether he's 3 distal or proximal, but yes, he could be in -- in either place he could be near the obturator. 4 5 Dr. Raz described the removal of the Q eroded mesh. He describes the incisions he 6 7 performed; correct? 8 Α Yes. Hang on two seconds here. 9 10 Based on where he's placed the 11 incisions, it looks as if it's probably the distal 12 arms. 13 Okay. 0 14 Okay. Α 15 You would agree with me that the surgery 0 to treat the -- well, rephrase. 16 17 You would agree that the eroding mesh described here in this operative report is a 18 19 complication from the Prolift; correct? 20 It is the Prolift mesh, yes. Α 21 And the surgery to remove the eroding Q 22 mesh is a reasonable surgical judgment to remove
- 24 A Yes.

23

eroding mesh; correct?

Q After Dr. Raz removes the eroding mesh,

- 1 he then talked about reconstructing the vaginal
- wall and urethra with Vicryl sutures.
- Do you see that?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And again, that was a reasonable
- 6 surgical step to take after dissecting the mesh
- 7 out?
- A Again, it would depend upon the anatomy.
- 9 He doesn't describe an anatomic problem that he's
- 10 plicating, but assuming there is an anatomic
- 11 problem, you can certainly plicate it.
- 12 Q Do you have any reason to believe that
- the reconstruction vaginal wall and urethra was
- 14 not necessary at this point, anything in the
- 15 records or documents?
- A Again, I'd have to pull his pre-, his
- exam previous to this to see what the anatomy
- shows per se to see if there was any potential
- 19 relaxation in that area.
- Do you have the -- do you have that?
- MR. COMBS: No.
- THE WITNESS: Hang on. Let me see
- if I have it in my notes. They're
- unfortunately not quite in order.
- I'm just having a little bit of

```
1
         difficulty locating the physical exam that
 2.
         was done prior to that. It's hard to tell,
 3
         because this one that I have indicates it
 4
          was -- the date stamp on the top is actually
 5
          three days after the surgery, so it's hard to
 6
         know if that was the pre-op one, just
 7
         post-dated.
 8
                    But anyway, if he found an area of
 9
          relaxation there, it would be appropriate to
10
         plicate it.
11
    BY MR. SLATER:
12
               According to the operative report,
         0
13
    there's a post-operative diagnosis confirming
14
    there was a cystocele grade 2 to 3, so presumably
15
    Dr. Raz confirmed that during the surgery?
16
         Α
               Well, the hard part is during the
17
    surgery you really can't confirm that so much,
18
    because once the patient is under relaxation,
19
    everybody looks like they have a cystocele. So he
20
    would have hopefully confirmed that in a
21
    preoperative exam with the patient awake.
22
          0
               Okay.
23
               This surgery ultimately was performed to
    treat complications that resulted from the Prolift
24
25
    being in her body; correct?
```

```
1
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 2.
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   Yes.
 3
                    MR. SLATER: Let's go to folder 17.
 4
                    (Exhibit 19 was marked for
 5
                    identification.)
 6
                    MR. SLATER: We don't even have to
 7
          use this.
                    Let's skip to the next one, the
 8
          one in folder 18.
                    (Exhibit 20 was marked for
 9
10
                    identification.)
11
    BY MR. SLATER:
12
          0
               This is the next operative report.
13
          Α
               Wish they would keep their format the
    same so I wouldn't keep getting confused with
14
15
    finding where the dates are.
16
               Now, looking at Exhibit 20, that's the
17
    August 16, 2012 operative report for Dr. Raz?
18
         Α
               Correct.
19
               His preoperative diagnosis is "mesh
20
    exposure, status post multiple surgeries for
21
    complications of mesh."
22
               That's a reasonable preoperative
23
    diagnosis at this point; correct?
24
               Given that he's saying he found a
          Α
25
    granulating area, yes.
```

- 1 Q Dr. Raz actually in his indications
- 2 confirms that he found an area of "granulating
- 3 ulceration, maybe 2 or 3 millimeters in size, in
- 4 the distal left vaginal wall, " and then in the
- 5 description of the operation, he confirmed that he
- found that and actually removes that mesh?
- 7 A Let me just make sure.
- 8 Yes.
- 9 Q This surgery was done to treat a Prolift
- 10 complication involving eroding mesh; correct?
- 11 A Yes. I mean assuming that this is mesh
- that he was removing, then -- ulceration with
- mesh, then yes, it was from the mesh that was put
- in for the Prolift.
- Q I want to change gears a little bit and
- 16 ask you a few questions about Mrs. Wicker's
- medical, overall medical conditions, general
- 18 medical conditions.
- Mrs. Wicker had breast implants and then
- later had the silicone breast implants removed and
- 21 had saline implants put in.
- You're aware of that from her history;
- 23 right?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q That is of no significance to the

```
injuries and damages claimed in this case;
 1
 2
    correct?
 3
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 4
                    THE WITNESS: Her breast implant
 5
          and removal didn't cause her to have a
 6
          problem with the Prolift surgery, correct.
 7
    BY MR. SLATER:
 8
               In 1995, Pam Wicker had a Bartholin's --
 9
    and for our court reporter, that's
10
    B-A-R-T-H-O-L-I-N, apostrophe, S -- cyst.
11
               That's of no significance to you in
12
    forming your opinions; correct?
13
         Α
               Correct.
14
               In 1999 Mrs. Wicker underwent shoulder
          0
15
    surgery to remove a bone spur.
16
               That's of no significance to you in
17
    forming your opinions; correct?
18
               Now we start getting into some of the,
         Α
19
    you know, orthopedic issues and body mechanic
20
    issues, per se. I'm not sure that I can say that
21
    specific procedure was involved in this situation,
22
    but it's an indication of some component of --
23
    bony abnormalities I quess is the best way I can
24
    say it.
25
          Q
               -- the removal of a bone spur in 1999;
```

- do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of
- 2 medical probability that the existence of the bone
- 3 spur or the surgery to remove it is connected in
- 4 some way to the injuries that we're claiming in
- 5 this case?
- 6 MR. COMBS: Adam, you cut out at
- 7 the beginning of the sentence.
- MR. SLATER: I'll start again.
- 9 BY MR. SLATER:
- 10 Q Mrs. Wicker having a bone spur in her
- 11 shoulder in 1999 and having that surgically
- removed, are you saying to a reasonable degree of
- medical probability, that had some connection to
- 14 her pelvic and vaginal issues since the Prolift
- was put in her body?
- 16 A Not in the way that -- I would answer no
- to the question the way you phrased it.
- 18 Q In February 2008, Mrs. Wicker underwent
- 19 a cervical discectomy with fusion.
- Do you have an opinion to a reasonable
- degree of medical probability that that has some
- 22 causative connection to the conditions in
- Mrs. Wicker's pelvis and vagina since the Prolift
- 24 surgery?
- 25 A I think that that -- the hard part with

- 1 this particular surgery is it certainly could play
- 2 a role in part of the complex. It really depends
- 3 to some extent, going back to that, was there any
- 4 pelvic floor problems in her muscles at the time
- of her original surgery or was there not, the
- 6 timing of her surgery with the neck issues. She
- 7 then went through a three-month period of time of
- 8 postoperative recuperation. She had the ability
- 9 to do some level of exercise during that issue but
- 10 really wasn't doing her full level of exercise.
- She was released to do that full level
- of exercise about six, maybe eight weeks prior to
- her presenting with her initial prolapse symptoms.
- 14 If you then say that the prolapse symptoms are in
- part, the pain issues, et cetera, may have some
- 16 role with her pelvic muscles. It certainly is a
- 17 transition that we see where a -- some type of
- intervention procedurally that affects the body
- 19 mechanics.
- She's not working -- she's not really
- working out at the same level, and she then begins
- to -- again, it's sort of that last camel or straw
- that broke the camel's back, and it creates the
- 24 problems or starts the process of problems in the
- 25 pelvic floor.

- So that is a conceivable portion of what
- was going on. It really depends upon whether or
- not, you know, there was the muscular activity
- 4 going on at the time of the original surgery or
- 5 not. If there was not any muscular activity, then
- 6 I would say that surgery isn't necessarily
- 7 related. If there was some muscular activity,
- 8 then certainly there could be a component that
- 9 this starts the process.
- 10 Q -- confirmed for me earlier in the
- deposition you're not drawing the opinion that
- there was any pelvic muscle issues before the
- 13 first Prolift surgery; correct?
- 14 A Again, we have limited information about
- that, but the assumption again is that there
- wasn't that there, but, you know, I don't -- we
- $^{17}$  can't go back and check that, so . . .
- 18 Q Based on what you know and what you have
- available to you now, you're not drawing an
- opinion to a reasonable degree of medical
- 21 probability that Mrs. Wicker had any pelvic floor
- muscular issues before the Prolift was put in;
- 23 correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I suspect she

```
1
         may have. I can't prove to within a
 2.
         reasonable degree of medical certainty.
 3
    BY MR. SLATER:
 4
               And based on that, the neck surgery in
 5
    February of 2008 would not be of any significance
 6
    with regard to her pelvic and vaginal issues after
 7
    the Prolift; correct?
 8
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 9
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I can't say that,
10
         because, you know, this lady has, is sort of
11
         like -- I hate to say it. She's an
12
         orthopedic nightmare in terms of multiple
13
         different aspects of her body mechanics and
14
         skeletal system that are affected, and if you
15
         have one area that's affected and you begin
16
         to change position, posture, walking, gait,
17
         then you're going to potentially have this
18
         domino effect.
19
                    This particular one with the neck
20
         surgery, I can't say for a specific degree of
21
         medical certainty. I think that the other
22
         components of her orthopedic history
23
         subsequent to that certainly could play a
         role in her persistence of symptoms.
24
25
```

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- 2 Q Talking just about the neck, you're not
- drawing an opinion to a reasonable degree of
- 4 medical probability that her neck surgery in
- 5 February 2008 is a cause of her pelvic and vaginal
- 6 condition after the Prolift; correct?
- A Again, the way that's stated
- 8 specifically, I would say no.
- 9 Q Now, let's talk -- and I think we'll
- 10 probably talk for a few minutes about this.
- 11 Mrs. Wicker -- new question. Mrs. Wicker had hip
- replacement surgery August 25, 2010; correct?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Mrs. Wicker had been complaining of hip
- issues and hip pain since about 2004; right?
- 16 A Off and on, and although it's a little
- more consistently stated, within the two years
- 18 prior to the replacement.
- 19 Q In 2007 Pam Wicker stopped running
- because of the pain in her hip; correct?
- A At some point she did -- she didn't stop
- 22 running. She reduced her running, and I'm just
- trying to remember when it was.
- I think she, she had told me that around
- the 2008 time period was she had cut back from her

- 1 12 to 15 miles per week, but she did not tell me
- that she wasn't running, because I have here that
- 3 before the Prolift surgery she's talking about
- 4 kick boxing, running, weights, spinning, Pilates,
- 5 all of those things before the 2008 surgery.
- 6 Q On page 12 of your report you point out
- 7 that in September 2007 she presented to one of her
- 8 doctors with a six-month history of right hip
- 9 achiness and stiffness and reduced range of motion
- in her cervical spine, and because of her hip
- pain, she had eliminated running from her exercise
- 12 routine.
- Does that refresh your memory that based
- on your review of the records, Pam stopped running
- in September of 2007?
- 16 A It tells me that she wasn't running at
- that point in 2007, but according to what she told
- me when we were talking, she said -- I asked her
- what work she was doing before the Prolift
- surgery, and that's what she had told me. She had
- reduced her running from the long-distance
- portion, but she stated she was still doing
- 23 running.
- So at this point in 2007, she may have
- not been running for that period of time. Whether

- 1 she began running again to a more limited
- standpoint, that seems to be what her comments to
- 3 me would imply.
- 4 Q From 2004 forward, Pam Wicker reported
- 5 painful osteoarthritis in her hip to the point
- 6 that it altered her exercise regimen; correct?
- 7 A From two thousand -- you said what time
- 8 period? 2004 on? Hang on.
- 9 Q You say it on page 11, "In 2004 she was
- 10 noted to have complaints of right hip pain." So
- 11 I'm using that as -- at least as of that time she
- 12 had hip pain?
- 13 A Yes, but she also has had that hip pain
- come and go at different periods of time, whereas
- in the beginning, she then -- as she became closer
- to the two years prior to the replacement, the hip
- pain had become more of a problem to the point
- where it was altering her gait.
- 19 Q According to your report, by January of
- 20 2008 a doctor had recommended a hip replacement
- for her. Her condition was that severe; correct?
- 22 A Yes. Yes, I believe so.
- Q What I want to get at is this: From at
- least 2004, Pam was complaining of hip pain in the
- 25 right hip. There were evaluations that showed her

- 1 right leg was longer than her left leg.
- 2 A Correct.
- And this was for at least four years
- 4 before her Prolift; correct?
- 5 A That evaluation of the leg discrepancy,
- 6 yes.
- 7 Q And during that entire time, four years,
- 8 right up until the Prolift, there's no
- 9 indication -- except in the two weeks before she
- 10 saw Dr. Bercik when he was operating on her for
- 11 prolapse, there's no indication of any pelvic or
- vaginal pain at all; right?
- 13 A I think so. I'm just trying to recall
- 14 whether in any of her gyn stuff -- no, I think her
- gyn history prior to that point was not -- did not
- 16 include pelvic pain.
- Q Okay.
- 18 After the Prolift, Pam Wicker has
- 19 complained of pelvic pain. Whether we say it's
- 20 all the time or whether there had been a few
- 21 periods where she said that she didn't feel it as
- much, she's fairly consistently and for long
- 23 stretches of time complained of pelvic and vaginal
- pain; correct?
- 25 A Correct. Sometimes -- again, there is

1 some conflict, because there's Raz notes that say 2 she's feeling well, she feels -- you know, she's 3 doing well, she doesn't have pain, and then there 4 are other notes or her report that she is still 5 having symptoms. 6 To the extent and during the periods --7 rephrase. 8 To the extent Pam Wicker has complained 9 of pelvic and vaginal pain after the Prolift 10 surgery, you would agree with me that the Prolift 11 is one cause of that pain and to the extent that 12 you believe her hip issue or her leg length issue 13 is contributing, that would be a contributing 14 factor, they would all be combining together to 15 lead toward the pain. 16 Is that essentially your opinion? 17 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 18 I think that there, THE WITNESS: 19 that it is a combination of factors that are 20 leading to her pain. How much of her pain is 21 or is not related to the specific Prolift 22 and/or mesh is difficult for me to say, but I 23 don't think that, certainly not at the 24 present time or in the recent past, that 25 that's the primary problem.

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- 2 Q You believe the Prolift and the Prolift
- mesh is a contributing factor. You also think her
- 4 hip and her leg length issue is a contributing
- factor as well, and you're not going to tell me,
- 6 you know, this is that percent or this is that
- 7 percent. It's just they all contribute.
- 8 Is that fair?
- 9 A No, that's not what I said. I said all
- of that is correct except for this issue where you
- 11 said my comment about the Prolift is, as an
- 12 absolute, a contributing factor, and I think that
- it could be, but I'm not saying that it's an
- 14 absolute. I'm not saying yes for sure.
- Q More likely, more likely than not, to
- 16 the extent that Pam Wicker has complained of
- vaginal and pelvic pain, the Prolift, the
- 18 complications she has suffered related to the
- 19 Prolift, and the surgeries to treat those
- 20 complications are a substantial contributing
- 21 factor; correct?
- 22 A I don't agree with all of that
- 23 statement. I think that the original issues of
- the pain with the band that she originally had cut
- by Bercik in the beginning certainly was a

- 1 contributing issue for the pain, but it is 2 difficult for me to say in the more subsequent 3 episodes where she's having symptoms that it is --4 that the Prolift is involved in that. 5 Whatever hip issues and leg length 6 issues Pam Wicker had before the Prolift, they 7 caused her no pelvic pain and no vaginal pain, 8 according to the records; correct? 9 That's correct. Α 10 It was only after Pam Wicker had a 11 Prolift put in her body, suffered complications 12 connected to the Prolift, had one operation by 13 Dr. Bercik to remove mesh, four operations in the 14 operating room by Dr. Raz to remove mesh; it 15 wasn't until all of the issues with the Prolift 16 manifested that she began to complain of pelvic 17 and vaginal pain; correct? 18 MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: There is a temporal
- 20 relationship, but you cannot say there's a
- 21 causal relationship.
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry. Ι
- 23 have to change the tape. At 3:53 off record.
- 24 (Whereupon, a short recess was
- 25 taken.)

```
1
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: At 4:03 p.m. on
 2.
          record.
 3
    BY MR. SLATER:
 4
               If we look at the temporal -- I'm going
 5
    to rephrase the question.
 6
               Doctor, if we look at the temporal onset
 7
    of pelvic and vaginal pain, it followed
 8
    immediately after the Prolift was put in Pam
 9
    Wicker's body; correct?
10
                    MR. COMBS:
                                Object to form.
11
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   Correct.
12
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
               By the same token, the hip pain and the
14
    leg length difference had existed for at least
15
    four years before the Prolift surgery, with no
16
    complaints of pelvic or vaginal pain; correct?
17
         Α
               Correct.
18
               Can you point me to any of the articles
    listed on either of your list of materials that
19
20
    we've gone through, any article that supports a
21
    causative link between a hip issue or a leg length
22
    discrepancy and pelvic and vaginal pain?
23
               In the -- there's not a lot in the
         Α
24
    literature regarding this, because it, it's more
25
    of a physical therapy/physiatry type of thing, and
```

- 1 it's just -- you know, the crossover with gyn is
- 2 not necessarily as good as we'd like, but even if
- you look at ACOG's chronic pain practice bulletin,
- 4 there is a component or a section that talks about
- faulty posture issues, you know, being seen and
- 6 being an issue in up to, you know, 75 percent of
- 7 patients who have, you know, chronic pelvic pain
- 8 issues.
- 9 Q That's only one of the items on your
- 10 list of literature that you would say supports
- 11 that opinion?
- 12 A On the -- I mean I, I have additional
- 13 literature that would support it. It's not per
- 14 se -- I mean there's a whole book on pelvic pain
- that talks about these whole issues and
- everything. I did not cite it as a specific
- 17 literature, because it's the whole darn book that
- talks about it, but it does raise all these issues
- of body mechanics, gait ambulation issues.
- It talks about, you know, pelvic floor
- 21 muscle dysfunction, it talks about dyspareunia and
- stuff, and all of that related to these whole
- 23 components. And I will give you -- it's called
- "Pelvic Pain," and I don't remember who the author
- is, but I mean it's a huge book just on this.

- 1 It's not something that's easily seen in the
- 2 literature per se.
- There are some other references. I just
- 4 can't tell them right off the top of my head.
- 5 Q My question is this: On the actual
- 6 lists of literature that you have served on me,
- other than the ACOG practice bulletin dated
- 8 March 2004, none of those references you can point
- 9 to and say this supports my opinion that the hip
- and leg length issue is a cause of the pelvic and
- 11 vaginal pain Mrs. Wicker has complained of;
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Probably not on this list.
- 14 Q Okay.
- Now, do you have that ACOG practice
- bulletin there in the room with you?
- 17 A Yes, I believe so.
- Q We should pull it out for a minute.
- 19 We'll ask a couple questions about it. I found
- this to be interesting reading.
- 21 A I thought I had it with me, but I seem
- to have put it someplace. I've got too many
- 23 stacks.
- All right. Let's just look at it.
- Okay.

```
1
               Do you have it there?
          Q
 2.
          Α
               Yes.
 3
          0
               Okay, and just for the record, this is
 4
    ACOG practice bulletin number 51, March of 2004.
               Yes.
 5
          Α
 6
          0
               Correct?
 7
               Yes, reaffirmed in 2010.
          Α
 8
               This is titled "Chronic Pelvic Pain";
          0
 9
    right?
10
          Α
               Yes.
11
          0
               And the reason why you're referring to
12
    this in this case is because you believe Pam
13
    Wicker to have, overall, a reasonable diagnosis
14
    for her chronic pelvic pain; correct?
15
          Α
               Yeah, I think that that's probably a
16
    reasonable diagnosis for her.
17
               Now, if you look at the third page,
          0
18
    which is page 79, there's a table that lists
19
     "non-gynecologic conditions that may cause or
20
    exacerbate chronic pelvic pain by level of
21
    evidence."
22
               Do you see that?
23
          Α
               Yes.
24
               Level A evidence would be the highest
25
    level, meaning the most valid?
```

- 1 A That's based on studies that have been
- 2 reported, yes, studies that have been done and
- reported on that, yeah. I guess yes. I'll just
- 4 say that yes, Level A is considered to be better
- 5 quality studies.
- 6 Q And in essence, in terms of the evidence
- 7 supporting inclusion of these different conditions
- 8 as potential causes or exacerbating factors for
- 9 chronic pelvic pain, the level of evidence --
- 10 Level A is the highest, Level B is the next, and C
- is the lowest level of quality of evidence;
- 12 correct?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q Now, there's a musculoskeletal column,
- and under the Level A evidence it lists "pelvic
- 16 floor myalgia, levator ani or piriformis
- 17 syndrome."
- Do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q In Mrs. Wicker's case, the first time
- that she had indications of or diagnosis of pelvic
- floor myalgia was after the Prolift was put in her
- 23 body; correct?
- 24 A That's the first time she had a clinical
- 25 diagnosis of that, yep.

```
1
               The surgeries that she has had performed
 2
    to treat her complications from the Prolift, as
 3
    well as the inflammatory reaction of her body to
 4
    that mesh in her body could cause pelvic floor
 5
    myalqia; correct?
 6
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 7
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  The surgeries
         could -- the issue of the inflammatory
 8
 9
         reaction, I really think it depends upon, you
10
         know, how much mesh you're talking about.
11
         You're talking about a 2- or 3-millimeter
12
         mesh erosion, I don't think that that's going
13
         to be something that's going to be causing a
14
         pelvic floor myalqia from an inflammatory
15
         reaction that's a 2- or 3-millimeter area.
16
    BY MR. SLATER:
17
         0
               The distal arms, for example, of the
18
    anterior Prolift system were not completely
19
    removed from Pam Wicker's body and were in there
20
    for years. They could certainly have incited a
    continuing chronic foreign body reaction that
21
22
    could cause myalgia; correct?
23
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
24
                    THE WITNESS: It could.
25
```

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- 2 Q Under the musculoskeletal column, under
- 3 Level C, the lowest level of evidence, it says
- 4 "degenerative joint disease."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A I see that.
- 7 Q It's not specific to any particular area
- 8 of the body, as it's stated there; right?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q That could, for example, be a reference
- 11 to degenerative joint disease within the pelvis
- 12 itself; right?
- 13 A You don't -- well, you can get it in the
- 14 hips. You don't get it within the pelvic bone
- 15 itself.
- 16 Q -- get, for example, degeneration of the
- sacroiliac joint; right?
- 18 A You don't usually get degeneration so
- 19 much. You get some mobility of the SI joint, but
- it doesn't tend to degenerate, per se.
- Q Are you sure about that? Are you sure
- you don't get -- the degeneration of the SI joint
- is not a common orthopedic diagnosis?
- 24 A I think that it -- what I'm saying is in
- the patients that I see with these types of

1 things, it is typically more that they have some 2 hypermobility of the joint. You know, you'd have 3 to X-ray them to see whether there was any 4 degenerative changes in the joint. 5 You don't hold yourself out as an expert Q 6 with regard to degenerative joint disease, do you? 7 No. Α 8 0 Okay. 9 Nowhere on this list is there a 10 reference to hip or leg issues such as we have with Mrs. Wicker. That's not on this list, is it? 11 12 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 13 THE WITNESS: I think that's part 14 of the issue of, that is included in the 15 faulty or poor posture, that it's -- whether 16 it's not moving, whatever that would be, 17 versus whether it is ambulation, it doesn't 18 necessarily specify it, but there is clearly 19 literature showing that patients who have 20 abnormal gait can be more susceptible to some 21 of these types of pelvic floor issues. 22 I mean she's got sleep 23 disturbances. I mean she's got a number of different things that you can sort of check 24 25 off on this list as non-gynecologic causes of

- chronic pelvic pain.
- 2 BY MR. SLATER:
- 3 Q And she had all of those conditions
- 4 before she had the Prolift and didn't have any
- 5 complaints of pelvic or vaginal pain; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- 8 BY MR. SLATER:
- 9 Q As I read this, what I thought they were
- 10 ultimately saying in this bulletin is you're going
- 11 to see most patients with more than one of these
- 12 factors, and if you have a patient who has pelvic
- pain due to a gynecologic reason and they also
- 14 have non-gynecologic conditions, that just sets
- the stage to have worse pain.
- 16 Am I understanding that correctly?
- 17 A I think that the combination of two,
- 18 gynecologic as well as non-gynecologic, could lead
- 19 to more problems of pelvic pain or more difficulty
- in treating it, because even if you treat the
- 21 gynecologic issue, if the non-gynecologic issue
- still remains, the pain is going to continue to
- 23 cycle and you're going to continue to have
- 24 problems occurring.
- Q In the case of Mrs. Wicker -- I know

- we've kind of talked about it, but I want to talk
- about it in the context of this bulletin.
- In Pam Wicker's case, she has a
- 4 combination of gynecologic issues that could, in
- 5 and of themselves, cause the pelvic and vaginal
- 6 pain she has; correct?
- 7 A They could.
- 8 Q She also has certain non-gynecologic
- 9 issues, such as her hip, her leg length, you said
- 10 her sleep, and she has these issues, which you're
- 11 saying you believe are contributing to her pain;
- 12 correct?
- 13 A I think that they're -- yeah, they're a
- 14 significant contribution to the pain, the
- persistence of the pain issues.
- Q And if I understand correctly, now in
- the context of this bulletin as it's boiled down
- here, your opinion is: When you put all that
- 19 together, that's where you have Pam Wicker.
- That's what makes the picture together. When you
- 21 put her gynecologic issues related to the Prolift
- 22 and her complications and the surgeries related to
- that, you put her orthopedic issues together, you
- put all that together, and that's how you are able
- to answer why Pam Wicker has been where she is and

```
where she is.
 1
 2.
               Is that accurate?
 3
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 4
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I think that that is
 5
          accurate that there is probably a
 6
          combination, but again, if Prolift was the
 7
          major contributing factor or the mesh was the
 8
         major contributing factor, you know, you
 9
          would -- one would typically expect that
10
          you're going to have, you know, reasonable
11
          complete resolution of the problem as you
12
          take away these different types of meshes.
13
                    And yes, I know there's literature
14
          that says that even removal of the mesh may
15
          leave a few fibers here and there, but you're
16
          going to have to -- how are you going to
17
          explain the fact that when she has a mesh
18
          surgery or she has a mesh removal and even if
19
          it's a little bit of granulation tissue of a
20
          couple, you know, millimeters or something,
21
          there is a period of time afterwards, usually
22
         between about 6 to 12 weeks, where she feels
23
         better, and then she begins to have
24
          recurrence of symptoms.
25
                    So the question is:
                                          The -- if
```

1	you're talking the chronic inflammation from
2	the mesh as the only cause of this, the
3	chronic inflammation from the mesh would have
4	been ongoing even immediately
5	postoperatively, and she shouldn't really
6	have necessarily that improvement, because
7	you're saying she has still mesh there, she
8	still has chronic inflammation, and so
9	therefore she should still have the pain
10	component there.
11	So the fact that one of the common
12	denominators from the time period where she
13	has surgery until when she experiences
14	recurrence of the pain very interestingly
15	coincides with her resuming a significant
16	amount of her normal activities and her
17	exercise that, in turn, takes all of these
18	biomechanical issues that may have been given
19	an opportunity to sort of rest and not
20	continue to put demands on the area by her
21	being less active, you put her into the more
22	active situation and that begins to trigger
23	the whole process again.
24	You're making the assumption that
25	that retriggering is based on the mesh fibers
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

- creating an issue, and in the cases where she
- has recurrence of symptoms and there is such
- a minor amount of mesh involved, that just
- 4 doesn't make logical sense.
- 5 BY MR. SLATER:
- 6 Q Let me ask you a couple things, because
- <sup>7</sup> I want to go through a few things you said.
- 8 Number one -- well, let me ask it this way.
- 9 Dr. Raz explained that when you operate
- this many times on a woman's vagina, and you
- continue to dissect the vaginal tissue, you
- devascularize the tissue, because you're cutting
- blood vessels, et cetera, which weakens the
- 14 tissue.
- Do you agree with that?
- 16 A Not necessarily. If you had
- devascularized tissue, the tissue would be dead
- and necrotic and sloughing off.
- 19 Q Well, you could also devascularize it to
- the point where it's not going to be as healthy as
- it would be otherwise, but it's not necessarily
- dying due to the multiple dissections; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, and you could
- postulate a whole lot of other things that go

```
1
          into the process as well, but what I'm
 2.
          doing --
 3
    BY MR. SLATER:
 4
               So you and Dr. Raz disagree?
 5
               Yes, and what I'm also basing it on is
         Α
 6
    the experience in patients who are non-Prolift,
 7
    non-mesh patients, and the people who are like
 8
    this where there's a mesh, and you can see exactly
 9
    the same course of events even in a patient who
10
    doesn't have a mesh or mesh erosion, where there
    is this chronic exacerbation and, and improvement
11
12
    of pain issues based on the ability of her body to
13
    compensate or not compensate for the demands that
14
    are put on her.
15
               So the challenge is: If you were saying
16
    that this was all Prolift and mesh-related, then
17
    what you would have to say is the only people who
18
    develop these kind of problems, et cetera, are
19
    going to have to be those people who have had mesh
20
    and have had Prolift, but what I'm saying, in
21
    clinical practice that is not the case.
22
               In Pam Wicker's case, it's more likely
    than not that it's a combination of the Prolift
23
24
    and related issues and these orthopedic and other
25
    non-gynecologic issues?
```

```
1
               I think --
         Α
 2.
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 3
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  I think that the
 4
         non-gynecologic issues are more contributory
 5
         than the gynecologic.
    BY MR. SLATER:
 6
 7
               Is, is it your opinion that Pam Wicker
 8
    has poor posture?
 9
               They, they use the terminology of
         Α
10
    "faulty posture" to describe someone with any type
11
    of asymmetry when they're standing or when they're
12
    sitting, and yes, she has asymmetry when she
13
    stands and when she sits. Her body is not in a
14
    straight alignment.
15
              Why is that; because her legs are
16
    different lengths?
17
              No. It's because of everything that's
         Α
18
    going on. She's got it from the shoulder issues,
19
    the cervical issues. She's got it from the pelvic
20
    areas. She's got it from the -- you know, she's
21
    got some -- has had some knee issues in the past.
22
    She's had some coccyx issues in the past from her
23
    fall in 2007.
24
               So, you know, again, if you take the
```

right side of her body, almost every joint system

25

- 1 along that way has had some impact, whether it's
- the cervical spine, the shoulder, the hip, the
- 3 knee, the broken foot and the coccyx.
- So, you know, when you have all of that
- 5 primarily on, primarily on one side -- I think the
- 6 knee was the left side, but, you know, it's going
- 7 to have a cumulative effect, even if the patient
- 8 doesn't realize that they're sitting differently
- 9 or they're standing differently.
- You know, it, it happens all the time
- when we look at a patient and I say you've got --
- 12 your right shoulder is higher than your left
- shoulder even when you're trying to sit straight,
- or this hip is over that hip even when they're
- trying to stand straight. That's something we see
- quite regularly on a clinical exam, especially in
- patients with this type of underlying medical
- 18 history.
- 19 Q Pam Wicker likely has a great deal of
- scar tissue within her pelvis both as a reaction
- to mesh that was in there and the multiple
- surgeries to remove that mesh; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I can't make that
- statement. At the time that I examined her,

```
1
          she had some thickening at the top of the
 2.
          vaginal apex which is, quote, scar.
 3
          also consistent with what you see in a
 4
          post-hysterectomy patient. I was not able to
 5
         palpate any kind of scar tissue that was in
 6
          the lateral areas anteriorly, posteriorly
 7
         when I examined her.
 8
    BY MR. SLATER:
 9
               You could have scar tissue that's not
          0
10
    palpable through the vagina, right, that you
11
    couldn't reach?
12
               I, I quess it's possible, but for it to
         Α
13
    be asymptomatic would be pretty uncommon with it
14
    not having some manifestation vaginally.
15
               You're trying to say the scar tissue is
16
    bad enough that it's causing her dyspareunia,
17
    which is caused when something pushes against the
18
    vagina, but when I push against the vagina, I
19
    can't palpate the scar tissue, so how is the
20
    pressure against the vagina during intercourse
21
    going to be triggering pain from the scar tissue?
22
               I didn't say that at all.
23
         Α
               Okay.
24
               Well, you're saying that if there was
25
    deep scar tissue --
```

- Q Can we go to the next question. Let me
- 2 go to the next question. I want to try to get --
- 3 I didn't ask another question is the whole point.
- 4 It's 4:30. So let me go through a couple other
- 5 things with you.
- In June of 2012, Mrs. Wicker came home
- 7 from a vacation with a bad cough, went to a
- 8 medi-center. They, they offered to admit her, and
- 9 they said you may have a pulmonary embolism. She
- didn't want to be admitted. She signed herself
- 11 out and went home.
- Is that of any significance to you? Is
- that of any significance to you at all to a
- 14 reasonable degree of medical probability in
- explaining her vaginal or pelvic pain that's at
- 16 issue in this case?
- 17 A The cough?
- 18 Q That episode in June of 2012 when she
- had a bad cough, then went to the hospital, to the
- medi-center and didn't want to be admitted to an
- emergency room to be worked up for a pulmonary
- embolism, which it turned out she didn't have, and
- the cough went away with antibiotics.
- 24 A I think that that's probably not really
- 25 a relevant situation here.

- 1 Q Okay.
- In Pam Wicker's life she's had some
- 3 benign skin lesions removed, either by laser or
- 4 freezing of the lesions. Is that of any
- 5 significance to you to a reasonable degree of
- 6 medical probability with regard to her pelvic or
- 7 vaginal issues?
- 8 A Not -- it depends on what you mean
- 9 "issues." It's not -- there's not an association
- 10 relative to, if you're talking about her pain or
- 11 dyspareunia.
- Q Right, to the injuries she's claiming,
- that's not of any significance; right?
- 14 A Not to the pain or dyspareunia issues,
- yeah, correct.
- 16 Q Okay.
- Mrs. Wicker used Retin A for -- and
- maybe she still does. I don't remember.
- 19 That's of no significance to you with
- 20 regard to the vaginal or pelvic issues that are at
- issue here, is that, if she used Retin A?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No, and it would be
- simpler if you would just say related to
- the -- if you're going to ask that series of

- questions, related to pelvic pain/
- dyspareunia type of things. That way I don't
- have to qualify the discussion.
- 4 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Okay.
- 6 Mrs. Wicker has had injections of
- 7 Restylane, R-E-S-T-Y-L-A-N-E, Botox, and
- 8 injections of fat for cosmetic purposes.
- 9 Are, are those injections of any
- significance to a reasonable degree of medical
- 11 probability with regard to her pelvic or vaginal
- 12 issues or dyspareunia?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: No.
- 15 BY MR. SLATER:
- 16 Q In March of 2010, Pam Wicker had rotator
- 17 cuff surgery for her shoulder.
- Do you have an opinion to a reasonable
- degree of medical probability that that's somehow
- connected to her pelvic and vaginal and
- 21 dyspareunia issues?
- 22 A Yes. I think that's part of the whole
- 23 complex about the flares and the improvements
- related to when she has either change in her
- 25 physical activity level with the exercise, or she

- 1 has the manifestation of a biomechanical problem.
- 2 Q In February of 2012, around that time,
- 3 Mrs. Wicker had an issue with her ear with some
- 4 vestibular problems. She got medication for that,
- 5 and apparently it was resolved.
- Is that of any significance to you to a
- 7 reasonable degree of medical probability to her
- 8 pelvic or vaginal or dyspareunia issues?
- 9 A No. Her ear is not related. I will
- 10 tell you that.
- 11 Q Okay.
- Did you see that Pam actually went and
- did research and met with Dr. Newman in California
- 14 to explore the potential for stem cell injections
- to try to rejuvenate her vaginal tissue?
- 16 A Yes. I saw that she had met with him on
- 17 I think two occasions and that he spoke with her
- husband on the phone at one, one occasion.
- 19 Q The fact that she went and met with this
- doctor, never had the treatment, is that something
- you're relying on in any way for your opinions in
- 22 this case?
- 23 A No.
- Q You had said in your supplemental
- report -- give me a second.

- 1 You had said in the second paragraph of
- your supplemental report that you wanted to
- 3 comment on her saying that she had the need to
- 4 stand up to urinate since around 2008.
- 5 You had commented on that; right?
- 6 A Well, that was -- I'm commenting on it,
- because that is, if I understand correctly, one of
- 8 the potential issues that's related to the
- 9 proposed sequelae of her surgery.
- 10 Q Was it your point that -- it was your
- 11 point that you didn't see any records going back
- to '08 or '09 or that time period indicating that
- that had never been an issue?
- 14 A No. My point was that there are records
- stating that this issue -- there's really a lot of
- 16 conflicting records talking about this particular
- issue. Although she does report at one point
- 18 following the surgery that she's having difficulty
- with voiding and needs to stand to be able to
- void, although she is able to empty her bladder,
- that complaint is never evaluated by anyone in
- terms of its underlying etiology.
- There are other times throughout the
- record and more specifically in Dr. Raz's record
- where he reports no voiding problems. However,

- then there's other statements where Ms. Wicker is
- 2 saying this is still an issue. So there is
- 3 conflicting information regarding her potential
- 4 voiding complaints of yes, no, yes, no, yes, no,
- 5 and no evaluation at this point, so that was my --
- 6 that's this reference.
- 7 Q On page 6 of your main report about Pam
- 8 Wicker, you point out that on May 4, 2009 she had
- 9 reported that she had to stand up in order to
- void, in order to urinate; right?
- 11 A That was from my review of the records.
- 12 That was the first time that it is indicated in
- 13 the medical records.
- Q Okay, and you saw Dr. Raz's testimony in
- the transcript where he testified about this and
- explained that he thought this was due to her
- 17 recurrent prolapse, and the fact that there is
- obstruction, and he said at that point he wasn't
- 19 comfortable treating that because of the tissue
- quality and the repetitive erosions; right?
- 21 A I saw that he stated that. I disagree
- with that, and he has no data to support --
- Q I didn't ask you if you agree.
- 24 A I saw --
- Q I didn't ask you if you agree.

```
1
         Α
               Okay.
 2.
          0
               So --
 3
         Α
               I saw his statement.
 4
          Q
               Okay.
 5
               You saw that Dr. Raz testified about the
 6
    need by Pam Wicker to stand up in order to
 7
    urinate; correct?
 8
          Α
               I saw that he -- I'm trying to remember
 9
    if I read in his deposition that he said that.
                                                       Ι
10
    know in his notes he has said it, but then he's
11
    also said subsequent to his surgeries that she did
12
    not have voiding problems.
13
               Well, you understand that she's able to
14
    void; she just has to stand up to do it.
15
    understand that's what she's reporting; right?
16
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
17
                    THE WITNESS: Yes, but that's
18
          considered by a physician to be a voiding
19
          problem. It's a voiding dysfunction when
20
          you're not able to void in the normal
21
         position.
22
    BY MR. SLATER:
23
          0
               Okay. Let me ask you this very straight
24
    out.
25
               You met Pam Wicker; right?
```

1 Α Yes. 2. 0 Spent two hours with her? 3 Α Yes. 4 Did she strike you as somebody who is 5 going to make it up and say she needs to stand up 6 to urinate if she really doesn't need to? 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: I -- no, I don't 9 think that she would make it up. I'm just 10 saying --11 BY MR. SLATER: 12 So you don't -- so you don't disbelieve 13 her that she needs to stand up in order to 14 urinate; right? 15 I don't believe her statement that she 16 makes -- I mean I don't -- double negative. 17 don't not believe her statement, if that double 18 negative makes sense. 19 The, the quadruple negatives that we're 20 into now. 21 You agree that -- rephrase. You do 22 not -- rephrase. 23 You believe Pam Wicker's report that she 24 needs to stand up in order to urinate; correct? 25 Α That's what the patient states, and so I

- would believe that that's what she is
- <sup>2</sup> experiencing.
- Q Okay.
- 4 A I'm simply saying the medical record
- 5 says that she's not complaining of problems, so
- 6 that makes it a little more difficult, and since
- 7 it was never evaluated, I mean typically if a
- 8 problem is a problem enough to the patient that
- 9 she voices it or she says this is an issue, then
- you're typically going to at least clinically
- 11 evaluate it. You may not choose to treat it based
- on the risk/benefits of the treatment, but Dr. Raz
- hasn't even evaluated it to determine why this is
- 14 the issue.
- Q Dr. Raz actually testified that he did
- evaluate and that it's his opinion it's due to the
- descent of her bladder that's causing an
- obstruction that she needs to stand up in order to
- 19 release that so she can let urine flow.
- Did you see his testimony on that?
- 21 A I did, but that's totally conjecture.
- There is no medical evidence or testing evidence
- to support that in any of his record, and there
- 24 are actually physiologic -- there's physiologic
- 25 information that could actually support

- 1 alternatively. You know, to say that she has an
- obstructed urethra, you are going to need to have
- 3 some reason for that obstruction to exist.
- 4 The cystocele that she has on her MRI is
- 5 not of a sufficient degree to cause urinary
- 6 obstruction from the urethra. The subsequent
- 7 recurrent cystocele that he's talking about that
- 8 he doesn't want to treat again is in and of itself
- 9 not a sufficient anatomic abnormality to cause
- obstruction of the urethra. And he has provided
- 11 no -- sorry. You're cutting out, but he has
- 12 provided nothing in his evaluation to substantiate
- that claim, and it's just not, it's just not
- 14 anatomically consistent.
- 15 Q -- "I did."
- 16 A I'm sorry. You just cut out.
- O I said I move to strike after the first
- 18 two words of the answer which is "I did," and then
- there was a long explanation. I'm moving to
- 20 strike after that first phrase.
- Do you have an opinion, Doctor, as to
- why it is that Pam Wicker needs to stand up in
- order to begin to urinate, in order to urinate?
- 24 A I think that there can be a number of
- different reasons. I don't think it is from the

- 1 recurrence of the prolapse. From the -- I'm
- trying to remember exactly again, since my brain
- 3 is getting a little bit fuzzy at this point, when
- 4 was the first report that she said she had to
- 5 stand to urinate, whether that was pre-Raz or post
- 6 her initial Raz visit.
- 7 I'm looking here.
- It sounds like it may have been just
- 9 pre- -- yeah, it's pre-Raz, because she's saying
- it when she goes into the bladder control center
- of Norwalk.
- So pre-Raz, you know, yes, you could
- have spasm in the area, you could have
- overcorrection of urethral support from the base
- of the Prolift put in too tightly. You can
- 16 have -- those would probably be my most likely
- 17 situations.
- I mean the difference between the
- standing position and the sitting position is
- usually the sitting position is going to put a
- little more anterior pull or elevation of the
- urethra, and he talks -- Dr. Raz talks about the
- fact that she did not have a hypermobile urethra,
- so it is certainly possible that that urethra was
- perhaps over-elevated at the time of the original

- 1 surgery.
- 2 However, then subsequent to that time,
- you've also got Dr. Raz going in and putting in
- 4 his plication sutures around the urethra. We
- 5 talked about in one of the operative notes where
- 6 he was putting Vicryl sutures and supporting
- 7 underneath the urethra. We went back and forth
- 8 with that. That can certainly exacerbate some of
- 9 the voiding complaints.
- Yes, it was there beforehand. Does it
- 11 persist because of the original problems? Does it
- 12 persist because new issues come in as other things
- 13 fight? I mean I can't totally tell you that,
- 14 because nobody has evaluated this patient. Nobody
- has done, you know, a basic uroflow study on the
- patient or anything that would look for a, quote,
- 17 "obstructive" phenomenon.
- 18 Q The orthopedic and, quote-unquote,
- 19 "postural" issues that you've talked about -- I'll
- 20 start over.
- With regard to the orthopedic and
- 22 postural issues with her hip, her leg, her
- shoulder, those issues together, do I understand
- 24 correctly that you believe those issues are
- leading to pelvic floor muscle spasm, which is

- leading to the pelvic pain and dyspareunia, that
- that's, that's how they're contributing to that?
- A I think that that's a significant
- 4 component based on my examination and the areas
- 5 where she was reporting the discomfort and
- 6 tenderness.
- Now, in her most recent deposition, she
- 8 stated, made a comment about the fact that she was
- 9 experiencing more introital dyspareunia rather
- than the deep penetration dyspareunia that she has
- 11 talked about from all the previous times.
- The explanation for why she has the
- introital dyspareunia, first of all, that's not
- 14 really going to be Prolift-related, really, in any
- way I can think of, and there's not really --
- based on my clinical examination, her introitus in
- the area was totally normal. So I can't tell you
- if she's now experiencing introital versus deep
- 19 penetration dyspareunia. You know, we've got
- other factors coming into play.
- Q My question ultimately is: Pam Wicker's
- pelvic pain, her vaginal pain, you believe is
- being caused primarily by pelvic floor muscle
- 24 spasm?
- 25 A Yes. Certainly at the current time,

```
1
    yes.
 2.
               When Pam Wicker was deposed the other
 3
    day and you saw her saying that she feels pain on
 4
    penetration towards the front of the vagina and
 5
    not just the -- well, rephrase.
 6
               The testimony that you're referring to
 7
    where Pam Wicker said she feels discomfort at the
 8
    introitus, she never said she doesn't feel it on
 9
    deep penetration, did she?
10
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
11
                                  I don't remember
                    THE WITNESS:
12
         whether she specifically talked about that,
13
         but she did talk about a new or different
14
          aspect, an additional aspect in this
15
         particular deposition that was not raised
16
         previously in any of the medical records
17
          and/or at least in my evaluation.
18
    BY MR. SLATER:
19
               In fact -- tell me if I'm wrong, or if
20
    you don't know, you don't know or don't
    remember -- she wasn't asked whether she still
21
22
    feels discomfort if she attempts deep penetration.
23
         Α
               As I said, I don't remember the topic of
    deep penetration specifically being discussed in
24
25
    the most recent deposition, but I know --
```

- 1 Q Let me ask you this.
- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- A I was saying but I do know that there
- 4 was the more upon, you know, entry kind of pain.
- 5 I remember seeing that and specifically noting
- 6 that, because it was a different statement than or
- 7 a different kind of complaint than she had had
- 8 previously.
- 9 Q Is one of your opinions that Pam Wicker
- 10 should not exercise?
- 11 A I think that she needs to make some
- significant changes in how she makes demands on
- her body. I think that some of the changes that
- she has stated she's made more recently, some of
- the yoga type of situations, some of the more
- stretching type of work and perhaps less of the
- weight-bearing type of exercise, I think those are
- 18 potentially better choices for her.
- You know, people like this, one of the
- things we talk about is even swimming. It's not
- that she can't exercise. It's that doing certain
- 22 exercises places increased demand on structures,
- joints, muscles, et cetera, and so if those
- muscles, bones, joints, et cetera, are
- compromised, then you may have to adapt.

- Just like, you know, you got a bad knee,
- 2 you may stop running, but you might start -- I
- don't know -- something else, you know. It's just
- 4 there are adaptations that sometimes have to be
- 5 made, and what you choose or your form of exercise
- 6 you choose based on the wear and tear on your
- body, and that is sometimes difficult to accept,
- 8 but the tradeoff is: If you continue to do this,
- 9 you're going to continue to exacerbate that. If
- 10 you want that to go away, then you have to make a
- 11 change in this.
- 12 O Move to strike.
- When Mrs. Wicker has not been feeling
- 14 significant pain, she has been honest with her
- doctors and told them that; right?
- 16 A There are, there are comments in the
- medical record where she says she is feeling a
- 18 little bit better or -- Raz is especially saying,
- quote, "doing well," although there are other
- comments that say she's still having an issue.
- have not seen any comments in the records where
- she's come in and she's, you know, reporting that
- she's, you know, without pain, doing her normal
- 24 activities and without pain.
- Q So just coming back to my question, when

- 1 Pam Wicker has reported when she was feeling
- better and having better days and better periods
- of time, she has been honest with her doctors and
- 4 told them that; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I mean I assume that
- 5 she's being honest with her doctors
- 8 throughout the time period. So I assume that
- yes, that's the case.
- 10 BY MR. SLATER:
- 11 Q The scar at the apex of her vagina that
- 12 you found on your exam, what do you attribute that
- 13 to?
- 14 A Surgery. I mean a hysterectomy alone,
- hysterectomy alone can cause -- you're going to
- see an apical incision and you're going to see a,
- quote, apical scar. The vaginal cuff that we talk
- about, that's going to be less distensible than
- 19 the surrounding tissue.
- I think that this is probably not just
- 21 hysterectomy-oriented, because the scar, I guess
- for a better word, does end up being a little bit
- on the vertical side, not just horizontal. If you
- 24 are just after hysterectomy, you usually see it
- just a horizontal, so it's probably -- it's the

```
pelvic surgery she's had. I can't tell you which
 1
 2
    one caused it.
 3
          Q
               You're talking about the subsequent
 4
    surgeries following from the Prolift surgery?
 5
               From the hysterectomy to the Prolift
          Α
 6
    surgery to the subsequent repeat surgeries, I
 7
    can't tell you which one is causing that.
 8
               Can I go off the record for just a
 9
    second, please.
10
               Sure.
          Q
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off record at
11
12
          4:49.
13
                    (Whereupon, a short recess was
14
                    taken.)
15
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: At 4:56, on
16
          record.
17
    BY MR. SLATER:
18
               Doctor, when you did your exam, you
          Q
19
    found the vaginal length to be 6 centimeters to
20
    the left side and 6.5 centimeters to the right.
21
               That would be a shortened vaginal
22
    length; correct?
23
         Α
               Yes.
24
               You point out that the right side of the
          0
25
    vaginal apex was "more distensible."
```

- 1 What does that mean?
- 2 A That means that there was more give of
- the tissue, more pliability to the tissue so that
- 4 I could push from -- let's say the
- 5 6.5 centimeters, if I pushed on that area, it
- 6 would, it would allow the vagina to go a little
- <sup>7</sup> bit deeper, because there was more give in that
- 8 area, as opposed to the other side that had less
- 9 give of the tissue.
- Q And why do you think one side had less
- give, in this case, the left side?
- 12 A Because she has more scarring in that
- 13 side than she does on the other.
- Q So based on your opinion, you certainly
- were able to confirm that there is scarring of her
- vagina; correct?
- A Yeah, I mean this is, it's, it is
- similar to what you will see in patients who have
- 19 had any type of vaginal surgery.
- Q Well, the only type that Pam Wicker had
- 21 was Prolift surgery; right?
- 22 A I understand, but I'm saying that her
- exam at the apex of the vagina with the way the
- tissue gives a little more on one side versus
- another side is consistent with anyone who has

- 1 undergone a vaginal surgery, regardless of the
- <sup>2</sup> procedure.
- Q Well, Pam Wicker has had, if you include
- 4 the Prolift, six vaginal surgeries since
- 5 October 2008; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I believe that's how
- many I counted, yes, and you can see this
- 9 after six. You can see it after one. I
- actually was impressed that her vagina was in
- as good a shape as it was, considering the
- number of surgeries she had had.
- 13 BY MR. SLATER:
- 14 Q Let me go through this a little bit.
- Pam Wicker has vaginal scarring; correct? You
- 16 confirm that on your exam; right?
- A She has an area of a scar. She has an
- 18 area of less distensible tissue. If you want to
- use the word "scar" for that, that's fine.
- Q And that's on the left side of the
- vertical cuff scar?
- 22 A It's on -- no, it's on the left -- it's
- on the left side of the horizontal plane --
- Q Okay.
- A -- of the vaginal cuff.

- 1 Q When you applied pressure with your
- finger against the vaginal cuff, Mrs. Wicker
- 3 complained of tenderness along the scar; correct?
- 4 A I'm just looking here back at my notes
- 5 here.
- Q To the top of 17 of your report.
- 7 A Thank you. I'm just looking at my
- 8 original comments here.
- 9 It did reveal some tenderness along in
- the midline along that vertical area, not along
- the horizontal area that we just talked about.
- 12 Q You found a tenderness when you palpated
- with your finger the lateral aspects of the vagina
- over the pelvic floor muscles; correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- Q And then you say -- rephrase.
- "In the supine position, there was mild
- tenderness along the right obturator internus
- 19 muscle."
- That was another finding you made on
- 21 your exam; right?
- 22 A Correct.
- tenderness of the left mid-pubococcygeus muscle;
- 25 correct?

```
1
         Α
               Yes.
 2.
          0
               And when we're talking about these
 3
    findings in the lateral aspects over the pelvic
 4
    floor muscles and the findings in the supine
    position, you're talking about tenderness that you
 5
 6
    believe is due to pelvic floor myalqia?
 7
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 8
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  Again, I don't
 9
          typically use "myalgia" as a terminology, but
10
          tenderness due to the pelvic muscle,
11
          increased tone and sensitivity, I guess.
12
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
               And do you have an opinion as to why you
14
    believe that Mrs. Wicker continues to be tender
15
    over the midline scar at the vaginal cuff?
16
                    MR. COMBS:
                                Objection.
17
    BY MR. SLATER:
18
               Why that tenderness has not gone away?
          0
19
         Α
               Because that's what you sometimes see at
20
    the vaginal cuff in a patient who's had one or
    perhaps more than one surgery, and we don't always
21
22
    know why that occurs, whereas someone else can
23
    have exactly the same anatomy and not be tender.
```

Based on your POP-Q measurements, what

would you say is the status at the time of your

24

25

- 1 exam of Pam Wicker's prolapse?
- 2 A In the standing position she has some
- mild anterior wall relaxation, and she has some
- 4 slight descent of her vaginal cuff. That's going
- 5 to be the position where the majority of the, you
- 6 know, the pressure is going to be. She does not
- 7 appear to have really anything going on with the
- 8 posterior wall, and her vaginal opening appears to
- 9 be of normal diameter.
- 10 Q If you had to stage or grade the
- 11 cystocele, what would you say that is?
- 12 A I'd probably call it, for me, a stage 2.
- 13 Q You saw the records of the physical
- therapist, Heather Strauch, S-T-R-A-U-C-H;
- 15 correct?
- 16 A Yes, I did see her records. Some of
- them were a little difficult to read based on the
- 18 Xerox copy and the handwriting, but yes.
- 19 Q Did you read her deposition?
- 20 A I -- even though it says I theoretically
- received it, I don't recall having received it, so
- no, I did not read her deposition.
- Q You note in your report on page 20 that
- on July 26, 2012, Heather Strauch noted "right"
- coccygeus tenderness, left obturator severely

```
1
    tender, palpable scar tissue left posterior
 2
    superior vaginal vault, and palpable solid mesh
    left urethral tissue"; correct?
 3
 4
              Hang on two seconds. Let me get the
 5
    original.
 6
                    (Discussion held off the record.)
 7
                    THE WITNESS: What is the date of
 8
         the exam?
    BY MR. SLATER:
10
              On page 20 of your report --
         Q
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
               -- you refer to the last exam, the last
13
    treatment on July 26, 2012.
14
         Α
               Sorry. My copy that I printed out last
15
    night doesn't have pages on it.
16
                    MR. COMBS: It's near the end.
17
                    THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm
18
         getting a little fried, so . . .
19
                    Thank you. I'm glad somebody could
20
         find it. Ah. On June 13, 2012. All right.
21
         There is one note I have from -- yeah, the
22
         July 26. Okay.
23
                    So which one are we talking about?
24
         Which visit?
25
```

```
1
    BY MR. SLATER:
 2.
          0
               On July 26 Heather Strauch confirmed
    that she found on that exam and her treatment
 3
 4
     right coccygeus tenderness?
 5
          Α
               Correct.
               Left obturator severely tender?
 6
          0
 7
          Α
               Correct.
 8
               Palpable scar tissue left posterior
          0
    superior vaginal vault?
 9
10
          Α
               Hang on.
11
               And palpable solid mesh, left urethral
          0
12
    tissue.
13
               You documented that in your report;
14
    right?
15
                     That's what she's stating she
          Α
               Yes.
16
    palpated.
                I mean I examined her subsequent to
17
    that time, and I did not feel anything
18
    suburethrally that I would consider to be mesh.
19
    Other than that --
20
               Move to strike after "palpated."
          Q
21
               A couple pages later you talk about --
22
          Α
               Can you just hold it for just a second,
23
    please.
24
                      Thank you.
               Okay.
```

Two pages later, middle of the page,

Q

25

- talking about your exam, you say "while the 1 2 interior -- anterior" -- rephrase. 3 You say that "while the anterior vaginal 4 wall showed evidence of thinning consistent with 5 her lower anterior wall support defect, what are 6 you talking about there, the thinning? 7 I used that term to describe vaginal 8 tissue that has lost its rugae, lost the quality 9 of typically a pre-menopausal patient, or it 10 has -- or patients can have that sometimes if they 11 have had, you know, a significant prolapse more so 12 than usually hers, where it has taken the rugae 13 and just basically stretched it out like 14 corrugated cardboard, just being flattened out 15 like that. 16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We've got two 17 minutes on this tape. 18 THE WITNESS: Do you have an 19 estimate of about how much more time you're 20 going to want?
- 21 MR. SLATER: Not much longer. 20
- 22 minutes maybe.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Otherwise, we're
- 24 going to start getting to a point of needing
- 25 a second time.

```
1
                    MR. SLATER: Why don't we do this?
 2.
         Why don't we change the tape? I really don't
 3
          think I have much left. I mean I'm hoping to
 4
         be done in 15 minutes or so.
 5
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: At 5:09 we're
 6
          going off the record in the continuing
 7
          deposition of Dr. Horbach.
 8
                    (Whereupon, a short recess was
 9
                    taken.)
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Our time now is
10
11
          5:11 p.m., and we're on record beginning
12
          disc 4 in our continuing deposition of
13
         Dr. Nicolette Horbach.
14
    BY MR. SLATER:
15
               Doctor, one of the things you say in
          0
16
    your report is that when Dr. Raz attempted to
17
    elongate Mrs. Wicker's vagina, that he could have
18
     "altered the amount of tension on the Prolift mesh
19
    arms and increased the likelihood of subsequent
20
    scarring and mesh erosion and perpetuating the
21
    need for further surgery."
22
               Do you believe to a reasonable degree of
23
    medical probability that likely occurred?
24
               Yeah, I think that there is, that his
         Α
25
    choice of how he approached the procedure did
```

contribute to additional problems for her related 1 2 to the Prolift and the need for more surgery. 3 Q You would agree with me that Pam Wicker 4 still has a risk of having more mesh erosions in 5 her life; right? 6 Α I, I don't -- I'm trying to recall. 7 There was one ultrasound that Raz did showing some 8 mesh in the suburethral area, although I think 9 that was the first initial ultrasound that he did. 10 If that's the case, at the present time 11 I certainly can't feel any additional mesh that 12 would be present that would be likely to come to 13 the surface, and we don't have any subsequent or 14 recent ultrasounds to indicate that there is still 15 residual mesh, if I'm recalling when that 16 ultrasound was done. 17 0 It's possible for mesh that was not seen on those ultrasounds, just due to normal movement, 18 19 mechanical forces in the pelvis to migrate; right? 20 MR. COMBS: Object to form. 21 If he's, if he's THE WITNESS: 22 putting forward, and if you believe that the 23 ultrasound is a highly diagnostic tool to find mesh that you can't necessarily palpate 24 25 even, if the ultrasound doesn't find mesh,

- then the likelihood that that's superficial
- enough or even there's something there that's
- going to come to the surface I think is not
- 4 present, I think.
- 5 BY MR. SLATER:
- 6 O You don't believe that an ultrasound
- 7 shows all of the mesh in the pelvis? You don't
- 8 believe that to be so; right?
- 9 A Why would you say that?
- 10 Q Because I think you probably don't. I
- think most people would say it wouldn't show all
- 12 the mesh. I mean I've spoken to doctors who use
- this exact technology who have told me that it's
- 14 not going to show you every bit of mesh. It's the
- best technology available to image mesh in the
- pelvis, but it's not 100 percent.
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q I'll ask the question clean, because it
- 20 had a little bit of my commentary in it.
- Doctor, have you ever studied the
- question of whether or not ultrasound of the type
- Dr. Raz utilized would be expected to show
- 100 percent of the mesh within the pelvis?
- A I have not studied that, but again, the

```
1
    hard part is --
               That was my question, though. I just
 2.
         0
 3
    asked if you studied it.
 4
               I have not studied that.
 5
                    MR. SLATER: I'm going to check
 6
          some of my notes. I think I may be done.
 7
                    Well, while I'm looking at my
 8
         notes, let's do this. We've marked as
 9
         Exhibits 6 through 12 Dr. Horbach's
10
         handwritten notes. I would just ask you,
11
         Doctor, for the record to just go through
12
          them, 6 through 12, exhibit by exhibit, and
13
          just in very simple terms, just tell us what
14
          each exhibit is so we have that for the
15
          record, and I'll check my notes while you're
16
          doing it.
17
                    (Discussion was held off the
18
                    record.)
19
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: At 5:17 off
20
          record.
21
                    (Whereupon, a short recess was
22
                    taken.)
23
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Now 5:18 on
24
          record.
25
                    THE WITNESS: So in reviewing the
```

1	exhibits that you've asked, that have been
2	marked, Exhibit 6 represents my handwritten
3	questions and the answers of Ms. Wicker at
4	the time I did her IME exam, as well as my
5	handwritten notes of the physical findings at
6	the time that I did her examination per se.
7	Not I did not ask every question that I
8	had pre laid out here, but there's questions
9	that I planned to ask her as well as answers.
10	Exhibit 7 are notes that are a
11	summary of comments during depositions of
12	Dr. Raz, Ms. Wallace, Dena Harris and
13	Dr. Moldwin.
14	Exhibit 8 is a list of essentially
15	chronologically of medical surgeries and
16	procedures as well as chronologic history of
17	how different symptoms were presenting from
18	early gynecologic history going through to
19	2009, as well as notes that I've taken
20	regarding new medical records that were
21	provided to me of visits after my IME.
22	Exhibit 9 again is a summary of
23	medical history, pertinent issues that I
24	found in different systems, and in listed
25	chronologically.

1	Exhibit 10 is a summary of my notes
2	when I did the original medical review. It
3	includes Bercik records, Raz records,
4	orthopedic records, primary care, gyn
5	records, so that's a summary for me to
6	review.
7	Exhibit 11 is a summary for myself
8	of some of the different issues that I think
9	are going on and information substantiating
10	that or not from the medical record.
11	And Exhibit 12 is a summary of the
12	patient's pharmacy records and when she's had
13	medications filled and which medications,
14	which doctor, over a multiple-year history of
15	time.
16	And that's it.
17	MR. SLATER: I have no other
18	questions.
19	MR. COMBS: Let's take about a
20	five-minute break, and then I will do a brief
21	redirect and see if we can finish.
22	MR. SLATER: Let me just
23	understand. I didn't know you were going to
24	do that. I mean I'm trying to stop for
25	Dr. Horbach's benefit. If you've got more
I .	

```
1
          time, I could ask more questions. I have
 2.
          three articles here I could question about
          for a while.
 3
 4
                    MR. COMBS: Well, I mean of course
 5
          I'm going to ask some questions. You can't
 6
          say, oh, you don't get to ask any questions
 7
         because I want to stop. I mean I have some
 8
          questions I'm going to ask.
 9
                    MR. SLATER: For hours.
10
                    MR. COMBS: I don't plan on being
11
         here for hours, but, you know . . .
12
                    MR. SLATER: Well, I'm going to
13
          reserve my right, if this become a lengthy
14
          redirect, to resume my questions, because I'm
15
          trying to be courteous, and if counsel
16
          thinks, you know, is going to ask a lot of
17
          questions, then I'm going to resume.
18
                    THE WITNESS: If it goes on too
19
          long, I'm going to request that we set up,
20
         you know, another time.
21
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I have 5:23 off
22
          record.
23
                    (Whereupon, a short recess was
24
                    taken.)
25
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
                                       It is now
```

- 1 5:33 p.m., on record.
- 2 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
- 3 BY MR. COMBS:
- 4 Q Dr. Horbach, I have some brief questions
- 5 for you.
- Do you remember when Mr. Slater asked
- you questions regarding the pathology work of
- 8 Drs. Klinge and Klosterhoff?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Are you aware of any literature that
- 11 clinically correlates their hypothesis to outcomes
- in actual patients?
- 13 A No. I'm not aware of any literature
- that compares good and bad outcomes in patients,
- based on explants and the pathology seen in
- explants relative to the mesh or pore size.
- 17 Q Same question on their theory about
- average porosity. Are you aware of any literature
- that correlates their theory to clinical outcomes
- in actual patients?
- A No. I'm not aware of any literature
- that looks at comparisons of clinical outcomes
- from good outcomes or bad outcomes and compares
- the porosity -- I think that's what you were
- asking me -- of the mesh.

- 1 Q Dr. Horbach, Mr. Slater asked you
- questions about review of internal company
- documents. Why is it that you did not ask to
- 4 review additional internal company documents?
- 5 A I did not review the internal documents,
- 6 as I perceived my role as a clinical expert to
- 7 provide an opinion based on the clinical
- 8 management of prolapse, the surgery itself, the
- 9 management of a patient similar to Mrs. Wicker,
- 10 and that I am not an expert of the internal
- 11 regulatory or commercial requirements that are
- 12 necessary for companies to make decisions
- 13 regarding their activities.
- Q Now, you testified that you view your
- role as providing clinical expertise. Would that
- include a review of the medical records?
- 17 A Yes, that would include a review of the
- 18 medical records.
- 19 Q And did you review all of the medical
- 20 records in this case?
- 21 A I did.
- Q And would applying clinical expertise
- include a review of the medical literature?
- 24 A Yes, it would.
- Q And have you reviewed all of the

articles that are set forth on the lists that were 1 2 introduced by Mr. Slater as Exhibits 4 and 5? 3 I have reviewed and read all that Α 4 literature at one point or another in my 5 evaluation of this particular case. 6 0 Mr. Slater asked you questions about the 7 July 2009 article that your practice group 8 published. 9 Do you remember those questions? 10 I don't remember the questions, but I 11 remember he asked me about it. 12 0 Did that article identify any new risks 13 related to pelvic floor or mesh surgery? 14 MR. SLATER: Objection to the form. 15 THE WITNESS: The -- our article 16 that was published in 2009 did not identify a 17 new risk in, for pelvic surgery or actually 18 for any surgery. It identified that -- no, 19 let me back up. 20 We, as surgeons, realize that pain 21 is a consequence of any surgery we do, 22 whether it's in, you know, orthopedics or the 23 leg or your gall bladder or a hysterectomy or 24 a pelvic floor repair. We have data that

supports that patients, even

25

post-hysterectomy, will have -- a certain 1 2. percentage will develop pain over a period of 3 time that may not be amenable to treatment. 4 I think the article, from our 5 perspective as clinicians, helped us to try 6 to determine whether there was a group of 7 patients that were more susceptible to 8 developing these conditions. 9 Was there some clinical factor that 10 we could identify that would make the patient 11 more susceptible to developing these 12 conditions, and if so, you know, that we 13 needed to look for that in all of our 14 patients, which it changed, again, that 15 preoperative evaluation and preoperative 16 counseling and/or preoperative preparation 17 for surgery, but it also changed it not just 18 for whether it was a Prolift surgery or not. 19 It changed it across the board for all of the 20 surgeries that we do for our patients, 21 whether it's laparoscopic, abdominal, native 22 tissue, mesh, you know, hysterectomy. 23 It requires -- it alerted us to 24 screen for that in all of these types of 25 patients by trying to find clinical

```
1
          correlates for who might be at more risk.
 2.
                    (Exhibit 21 was marked for
 3
                    identification.)
 4
    BY MR. COMBS:
 5
               I'm going to mark as Exhibit 21 the ACOG
         Q
 6
    practice bulletin that you questioned Dr. Horbach
 7
    on, the March 2004.
 8
               Dr. Horbach, is this the ACOG practice
 9
    bulletin that you were questioned about?
10
                     It's bulletin 51 that was
11
    originally written in 2004 and then, as noted, was
12
    reaffirmed in 2010, which, per ACOG, means that
13
    they re-reviewed it and either made potential
14
    changes or just said this is fine the way it is.
15
               And was this one of the factors on which
         0
16
    you based your opinion regarding Mrs. Wicker's
17
    musculoskeletal issues contributing to her current
18
    condition?
19
                    MR. SLATER: Objection.
20
                    THE WITNESS: It was one of the
21
          factors, but in reality it's, it's to some
22
          extent a minor factor. The question of give
23
         me literature to show that these things are
24
         going on, sometimes our understanding of
25
          problems and our recognition of problems and
```

```
our communication of problems among ourselves
 1
 2.
          way precedes things appearing in the
 3
          literature.
 4
                    And so this was an attempt to at
 5
          least say yes, this has been -- you know,
 6
          this is, this musculoskeletal issue, the
 7
          orthopedics, the biomechanics is not just
 8
          something that is pulled out of the air, that
 9
          this is something that has been recognized as
10
         part of the pelvic pain syndrome or
11
          situation, and also to reiterate that
12
         dyspareunia is viewed as a subset of pelvic
13
         pain.
14
    BY MR. COMBS:
15
               And did this article in regard to --
         0
16
    well, strike that.
17
               Did this practice bulletin in regard to
18
    musculoskeletal disorders conclude that "faulty
19
    posture in particular and exaggerated lumbar
20
    lordosis and thoracic kyphosis, called typical
21
    pelvic pain posture, may account for up to
22
    75 percent of cases of chronic pelvic pain"?
23
         Α
               That is a statement that is made in the
24
    bulletin based on a reference from, you know,
25
    other articles, and it is ACOG's comments in the
```

- bulletin to highlight that musculoskeletal
- disorders may be a one of the etiologies for
- 3 pelvic pain.
- Q Dr. Horbach, I want to ask you a
- 5 question about the procedure that Dr. Raz used
- on -- I believe it's the July 9, 2009 surgery, the
- <sup>7</sup> suture net.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Are you aware of any randomized
- 10 controlled trials that address the safety or
- 11 efficacy of that procedure?
- 12 A No. I am not aware of any randomized
- 13 controlled trials. There -- the procedure is
- described in the literature in 2011 in an article
- that was I think written in Current Urology, where
- it describes what he calls the CRISP, C-R-I-S-P,
- procedure, which is a procedure that he had
- devised in an attempt to treat cystocele.
- There was no data about randomized
- trials being performed prior to that procedure
- being used. He does make a comment in that, in
- this particular article that there are
- complications, but, quote, "early treatable
- complications such as exposed 2 to 3 millimeters
- of suture can be ideally treated in the office."

- 1 Q And that article was from 2011?
- 2 A Correct.
- Q And in that article does Dr. Raz state
- 4 that long-term data is still needed on this
- 5 procedure?
- A Yes, he does. Unfortunately, he's got
- 7 several, multiple articles in the literature about
- 8 different procedures that he has developed over
- 9 the years with somewhat short follow-up but yet
- 10 advocating those procedures.
- 11 Q Dr. Horbach, Mr. Slater asked you a
- 12 number of questions about the onset of
- 13 Mrs. Wicker's pelvic pain, and, in all those
- questions, stated to you that the onset occurred
- at the time of the Prolift surgery. Now I want to
- 16 ask you some follow-up questions about that.
- Now, did Mrs. Wicker's gynecological or
- urogynecological condition change prior to the
- 19 Prolift surgery?
- 20 A Yes. I mean she had presented to both
- her regular gynecologist and subsequently referred
- to Dr. Bercik because of symptoms related to
- pelvic relaxation with both a bulge that she was
- 24 aware of as well as complaints of pelvic pain that
- was referred to in the medical record by

```
Dr. Bercik in his first visit with her.
 1
 2.
               And would that include the evidence in
 3
    the medical record that you and Mr. Slater
 4
    discussed earlier today about references to pelvic
    pain prior to the Prolift procedure?
 5
 6
                    MR. SLATER:
                                 Objection.
 7
                    THE WITNESS: Yes. I think in my
 8
          comments previously about pelvic pain not
 9
         being present prior -- or prior to the
10
          Prolift procedure, I think I was focused more
11
          on our discussion about the musculoskeletal
12
          levator issues, et cetera, and really focused
13
          on that, that we didn't necessarily have
14
         proof that she was having pain from that
15
         muscular issue, even though I had neglected
16
          to include that she was reported to have
17
         pelvic pain at least for a period of time
18
         prior to her Prolift procedure.
    BY MR. COMBS:
19
20
               And in that procedure did Mrs. Wicker
21
    also have a number of concomitant procedures as
22
    well?
23
         Α
               In addition to the Prolift, yes.
24
               Yes, ma'am.
          0
25
         Α
               She did.
```

1 And was one of those a vaginal hysterectomy? 2 3 Α It was. 4 Now, Mr. Slater asked you a number of 5 questions about Mrs. Wicker's vaginal length, and 6 is a shortened vaginal length a known complication of a vaginal hysterectomy? 8 MR. SLATER: Objection. 9 THE WITNESS: A shortened vaginal 10 length is a known complication of a vaginal 11 hysterectomy, yes. 12 BY MR. COMBS: 13 Dr. Horbach, earlier today Mr. Slater 14 asked you questions about the risk/benefit 15 information conveyed by the IFU. 16 Do you remember those questions? 17 Yes. We did discuss the IFU briefly. Α And is it your opinion that the IFU is 18 19 adequate to convey the risks and benefits of the 20 Prolift procedure to pelvic surgeons? 21 MR. SLATER: Objection. What's the 22 point of this? Objection. 23 THE WITNESS: It is my opinion that 24 the information provided in the IFU does 25 provide appropriate warning when taken into

- context with the experience and training of
- pelvic surgeons and especially pelvic
- 3 surgeons who are involved with using
- 4 reconstructive materials, such as synthetic
- meshes.
- 6 BY MR. COMBS:
- 7 Q And just as Mr. Slater said that he
- 8 would ask if you could rely on your answers in the
- 9 Schubert deposition to shorten this deposition,
- 10 I'm going to ask you the same question about the
- 11 IFU.
- You were asked a number of questions
- about the IFU in the Schubert deposition.
- Do you remember that?
- 15 A I think so. At this point I'm hoping
- so, yes.
- 17 Q And would your answers here be the same?
- 18 A Yes. I think that one of the, one of
- the issues with the IFU is the IFU has to be taken
- into context with the overall spectrum, as I
- 21 mentioned, of experience, training of a pelvic
- 22 surgeon. The IFU is not designed to be a
- 23 substitute for clinical training or the single
- source of clinical training for someone who is
- doing a prolapse surgery or certainly a prolapse

1 surgery with or without the mesh. 2. In many cases physicians don't even read 3 the IFUs or look at them any more than they do 4 when you get your warning thing from your prescription that you fill at the pharmacy and you 5 6 toss that perhaps in the trash. 7 So it is -- the information that is 8 conveyed is not information in isolation. 9 part of the totality, and whether you're using 10 mesh in a Prolift or whether you're using mesh in 11 a sacrocolpopexy or any other type of procedure, 12 those same risks apply. 13 And is it your opinion to a reasonable 14 degree of medical probability that the 15 complications that Mrs. Wicker alleges in this 16 case are complications that were warned of in the 17 IFU? 18 MR. SLATER: Objection. 19 You can answer. 20 THE WITNESS: I believe they were, 21 based on the complications related to mesh 22 surgeries and prolapse surgeries in general. 23 All surgeons know that the complications that 24 she experienced are complications that can 25 happen with any prolapse surgery and any

```
1
         prolapse surgery including mesh.
 2
    BY MR. COMBS:
 3
          0
               Dr. Horbach, Mr. Slater asked you some
 4
    questions about whether a number of cosmetic
    procedures contributed to Mrs. Wicker's pelvic
 5
 6
    pain or dyspareunia.
 7
               Do you remember those questions?
 8
         Α
               Yes.
 9
               Now, it was your testimony in general --
10
    and I'm paraphrasing -- that those cosmetic
    procedures did not contribute to her pelvic pain
11
12
    or her dyspareunia; is that correct?
13
               That's correct.
          Α
14
               Now, does that mean that those
          0
15
    procedures are irrelevant to any of the analysis
16
    that you've done in this case?
17
                    MR. SLATER: Objection.
                                              Improper
18
         question.
19
                    You can answer.
20
                    THE WITNESS: No.
                                        I think that
21
          the, the totality again of her medical record
22
          and the fact that she has undergone multiple
23
          surgical procedures prior to the Prolift,
          including cosmetic and/or otherwise, as well
24
25
          as has had an experience over the years with
```

```
1
         her children undergoing surgical procedures
 2.
         means that this is not a patient who is a --
 3
          I hate to say "virgin" for surgery, but is
 4
          not -- this is not the first time she's
 5
         undergone a surgical procedure or the first
 6
          time she's seen a consent form or the first
 7
          time that she has been counseled regarding
 8
          the risks and benefits of a surgery and may
 9
          still elect to go ahead for the surgery, and
10
         has chosen in the past to do procedures or do
11
          surgeries that certainly can have at least --
          can have the risk of at least a significant
12
13
          or life-altering complications as what she is
14
          alleging in the Prolift.
                    MR. COMBS: And that said -- strike
15
16
          that.
17
                    Dr. Horbach, I don't have any
18
          further questions for you at this time.
19
         Thank you.
20
                    MR. SLATER: But I do. We're going
21
          to do this quick.
22
           FURTHER EXAM BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
23
    BY MR. SLATER:
24
               Let's start where you just left off,
         0
25
    Doctor.
```

- You have no idea what Pam Wicker would
- 2 have chosen to do as between a Prolift or
- 3 alternative procedures or treatments if she had
- 4 been warned of additional risks? You have no way
- of knowing what she would have done; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 7 THE WITNESS: No. I don't know --
- 8 BY MR. SLATER:
- 9 Q It's a yes-or-no question.
- MR. COMBS: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: It's not a yes-or-no
- question.
- 13 BY MR. SLATER:
- 14 Q You can't answer it yes or no? That's
- 15 fine.
- A Actually I will answer it no with being
- able to clarify.
- 18 Q Doctor, tell me what about your training
- and your experience and your study of the
- 20 literature and the medical records and everything
- 21 else tells you that Pam Wicker would have chosen a
- 22 Prolift no matter what she was told.
- Is that what you're telling us?
- 24 A No.
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.

```
1
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  No.
 2.
    BY MR. SLATER:
 3
         0
               Okay. That's fine. You're not saying
 4
    that, so I needed to know that. Okay.
 5
               The fact is: Whether or not Pam Wicker
 6
    agreed to have a cosmetic procedure or some other
 7
    procedure gives you no information as to what
 8
    procedure Pam Wicker would have chosen if told
 9
    about additional risks by Dr. Bercik; correct?
10
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
11
                    THE WITNESS: Your comment is
12
         additional risk. She was -- the risk that
13
         she or the complications that she
14
         experienced, she was warned about in the
15
         consenting for the procedure. So we're not
16
         talking about -- you're saying -- you're sort
17
         of implying that she had additional
18
         complications or risks that were not part of
19
         the consent process, and that's not the case.
20
    BY MR. SLATER:
21
               Okay. Move to strike.
         Q
22
               Was Pam Wicker warned in the, by the --
23
    rephrase.
24
               Did the IFU warn about the risk that one
25
    could have complex mesh erosions with the Prolift
```

- 1 that would require multiple operations? Was that
- warned about in the IFU?
- MR. COMBS: Objection.
- 4 THE WITNESS: It does not state
- 5 that specifically, but in her consent form --
- 6 BY MR. SLATER:
- 7 Q Doctor, that was my only question.
- 8 Doctor, you're not going to get -- we're going to
- 9 stick with my questions now. I don't want any
- more speeches with all due respect.
- MR. COMBS: Object to colloquy by
- counsel.
- 13 BY MR. SLATER:
- 14 Q Next question: Was the word
- 15 "dyspareunia" or painful sexual intercourse warned
- 16 about in the IFU or patient brochure before Pam
- Wicker's surgery to get a Prolift?
- MR. COMBS: Objection.
- THE WITNESS: Those terms were not
- used.
- 21 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you know that Ethicon added pain with
- intercourse as a warning in a subsequent IFU after
- Pam Wicker's surgery? Did you know that was done?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And you would agree with me that was a
- 2 risk that should have been in the IFU from the
- very beginning; right?
- 4 MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: No.
- 6 BY MR. SLATER:
- 7 Q Do you disagree with Ethicon's decision
- 8 to warn about pain with intercourse in a
- 9 subsequent IFU after Pam Wicker's surgery?
- Is that a true statement?
- 11 A No, I'm not saying that I disagree with
- 12 it. They're simply using a different term to
- explain pain issues. They're adding an extra
- 14 term.
- 0 Move to strike. I didn't ask for an
- 16 explanation.
- Doctor, you made a statement that Pam
- Wicker's complications were warned about because
- 19 these risks are essentially understood, and I
- wrote a note that you said basically all surgeons
- 21 knew these things. Okay? I'm going to ask you a
- 22 question about that.
- You have never studied the question of
- what surgeons across the United States understand
- or don't understand with regard to the risks of

```
1
    the Prolift?
 2.
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 3
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  That statement is
 4
          correct.
 5
    BY MR. SLATER:
 6
         0
               Okay.
 7
               Now, you do not know the purpose of the
 8
    IFU as intended by Ethicon; correct?
 9
               What Ethicon's intent was in publishing
         Α
10
    the IFU, no.
11
               -- have an understanding of, pursuant to
12
    FDA regulations, what the purpose of the IFU is;
13
    correct?
14
         Α
               I'm sorry. You cut out in the
15
    beginning.
16
               You do not know what the purpose of the
17
    IFU is per FDA regulations; correct?
18
               I guess I would say that. Since the IFU
         Α
    was published prior, I mean the FDA did not end up
19
20
    approving or disapproving the IFU prior to it
    being published.
21
22
               Do you know that the IFU that was relied
23
    on at the time of Pam Wicker's surgery, that
24
    before that time the FDA had required Ethicon to
```

make material changes to the IFU that had not yet

25

- been made by the time of Pam Wicker's surgery? Do
  - you know that that occurred; yes or no?
  - MR. COMBS: Object to form.
  - 4 THE WITNESS: I know that there was
  - a requirement or request or statement by the
  - FDA to change certain aspects of the IFU. I
  - 7 can't recall whether the timing was
  - 8 specifically before or after her surgery.
  - 9 BY MR. SLATER:
- 10 Q Do you know that the FDA made Ethicon
- change the wording in the patient brochure before
- 12 Pam Wicker's surgery, but those changes were not
- made until after? Yes or no; do you know that
- 14 that occurred?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 16 THE WITNESS: That statement I
- would say no.
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q You made a statement just before --
- 20 rephrase.
- You made a statement before about the
- fact that one should not advocate for a procedure
- when the only data available has short-term
- follow-up.
- Remember you made that statement a

- 1 little earlier?
- 2 A I'm not sure that I stated it
- 3 specifically that way. What I stated was that in
- 4 this particular situation, the procedure that Raz
- 5 did with the netting did not have randomized
- 6 trials and really didn't have any particular
- 7 follow-up that he certainly had published. He may
- 8 know that within his own practice, but there was
- 9 no -- that information wasn't available in the
- 10 literature.
- 11 Q You would agree with me that a medical
- device manufacturer should not advocate for a
- procedure involving one of their medical devices
- if they have no RCTs and all they have is
- short-term follow-up; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No, I don't agree
- with that. I don't think that devices
- being --
- 20 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q That's all I asked you, Doctor. I
- didn't ask you why. Don't care, honestly.
- MR. COMBS: Again, I'll object to
- counsel being rude to the witness.
- MR. SLATER: I'm not being rude.

```
1
          I've been asking for four hours not to get a
 2.
          story beyond a simple answer. I'm trying to
 3
          get the doctor out of here. She's told us
 4
          she wants to leave. So I don't appreciate
 5
          that I'm rushing and I'm getting long answers
 6
         not asked for.
 7
                    MR. COMBS: Again, I just
 8
          appreciate the witness being treated with
 9
          courtesy.
10
                    MR. SLATER: She's being treated
11
         with extreme courtesy, as are you.
12
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
               You said that in this ACOG bulletin,
14
    it's basically standing for the proposition in
15
    part and the part you're focused on,
16
     "musculoskeletal issues may be one of the
17
    etiologies for pelvic pain."
18
               You said that a little earlier; correct?
19
          Α
               That musculoskeletal abnormalities is an
20
    etiology, not may be, but it is an etiology for
21
    pelvic pain.
22
               I'm sorry. You cut out.
23
         Q
               Sure.
24
               Can you point me to any article, any
25
    clinical study that's been peer-reviewed and
```

```
accepted in the medical literature on the lists of
 1
 2
    medical literature that you supplied to me that
 3
    stands for that proposition?
 4
                    MR. COMBS: Object to form.
 5
                    THE WITNESS:
                                   No.
 6
                    Well, actually, I quess I should
 7
          say yes, because the ACOG practice bulletin
 8
          is a peer-reviewed document, so other, other
 9
          things would -- studies I can't say, but
10
         ACOG's practice bulletin is a peer-reviewed
11
         document.
12
    BY MR. SLATER:
13
               Let's look at that ACOG bulletin for a
14
              Page 81, please, where they talk about
    the heading "Musculoskeletal Disorders."
15
16
         Α
               Okay.
17
               The thing they talk about is
18
     "musculoskeletal disorders as causes of or risk
    factors for chronic pelvic pain have not been
19
20
    widely discussed in gynecologic publications."
21
               That's the first thing they say; right?
22
         Α
               Yes.
23
         0
               Let's go to the next paragraph.
24
    talks about peripartum pelvic pain syndrome.
25
               Do you see that?
```

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And that relates to damage to pelvic
- 3 ligaments, pelvic muscle weakness, and they talk
- 4 about the weight of the fetus and "gravid uterus."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So that's a condition within the pelvis
- 8 itself; correct?
- 9 A Well, the fetus is -- yeah, I suppose a
- 10 fetus is in the pelvis, although they're talking
- about lower spine and that type of thing, so
- that's a little bit outside the pelvis.
- 13 Q Now let's look at -- well, let me just
- 14 ask you this.
- There is no issue of lower back lumbar
- spine issues for Pam Wicker; correct?
- 17 A She has not been diagnosed with anything
- in the lumbar spine as far as I can recall.
- 19 Q Okay.
- Now, coming back to this, the third
- paragraph, you see it says "faulty posture"?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q It actually says, "Faulty posture, in
- 24 particular an exaggerated lumbar lordosis and
- thoracic kyphosis, "that's K-Y-P-H-O-S-I-S,

```
"called typical pelvic pain posture."
 1
 2.
               Do you see that?
 3
         Α
               Yes.
 4
               Pam Wicker does not have and has not had
 5
    exaggerated lumbar lordosis; correct?
 6
         Α
               She does not have an exaggerated lumbar.
 7
               Does not have thoracic kyphosis?
          0
 8
         Α
               No, that she does not.
 9
               And they say, "Other musculoskeletal
          Q
10
    disorders may cause or contribute to pelvic pain.
11
    These include trigger points, fibromyalgia, lumbar
12
    vertebral disorders, and pelvic floor myalgia."
13
               Do you see that?
14
         Α
               Yes.
15
               The only one of those that you offered
16
    the opinion that Pam Wicker has is pelvic floor
17
    myalgia, which in your opinion she has only had
18
    after the Prolift surgery; correct?
19
                                Object to form.
                    MR. COMBS:
20
                    THE WITNESS: We only have evidence
21
          of symptoms after the Prolift surgery.
22
    BY MR. SLATER:
23
          0
               There is nothing in this description of
    the musculoskeletal disorders that are being
24
    described in this bulletin that's talking about
25
```

- 1 osteoarthritis of the hip, one leg being longer
- than the other, a bone spur in the shoulder,
- 3 rotator cuff surgery in the shoulder.
- 4 None of those conditions are described
- 5 in this list of what the musculoskeletal disorders
- 6 are; correct?
- 7 MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: They are not listed
- 9 specifically in that list.
- 10 BY MR. SLATER:
- 11 Q You said you're not an expert on the
- internal requirements of companies, companies like
- 13 Ethicon who develop medical devices; correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- Q And none of your opinions are based upon
- any of the internal workings or internal standards
- within Ethicon; correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 20 BY MR. SLATER:
- 21 Q Your opinions are based solely on your
- own personal opinions based on your experience;
- 23 correct?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: No.

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- 2 Q Your opinions are based on no -- well,
- <sup>3</sup> rephrase.
- 4 You are not basing your opinions at all
- on any of the internal standards applied by
- 6 Ethicon or any understanding of why Ethicon made
- 7 any decision; correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q You were asked about whether or not you
- 10 are aware of any articles describing a clinical
- 11 correlation to clinical outcomes in patients with
- respect to porosity, and you said you're not
- 13 familiar with any; correct?
- 14 A I, I stated that, yes.
- 15 Q So number one, if any such articles
- exist, you just don't know about them; right?
- 17 A I think that -- I can't answer that as a
- yes or no, because I would have to make an
- additional qualification of the statement that you
- 20 made, because you're not quite phrasing the way --
- you're not -- you made a statement that is not
- 22 accurately representing what I said.
- Q Well, I'm asking you right now.
- Are you familiar with any study
- evaluating the clinical correlation with regard to

- 1 outcomes for patients with respect to the porosity
- of pelvic mesh?
- A I'm familiar, obviously, with the
- 4 studies we've talked about that look at the
- 5 porosity of the mesh in patients with a mesh
- 6 problem and a problem -- and a potential
- 7 complication from the surgery. I am not aware of
- 8 any papers or articles that compare that type of
- 9 porosity and tissue sample, et cetera, in a
- 10 patient who is a normal post-operative patient
- 11 without clinical symptoms.
- 12 Q You certainly would agree with me that
- 13 Klinge and Klosterhoff have written multiple
- 14 articles where they have opined, based on their
- evaluation of explanted meshes and their study of
- the explants, that porosity has a direct
- 17 contributing factor -- is a direct contributing
- 18 factor to poor clinical outcomes when the porosity
- is not adequate.
- You're familiar with that; right?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm familiar with
- that opinion.
- 24 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q You have no idea what Ethicon thinks

- 1 with regard to whether or not porosity can be a
- 2 contributing factor to poor clinical outcomes for
- patients with the Prolift or other pelvic mesh
- 4 devices; correct?
- 5 A I don't think that that's a correct
- 6 statement. I have -- I'm aware of some documents
- 7 within Ethicon discussing the pros and cons of
- 8 different meshes and the preference to use or
- 9 preference not to use, you know, a small pore mesh
- versus a larger pore mesh. I'm aware of those
- 11 types of documents from Ethicon and their
- 12 discussions.
- 2 So you certainly are aware -- rephrase.
- You're certainly aware that Ethicon
- believes that you need to have large pores that
- will maintain a one-millimeter size in actual use
- in order to try to minimize the risk of scar
- 18 tissue-related complications.
- 19 Are you aware of that?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: That statement was
- fine for the beginning part but not
- necessarily for the end part. I think that
- Ethicon was aware that, that they felt there
- was a benefit for having a, a mesh that

```
maintained -- that was a larger pore mesh.
```

- The statement of, you made of saying that
- maintain that in the patient, et cetera, et
- d cetera, et cetera, after that, I am not aware
- of that part of the documentation that -- of
- 6 Ethicon.
- 7 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q Do you feel that your expertise covers
- 9 the question of whether or not a mesh to be used
- 10 for the use like the Prolift needs to maintain a
- one-millimeter pore size under strain and actual
- use within the body when forces are actually
- applied? Do you feel like you have the expertise
- 14 to answer that question to a reasonable degree of
- 15 medical probability?
- MR. COMBS: Object to form.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I guess I have to say
- I don't know that I can answer that question.
- MR. SLATER: I have no other
- questions.
- FURTHER EXAM BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
- 22 BY MR. COMBS:
- Q Dr. Horbach, just a housekeeping matter.
- I don't know if we did or didn't, if the consent
- was marked, so I'm going to mark it.

```
1
                    (Exhibit 22 was marked for
 2.
                    identification.)
 3
    BY MR. COMBS:
 4
               Let me hand you what's been marked as
 5
    Exhibit 22.
               What is that document?
 6
 7
               This is the consent form that
 8
    Mrs. Wicker signed on September 8, 2008 regarding
    the surgery that she underwent in October.
10
               Dr. Horbach, was the date of the ACOG
11
    publication that we marked as Exhibit 21 2004?
12
         Α
               I'm sorry. Yes, it was marked 2004.
13
               Now, are your findings regarding
14
    Mrs. Wicker's faulty posture set forth in the IME
15
    section of the report?
16
          А
               Yes.
17
               Have you treated numerous patients that
18
    have exhibited musculoskeletal problems during
    your clinical practice?
19
20
         Α
               Hundreds.
21
                    MR. SLATER: Objection.
22
    BY MR. COMBS:
23
               And is your treatment and clinical
24
    practice regarding those patients part of your
25
    foundation for your opinion in this case on that
```

```
1
    issue?
 2.
                    MR. SLATER: Objection.
 3
                    THE WITNESS:
                                  Yes.
 4
                    MR. COMBS: I don't have any
 5
          further questions.
 6
           FURTHER EXAM BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
 7
    BY MR. SLATER:
 8
         0
               One question to follow up that.
 9
               For any patients who you say had
10
    musculoskeletal issues, are you able to give me
11
    the entire profile of all of the conditions they
12
    had and their full relevant medical history on
13
    each one of those right now?
14
               Could I name my patients and each
         Α
15
    individual problem that they had? No, but I could
16
    certainly tell you the types of problems that
17
    these patients experience that we see that are
18
    associated with these kind of conditions.
19
               I'm asking you with these so-called
20
    patients, if you were to say, well, these are my
21
    patients with musculoskeletal conditions that I
22
    think led to whatever condition you say it led to,
23
    would you be able to say, well, let me tell you
24
    the rest of the profile, other surgeries they had,
    other comorbidities, their entire profile?
25
```

```
you be able to give that to me right now for every
 1
 2
    one of those patients?
 3
         Α
               Not for every one, but I certainly could
 4
    for some.
 5
                    MR. SLATER: I have no other
 6
          questions.
 7
                    MR. COMBS: No questions.
 8
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Our time now is
          6:15 p.m. This concludes our videotaped
 9
         deposition.
10
                    THE REPORTER: Are you ordering a
11
12
          transcript?
13
                    MR. SLATER: Yes.
14
                    MR. COMBS: Yes.
15
                    (Signature having not been
16
                    waived, the video deposition of
17
                    NICOLETTE HORBACH, M.D. was
18
                    concluded at 6:15 p.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER -- NOTARY PUBLIC
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                    I, Laurie Bangart, Registered
          Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
 8
          Reporter, the officer before whom the
          foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby
 9
          certify that the foregoing transcript is a
          true and correct record of the testimony
10
          given; that said testimony was taken by me
          stenographically and thereafter reduced to
          typewriting under my supervision; and that I
11
          am neither counsel for, related to, nor
12
          employed by any of the parties to this case
          and have no interest, financial or otherwise,
13
          in its outcome.
14
                    IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
          set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this
15
          3rd day of December, 2013.
16
         My commission expires: March 14th, 2016
17
18
19
20
    LAURIE BANGART
    NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
21
    THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
              INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS
 2.
 3
                  Please read your deposition
 4
    over carefully and make any necessary
 5
    corrections. You should state the reason
 6
    in the appropriate space on the errata
 7
    sheet for any corrections that are made.
 8
                  After doing so, please sign
    the errata sheet and date it. It will be
 9
10
    attached to your deposition.
11
                  It is imperative that you
12
    return the original errata sheet to the
13
    deposing attorney within thirty (30) days
    of receipt of the deposition transcript
14
    by you. If you fail to do so, the
15
16
    deposition transcript may be deemed to be
17
    accurate and may be used in court.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		
		ERRATA
2		
3	PAGE LINE	CHANGE
4		
5	REASON:	
6		
7	REASON:	
8		
9	REASON:	
10		
11	REASON:	
12		
13	REASON:	
14		
15 16	REASON:	
17	DEACON.	
18	REASON:	
19	REASON:	
20	112112011	
21	REASON:	
22		
23	REASON:	
24		
25	REASON:	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
I,, do
hereby certify that I have read the
foregoing pages, and that the same
is a correct transcription of the answers
given by me to the questions therein
propounded, except for the corrections or
changes in form or substance, if any,
noted in the attached Errata Sheet.
NICOLETTE S. HORBACH, M.D. DATE
Subscribed and sworn
to before me this
, day of, 20
My commission expires:
11) COMMITDETON CAPITOD.
 Notary Public
Notary Public
Notary Public
Notary Public
Notary Public
Notary Public
Notary Public